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# Memorandum of Agreement <br> Between <br> New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy <br> and <br> Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control 

## Purpose and Applicability

This Memorandum of Agreement between the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control is intended to formalize a framework for coordinating the policies and activities of the New Jersey Coastal Management Program (program rules and decisionmaking process) and the Delaware Coastal Management Program in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline. This memorandum applies to those portions of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay which are transected by the common state boundary.

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control agree to the principles, procedures, and responsibilities that follow, recognize the statutory limitations of both agencies, and do not intend this memorandum of agreement to expand, limit, or bind their existing statutory powers in any way.

## Points of Agreement

## Regulatory Consistency/Inconsistency

1. The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy (DEPE) and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) agree that the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Management Programs are generally consistent with respect to the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary.
(identify points of inconsistency and how will resolve)

## Sharing of Development Applications

2. Within the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, both DEPE and DNREC shall administer their respective statutorily mandated permit and review functions. DEPE shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing waterfront development in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DNREC of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DNREC for comment. DNREC shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing development in the water area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DEPE
of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DEPE for comment. Both agencies recognize that each agency has the independent authority to approve or deny applications pursuant to its own regulations.
(This doesn't really solve the problem - just leaves it the same. Inconsistent regs, applicants faced w/two sets of regs \& two application processes, each State hassling w/ 1/2 a project, doesn't address secondary impacts which are out-of-state.)

## Sharing of Rulemaking Process

3. In the event that one or both agencies propose to amend their adopted coastal regulations or policies, they will consult with the other to determine how the amendments will affect the administration of their respective programs, and will attempt to resolve any differences prior to taking action.
4. Where inconsistencies are identified between those portions of the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Zone Programs which apply to the area at which the common state boundary is defined, DEPE and DNREC shall work together to make the two sets of policies more complementary, including, if necessary, eliminating inconsistencies through amendments to either or both sets of policies. In order to identify, discuss, and resolve policy and interpretation inconsistencies, and to ensure success of the cooperative application review and
comment procedures, designated representatives of the DEPE and DNREC staffs shall meet periodically at a time and location to be mutually agreed upon, to discuss permit review coordination and specific policies and their interpretation. A report of each meeting shall be prepared for review by the appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC.

## Sharing of Data

5. DEPE and DNREC recognize similarity in their data bases and needs, and agree to share data whenever possible.
6. The appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC may jointly propose revisions to the terms and procedures of this Memorandum of Agreement from time to time.
7. This MOA shall take effect upon signing by both parties and subsequent to the Governors' review for a period of ten business days. This agreement may be terminated by either party by providing notice of termination on the other party sixty (60) days prior to termination.

Acting Commissioner
New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy

## Date

# XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX <br> Delaware Department of Natural <br> Resources and Environmental Control 

## Date

Approved as to form only by:

Deputy Attorney General
State of New Jersey

## Date

Deputy Attorney General
State of Delaware

Date

# Memorandum of Agreement Between <br> New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and <br> Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control 

## Purpose and Applicability

This Memorandum of Agreement between the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control is intended to formalize a framework for coordinating the policies and activities of the New Jersey Coastal Management Program (program rules and decisionmaking process) and the Delaware Coastal Management Program in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline. This memorandum applies to those portions of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay which are transected by the common state boundary.

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control agree to the principles, procedures, and responsibilities that follow, recognize the statutory limitations of both agencies, and do not intend this memorandum of agreement to expand, limit, or bind their existing statutory powers in any way.

## Points of Agreement

Regulatory Consistency/Inconsistency

1. The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy (DEPE) and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) agree that the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Management Programs are generally consistent with respect to the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary.

A comparison of New Jersey's and Delaware's Coastal Zone Management Program policies and water quality criteria is located in Attachment A. (Perhaps this shouldn't be in an MOU because it refers to a point in time and the MOU is indefinite.)

Agreed upon methods of resolving current and future inconsistencies between the above referenced policies and criteria include the following:
(Work on the following list. Need to address inconsistent regs, applicants faced w/two sets of regs \& two application processes, each State hassling w/ $1 / 2$ a project, secondary impacts which are out-of-state.)
a. Sharing of development applications
b. Sharing of rulemaking process.
c. Sharing of data
d. Joint review of applications
e. Binding Federal Consistency Review
(40 CFR 122.4: No permit may be issued when the imposition of conditions cannot ensure compliance with applicable water quality requirements of all affected states.

40 CFR 123.24: No waiver of [EPA] review [of NPDES Permits] may be granted for discharges which may affect the waters of a state other than the one in which the - discharge originates.)
f. Sharing of other Coastal Zone Management activities (public access, water quality, wetlands mitigation)
g.

## Sharing of Development Applications

2. Within the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, both DEPE and DNREC shall administer their respective statutorily mandated permit and review functions. DEPE shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing waterfront development in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DNREC of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DNREC for comment. DNREC shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing development in the water
area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DEPE of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DEPE for comment. Both agencies recognize that each agency has the independent authority to approve or deny applications pursuant to its own regulations.

## Sharing of Rulemaking Process

3. In the event that one or both agencies propose to amend their adopted coastal regulations or policies, they will consult with the other to determine how the amendments will affect the administration of their respective programs, and will attempt to resolve any differences prior to taking action.
4. Where inconsistencies are identified between those portions of the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Zone Programs which apply to the area at which the common state boundary is defined, DEPE and DNREC shall work together to make the two sets of policies more complementary, including, if necessary, eliminating inconsistencies through amendments to either or both sets of policies. In order to identify, discuss, and resolve policy and interpretation inconsistencies, and to ensure success of the cooperative application review and comment procedures, designated representatives of the DEPE and DNREG staffs shall meet periodically at a time and location to be mutually agreed upon, to discuss permit review coordination and specific policies and their interpretation. A
report of each meeting shall be prepared for review by the appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC.

## Sharing of Data

5. DEPE and DNREC recognize similarity in their data bases and needs, and agree to share data whenever possible.
6. The appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC may jointly propose revisions to the terms and procedures of this Memorandum of Agreement from time to time.
7. This MOA shall take effect upon signing by both parties and subsequent to the Governors' review for a period of ten business days. This agreement may be terminated by either party by providing notice of termination on the other party sixty (60) days prior to termination.

Acting. Commissioner New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy

## Date

Approved as to form only by:

```
Deputy Attorney General
State of New Jersey
```


## Daté

Deputy Attorney General
State of Delaware

## Date



State of Delaware
Department of Natural resources and Environmental Control Division of Soll and Water Conservation

89 Kings Highway
P.O. BOX 1401

May 9, 1994

Ms. Terri Fowler, Planner NJDEP
Office of Land and Water Protection
CN 423
Trenton, NJ 08628

Re: MOA NJ/DE Permit Coordination
Dear Ms. Fowler:
As we discussed earlier on the phone, I have read the Draft MOA and have comments. Once these comments have been incorporated into another draft, I will circulate that draft to other members of DNREC for comments.

My comments are as follows:

1. Was this MOA meant to affect all activities along the state boundary or just the section of the Delaware River next to New Jersey's land? I think we should have the MOA affect activities along the entire state boundary. Although there may not be as many state decisions concerning activities in the Delaware River, they may be important and we may want/need to coordinate.
2. Whatever the answer is to the above, perhaps we should reference a legal description of this boundary.
3. Would you consider setting up the MOA in this fashion:
a. Keep the Purpose and Applicability section.
b. Include section that starts off with -- It is mutually agreed by the parties that:

## Delacuare's good nature depends an youl

Ms. Terri Fowler
May 9, 1994
Page 2
Followed by the specific things Delaware will do and the specific things New Jersey will do. This could include the comparison on water quality standards/criteria and CMP policies (this is work that needs to be done right)?
4. I think that we should list the permits we know we want to be involved with (i.e., NPDES). Then we can flag these somehow.
5. New Jersey is probably interested in reviewing the following types of Delaware permits/activities:
a. NPDES Permits
b. Delaware Coastal Zone Permits
c. Air Permits
d. Wetland Mitigation
e. Hazard Mitigation Plans
f. Solid Waste/Hazardous Waste Plans
g. DCMP Federal Consistency Reviews
6. Please put "Secretary" below the signature line, and above the Delaware Department of Natural ..... line.
7. I hate to say this, but do we have to have the AG's sign this? I am afraid it will never be signed if they have to sign it too.

Please make any changes you see fit, and send me another copy when you have finished. I will return it quickly back to you, and then send it out for others to review.

I apologize for the time it took me to respond. We finally finished our Threshold Review Document so now I can return to my normally busy schedule/workload. ,

Sincerely,


Memorandum of Agreement<br>Between<br>New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and<br>Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control


#### Abstract

Purpose and Applicability This Memorandum of Agreement between the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control establishes a framework for coordinating the policies and activities of each state's Coastal Management Program in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline. This memorandum applies to all portions of the Delaware River shared by New Jersey and Delaware. (Need to decide on specific area and be consistent)


The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control agree to the principles, procedures, and responsibilities that follow, recognize the statutory limitations of both agencies, and do not intend this memorandum of agreement to expand, limit, or bind their existing statutory powers in any way.

## Points of Agreement

Regulatory Consistency/Inconsistency

1. The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy (DEPE) and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) agree that the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Management Programs are generally consistent with respect to the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary.

A comparison of New Jersey's and Delaware's Coastal Zone Management Program policies and water quality criteria is contained in Attachment A.
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## Sharing of Development Applications

2. Within the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, both DEPE and DNREC shall administer their respective statutorily mandated permit and review. functions. DEPE shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing a regulated activity which would extend into or discharge into the mainstem of the Delaware River in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DNREC of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DNREC for comment. DNREC shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing a regulated activity which would extend into or discharge into the mainstem of the Delaware River in the water area at which the common state
boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DEPE of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DEPE for comment. Both agencies recognize that each agency has the independent authority to approve or deny applications pursuant to its own regulations.

## Sharing of Rulemaking Process

3. In the event that one or both agencies propose to amend their adopted coastal regulations or policies, they will share the proposed amendment(s) with the other agency to determine how the amendments will affect the administration of their respective programs, and will attempt to resolve any differences prior to taking action.
4. Where inconsistencies are identified between those portions of the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Zone Programs which apply to the area at which the common state boundary is defined, DEPE and DNREC shall work together to make the two sets of policies more complementary, including, if necessary, eliminating inconsistencies through amendments to either or both sets of policies. In order to identify, discuss, and resolve policy and interpretation inconsistencies, and to ensure success of the cooperative application review and comment procedures, designated representatives of the DEPE and DNREC staffs shall meet periodically at a time and location to be mutually agreed upon, to discuss permit review
coordination and specific policies and their interpretation. A report of each meeting shall be prepared for review by the appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC.

## Sharing of Data

5. DEPE and DNREC recognize similarity in their data bases and needs, and agree to share data whenever possible.

Sharing of Other Coastal Zone Management Activities
6.

6 . The appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC may jointly propose revisions to the terms and procedures of this Memorandum of Agreement from time to time.
7. This MOA shall take effect upon signing by both parties and subsequent to the Governors' review for a period of ten business days. This agreement may be terminated by either party by providing notice of termination on the other party sixty (60) days prior to termination.

Acting Commissioner
New Jersey Department of
Environmental Protection and Energy

## Date

# XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX <br> Delaware Department of Natural <br> Resources and Environmental Control 

## Date

Approved as to form only by:

```
Deputy Attorney General
State of New Jersey
```


## Date

## Deputy Attorney General State of Delaware

## Date

Memorandum of Agreement Between<br>New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy<br>and<br>Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control

## Purpose and Applicability

This Memorandum of Agreement between the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control establishes a framework for coordinating the policies and activities of each state's Coastal Management Program alons the areat at boundery which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline. This memorandum dppilies to all portions of the Delaware River shared by New Jersey and Delaware. (Need to decide on specific area and be censistent) cite case

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control agree to the principles, procedures, and responsibilities that follow, recognize the statutory limitations of both agencies, and do not intend this memorandum of agreement to expand, limit, or bind their existing statutory powers in any way.

## Points of Agreement

## Regulatory Consistency/lnconsistency

1. The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy (DEPE) and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) agree that the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Management Programs are generally consistent with respect to the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary.

A Comparison of New Jersey's and Delaware's Coastal Zone get Management Program policies and water quality criteria s coned Antmeit A. Witt the

NJ + Del agree to mutate porn pare the in C. 乙M policies f wac on a biannual basis.
Agreed upon methods of resolving current and future inconsistencies between the above referenced policies and criteria include the following:
a. Sharing of permit applications for regulated activities

## Applicable New Jersey Laws and Programs

Waterfront Development Law
Coastal Area Facility Review Act
Wetlands Act
Tidelands Management Conveyance
Green Acres Funding
Shore-Protection-
(E EFT? ${ }^{2}$ )
Goasta-Program=Funding
NJPDES Permits

Areavida Water Quality Management Plans-
Wastewater Treatment Facilities:- Regulation \& Funding
Stream Encroachment and Flood Hazards
Wile and Scenic Rivers-
Regulation of State Owned tands-
Air Quality-Regulation fermits
Stofle-Waste-
b.

d.


## Sharing of Development Applications

2. Within the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, both DEPE and DNREC shall administer their respective statutorily mandated permit and review functions. DEPE shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing a regulated activity which would extend into or discharge into the mainstem of the Delaware River in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DNREC of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DNREC for comment. DNREC shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing a regulated activity which would extend into or discharge into the mainstem of the Delaware River in the water area at which the common state
boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DEPE of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DEPE for comment. Both agencies recognize that each agency has the independent authority to approve or deny applications pursuant to its own regulations.

## Sharing of Rulemaking Process

3. In the event that one or both agencies propose to amend their adopted coastal regulations or policies, they will share the proposed amendment(s) with the other agency to determine how the amendments will affect the administration of their respective programs, and will attempt to resolve any differences prior to taking action.
4. Where inconsistencies are identified between those portions of the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Zone Programs which apply to the area at which the common state boundary is defined, DEPE and DNREC shall work together to make the two sets of policies more complementary, including, if necessary, eliminating inconsistencies through amendments to either or both sets of policies. In order to identify, discuss, and resolve policy and interpretation inconsistencies, and to ensure success of the cooperative application review and comment procedures, designated representatives of the DEPE and DNREC staffs shall meet periodically at a time and location to be mutually agreed upon, to discuss permit review
coordination and specific policies and their interpretation. A report of each meeting shall be prepared for review by the appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC.

## Sharing of Data

5. DEPE and DNREC recognize similarity in their data bases and needs, and agree to share data whenever possible.

Sharing of Other Coastal Zone Management Activities
4.6. Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Program

$$
\text { under } C, Z, A, R I
$$

The appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC may jointly propose revisions to the terms and procedures of this Memorandum of Agreement from time to time.

7 . This MOA shall take effect upon signing by both parties and subsequent to the Governors' review for a period of ten business days. This agreement may be terminated by either party by providing notice of termination on the other party sixty (60) days prior to termination.

Acting Commissioner<br>New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy

## Date

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX Secretan
Delaware Department of Natural
Resources and Environmental Control

Date


# MEMORANDUM 

TO: Distribution
FROM: Steven Whitney, Manager Siteur.
DATE: July 7, 1994

## RE: New Jersey/Delaware Memorandum of Agreement

Attached please find a draft Memorandurn of Agreement (MOA) between the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) and Delaware Deparment of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC). The purpose of this MOA is to establish a framework within which NJDEP and DNREC share relevant information regarding certain regulatory programs and actions along the states' common boundary. This boundary is somewhat unusual; a large stretch of it Is demarcated by the mean low water line on the New Jersey side. As a result, activities withln one state can have a significant impact on the other and an MOA is necessitated.

A few representatives of NJDEP and DNREC have reviewed previous drafts. I would appreciate it if you would now provide us with your comments and suggestions. I would like to come to agreement and formalize the content of the MÓA by August 15, 1994.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.
Distribution:
Sarah Cooksoy, DNREC
Robert Tudor, USEPA
John Weingan, NJDEP
Martin Bierbaum, NJDEP
Ernest Hahn, NJDEP
Ruth Ehinger, NJDEP
Theresa Fowler, NJDEP
Dennis Hart, NJDEP
Bill O'Sullivan, NJDEP
Tom Wells, NJDEP
JoAnne Cubberly, NJDEP

# Memorandum of Agreement <br> Between <br> New Jersey Department of Enviranmental Protection and <br> Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Enviranmental Control 

## Purpose and Applicability

This Memorandum of Agreement between the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) establishes a framework for coordinating the policies and activities of each state's Coastal Management Program in the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary [as defined in State of New Jersey v State of Delaware 295 US 694(1934)].

DEP and DNAEC agree to the principles, procedures, and responsibilities that follow, recognize the statutory limitations of both agencies, and do not intend this memorandum of agreement to expand, limit, or bind their existing statutory powers in any way.

## Points of Agreement

It is mutually agreed by the above parties that:

## Regulatory Consistency/Inconsistency

1. The New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Management Programs
are generally consistent with respect to the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary.

New Jersey and Delaware will mutually compare their coastal zone management policies and water quality standards on a biannual basis.

## Sharing of Development_Applications

2. DEP and DNREC shall administer their respective statutorily mandated permit and review functions. DEP shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing a regulated activity which would extend into or discharge into the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary, notify DNREC of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DNREC for comment. DNREC shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing a regulated activity which would extend into or discharge into the area of the Dslaware Fiver and Delaware Bay transecited biy the commion state boundary, notify DEP of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DEP for comment. Both agencies recognize that each agency has the independent authority to approve or deny applications pursuant to its own regulations. DEP and DNREC will share applications under the following authorities:

New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection
Waterfont Development Permits
Coastal Area Facility Review Act Permits
Wetlands Permits
Tidelands Conveyances
Green Acres Funding
NJPDES Permits
Treatment Works Approvals
Stream Encroachment Permits
Air Quality Parmits
Delaware Department of Natural Resources and_Environmental Control
NPDES Permits
Delaware Coastal Zone Permits
Air Permits
Wetland Mitigation
DCMP Foderal Consistency Reviews

## Sharing of Aulemaking Process

3. In the event that one or both agencies propose to amend their adopted coastal regulations or policies, they will share the proposed amendment(s) with the other agency to determine how the amendments will affect the administration of their respective programs, and will attempt to resolve any differences prior to taking action.
4. Where inconsistencies are identified between those
portions of the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Zone Programs which apply to the area at which the common state boundary is defined, DEP and DNREC shall work together to make the two sets of policies more complementary, including, if necessary, eliminating inconsistencies through amendments to either or both sets of policies. In order to identify, discuss, and resolve policy and interpretation inconsistencies, and to ensure success of the cooperative application review and comment procedures, designated representatives of the DEP and DNREC staffs shall meet periodically at a time and location to be mutually agreed upon, to discuss permit review coordination and specific policies and their interpretation. A report of each meeting shall be prepared for review by the appropriate administrators of DEP and DNREC.

## Sharing of Data

5. DEP and DNREC recognize similarity in their data bases and needs, and will share data whenever possible.

Sharing of Other Coastal Zone Management Activities
6. DEP and DNREC will share, when requested, information relevant to each state's Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Program authorized by the federal Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments.
7. The appropriate administrators of DEP and DNREC may
jointly propose revisions to the terms and procedures of this Memorandum of Agreement from time to time.
8. This Memorandum of Agreement shall take effect upon signing by both parties and subsequent to the Governors' review for a period of ten business days. This agreement may be terminated by either party by providing notice of termination on the other party sixty (60) days prior to termination.


Secretary
Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control

## 

| To: | Steven C. Whitney, Assistant Director <br> Office of Regulatory Policy |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: $\quad$Lawrence J. Bsier, Principal Planmer <br>  <br> $\quad$Office of Regulatory Policy |  |

Subject: Delawore - New Jersey Coostal Zone Management Plans
Date: October 29, 1991

I have completed a review of the information forwarded by Mr. Anthony Pratt concerning Delaware's coastal zone management program. I have attached a synopsis of the Delaware program for your information.

In general, the Delaware program is consistent with New Jersey's coastal zone management program. I have not detected any major policies or regulations of the Delaware program that would be in direct conflict with New Jersey's CMP or vice versa. However, there are several minor policy differences which need to be resolved.

Some of these differences include: benthic resources, prop wash dredging, use of creosote timbers, sediment quälity, dredging for private docks, and minimum frontage for private docks. I have prepared the attached program comparison to assist in identifying policy differences. Also, both states' coastal management programs reference surface water quality standards. Thereiore, I have also prepared and attached a comparison of the two states. water quality standards. These documents should be reviewed by yourself and Mr. Pratt for accuracy and also information deficiencies. After review, these comparisons should form the basis for a discussion of potential solutions to the minor program differences.

Options to improve consistency between the states include: a memorandum of agreement between the states to effect a joint review of those projects which affect both stotes; rule refinement and refinement of water quality standards by the two states to make the programs cansistent; and including the Delaware River and Bay as a Special Area, similar to the Pinelands, requiring that projects also demonstrate consistency with Delayere's coastal management program.

I have drafted the attached letter for your signature, addressed to Mr. Andrew T. Manus asking him to review the synopsis of Delaware's CMP, the Policy comparison, and the water quelity standard comparison for accuracy. 1 have also attached a synopsis of New Jersey's CMF for his information.

Please do nat hesitate to contact me if you have any questions in this regard, or any suggested changes to the attached documents.


State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy

Environmental Regulation
Office of Regulatory Policy CN 401
Trenton, NJ 08625-0401

Martin A. Bierbaum
Director

November 8, 1991

Mr. Anthony T. Manus, Deputy Director

Dept. of Natural Resources and Environmental Control
Division of Soil and Water Conservation
89 Kings Highway, P.O. Box 1401
Dover, Delaware 19903

Re: N.J. - Del. section 309 Program Consistency Grant

Dear Mr. Manus:
As you are aware, the State of New Jersey received a grant irom NOAA, pursuant to section 309 of the federal Coastal Zone Management Act, to assess the compatibility of our respective states' coastal management programs as they affect the shared boundary of the states in the Delaware River and Bay. Once areas of inconsistency have been identified, we are to formulate a mechanism for interstate coordination and conflict resolution.

New Jersey has completed an initial assessment of the compatiblilty of our coastal programs, with the possible exception of Delaware's coastal act addressing industrial development. Our preliminary analysis of the two programs finds that they are generally consistent concerning major policy areas. However, there are some differences between the information requirements of each program and the specific regulations used to evaluate development proposals. For example, New Jersey routinely requires sediment quality testing prior to dredging, Delaware apparently does not. Delaware routinely requires an assessment of the benthic community prior to dredging, New Jersey does not. Both states coastal programs reference the water quality standards in their regulations; therefore, a comparison of the two states water quality standards has also been performed. Also I suspect that many of Delaware's policies may be subject to interpretation, as New Jersey's are.

Coples of the following draft documents are enclosed for your review and comment: a synopsis of Delaware's coastal management program, a synopsis of New Jersey's coastal management program, a comparison of the two states' coastal regulations, and a comparison of the two states' water quality standards. These documents, once finalized, should identify consistencies and inconsistencies between our programs, and form the basis for discussing potential mechanisms for coordinating program activities and resolving conflicts. These documents could also be valuable for presentation to the public at the required hearings.

I would like to meet with you later this month, or in early December, to discuss the differences in our programs and to begin to develop a procedure for their resolution. I will call you in a couple of weeks to arrange that meeting.

Please feel free to contact me, at (609) 984-0058 if you have any questions in this regard, or if you have revisions to the enclosed document.s.

Thank you for your time and assistance.

Sincerely,

Steven C. Whitney, Assistant Director
Office of Regulatory Policy

# SYNOPSIS of DELAWARE'S COASTAL PROGRAM 

## Delaware Beach Preservation Act, ( Chapter 69, Title 7)

This Act provides for the regulation of development along Delaware's beaches. Beaches are def ined by the Act as all that area along the Atlantic Ocean and Delaware Bay shores within 1000 feet inshore and 2500 feet outshore of the mean high water line. The Act also provides for the regulation of an area 200 feet landward and 300 feet bayward of the mean high water line of Rehobeth, Indian River, Little Assawoman, and Assawoman Bays excluding the ir tributaries. The Act directs the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, (DNREC) to promulgate a building Iine, seaward of which construction would be prohibited unless approved by DNREC. Other interesting aspects of this Act are : DNREC may remove any violations at the expense of the property owner, and the Act makes private beach owners liable for any expenses associated with mitigating shoreline erosion.

The Regulations Governing Beach Protection and the Use of Beaches redef ine the landward boundary of a beach as extending 1000 feet from the mean high water line or to the first public street whichever is closer. The regulations require a permit for any construction seaward of the building line. Generally a permit to build seaward of the building line will be issued for any lot in existence on the date the law was enacted, ( $8 / 13 / 81$ ) if no opportunity exists to move the structure landward of the building line. Parcels subdivided after $8 / 13 / 81$ will not receive approval for construction seaward of the building line. The regulations also allow DNREC to require mitigation including beach nourishment, dune construction or dune maintenance as a condition of approval for construction seaward of the building line. DNREC also requires that construction landward of the building line but, within the beach area receive a letter of approval. The criteria for permit decisions include: comments received; the effect of the proposal on beach erosion, flooding, and the potential for damage to the property or adjacent properties; feasibility of alternative protection from storm damage; historical rate of shoreline change; design modifications that may reduce the impact of the structure on the part of the beach seaward of the building line; and any other information DNREC determines to be relevant.

## Submerged Lands Act, (Chapter 72. Title 7)

The Submerged Lands Act gives DNREC exclusive jurisdiction over all ungranted subaqueous lands, except that in the case of a proposed commercial use the Governor of the State of Delaware shares that authority. No appeal of the decision of a denial of permission to use these lands is possible under the Act. The Act also requires that private owners of granted subaqueous lands obtain a permit from DNREC before undertaking any activity which would contribute to the pollution of public waters, infringe on the rights of the public or other private owners, or make connection to public subaqueous lands. Subaqueous Lands are defined as all lands lying below mean high water together with the beds of non-tidal navigable rivers, lakes and streams.

The regulations implementing the Subaqueous Lands Act are broken into two sets: Marina Regulations and the Regulations Governing the Use of Subaqueous Lands. The Marina Regulations apply to any facility with 5 or more boat slips. The regulations include siting criterla which require that the permit applicant explore alternatives which utilize dry storage of boats. Wet slips for boat storage are considered to be non-water dependent uses under these regulations. The siting criteria also address issues such as shellfish resources, wetlands, submerged aquatic vegetation, critical habitats and benthic communities. The marina regulations also require an assessment of the water quality impacts of the proposal singularly and cumulatively. The primary parameters for water quality assessments are fecal coliform and dissolved oxygen. The reguiations pronibit the construction of marinas if designated uses or numeric criteria in the State's surface water quality standards are contravened, if the marina would necessitate the closure of shellfish grounds not already closed or closed areas that may be opened in the future due to point and non-point pollution control, if construction would destroy more than 1 acre of wetlands, if the marina would shade SAV, if the marina is located in a critical habitat, or if the benthic community diversity and abundance is rated greater than a 3 on a scale of 0-8 (Luchenbach, Diaz and Schaffner, Virginia, 1989 ). The Marina Regulations also contain planning and design requirements such as: prohibiting the use of creosoted timbers, requiring adequate dredged spoil disposal capacity for 30 years, basin depths, shore protection alternatives, waste water facilities including pumpout and restroom facilities, stormwater management, fuel storage and boat maintenance.

The Regulations Governing the Use of Subaqueous Lands apply to all activities over or upon subaqueous lands except marinas. These regulations prohibit structures constructed over water for non-water dependent uses, gazebos over water and dockominiums. The regulations exempt drainage ditches, reconstruction of currently serviceable structures, the anchoring of
not more than 2 boats by a waterfront property owner. These regulations also provide standards for dock construction for private use, dredging, and shore protection structures. The regulations prohibit dredging for a private boat docking facility and prohibit prop wash dredging. The regulations also require that a lot have at least 40 feet of frontage on subaqueous lands in order to qualify for a boat dock. The dredging regulations also prohibit any project which would contravene Delaware's water quality standards excluding whatever temporary and minimal turbidity is unavoidable using sound dredging practices. Applications for approval under these regulations are evaluated for two types of impacts; public use impacts and environmental impacts.

## The Wetlands Act. (Chapter 66, Title 7)

Delaware's Wetlands Act is very similar to New Jersey's Wetlands Act of 1970. Wetlands are defined by the Act as all lands above the mean low water elevation including any bank, marsh, flat, etc. subject to tidal action up to an elevation of 2 feet above local mean high water. which may grow or are capable of growing any of the hydrophytic plants listed in the Act. The Act also regulates nontidal wetland areas containing 400 or more contiguous acres excluding narrow stream valleys. Wetlands were delineated on maps and officially promulgated after public hearings. Only mosquito control, aids to navigation, duck blinds, foot bridges, hunting, fishing, trapping, hay harvesting and livestock grazing activities are exempt from regulation by the Act

The Wetlands Regulations provide for type I permits for projects with minimal impacts, and type II permits for projects with more substantial impacts. Applications for permits are evaluated in terms of the project's effects on the following wetland values and functions: Tidal ebb and flow values, (nutrient cycling, food chain production, storm protection); Habitat values, (rare and endangered plants and animals, fur-bearers, migratory birds, finf ish and shellfish); Aesthetic values; Impact of supporting facilities; Effects on neighboring land uses; Consistency with planning; Economic impacts; and Alternatives to the proposal.

## The Coastal Zone Act, (Chapter, Title )

Delaware's Coastal Zone Act describes the State's coastal zone as an area approximately two miles wide along the State's shoreline. The Act authorizes direct State control over land use in this area. However, the Act prohibits all new heavy industry within this area. Heavy industry is defined by the Act as a use characteristically involving more than 20 acres of land and employing smokestacks, tanks, distillation or reaction columns, chemical processing, or waste lagoons. These uses include oil refining, steel mills,
paper mills and chemical plants. Manufacturing facilities, such as garment factories and automoblle assembly lines are not included in this deinition. The Act also prohibits offshore bulk product transfer facilities except those which are ofr a single industrial or manufacturing facllity. The Port of Wilmington is exempt from the Act.

## gYnopsis of NEW JERSEY'S COASTAL PROGRAM

## New Jersey Coastal Area Facility Review Act. ( N.J.S.A. 13:19-1 et seq.)

The Coastal Area Facility Review Act, (CAFRA ), provides for the regulation of all major development proposals in the coastal area. The coastal area, as def ined Dy CAFRA, extends from the confluence of Cheesequake Creek and the Raritan Bay along the Raritan and Sandy Hook Bay shores, down the state's Atlantic Ocean coast around Cape May and up the Delaware Bay shore to Pennsville. The seaward boundary of the coastal area extends to the state's territorial jurisdiction. The landward boundary generally includes the tidal portions of the streams and rivers in the geographic area previously described. The exact inland boundary is def ined by identifiable public roads and railroads in section 4 of the Act. Regulated activities in the coastal area include all types of industrial facilities, public infrastructure, and new housing deve lopments of 25 or more dwelling units. CAFRA requires that a permit be issued only for those facilities which demonstrate: compliance with all air and water quality standards; provides for the disposal of refuse in a manner which minimizes adverse impacts; results in minimal impairment to aquifer recharge and ground and surface water supplies; causes minimal interference with natural plant, animal, fish and human life processes; does not endanger human life or property; and results in the minimum practical degradation of scenic, aesthetic, historic or natural attributes.

Two sets of regulations govern all coastal permit programs: the Coastal Permit Program Rules, ( NJAC 7:7-1 et seq. ), and the Rules on Coastal Zone Management, ( NJAC 7:7E-1 et seq. ). The Coastal Permit Program Rules provide clarification of the regulatory jurisdiction exercised under the three acts that make up New Jersey's coastal management program. For example, these rules clarify that any facility providing 300 or more parking spaces or paving an area of 3 acres or more is regulated under CAFRA. These rules also specify time frames and procedures for application review and the appeal of decisions

The Rules on Coastal Zone Management are the policies used to evaluate applications under any of the three acts. A project's consistency or inconsistency with these policies forms the basis for the decision to approve or deny an application. These policies address Special Areas such as Wetlands, Submerge Aquatic Vegetation, Shellf ish. Dunes, Beaches and Farmland; General Water Areas such as Rivers, Lakes, Streams, Open Bays and Back Bays, and ailowable uses such as dredging, and docks; General Land Areas which ascribe
an acceptable intensity of development to a site dased upon available infrastructure, natural resources, and surrounding land uses; Use Policies which assess the suitability of a site for a particular use such as Housing, Resort/Recreation, Commercial and Industrial Uses; and lastly Resource Policies which specify project design standards necessary to minimize any impacts on adjacent sites and natural resources such as Stormwater Management, Buffers, Vegetation and Public Access

## Waterfront and Harbor Facilities Act. (R.S. 12:5-1 et sea. 1

The Waterfront and Harbor Factlities Act was enacted in 1914 is also referred to as the Waterfront Development Statutes. The act requires all plans for the development of any water-front of any navigable water or stream involving the construction or modification of a dock, pier, wharf, bulkhead, bridge, cable or other similar or dissimilar development be submitted to the Department of Environmental Protection for approval prior to construction. This act is found in the navigation statutes, but has been subject to interpretation, which has broadened the scope of review to include environmental considerations for projects regulated under the act. Conveyances of tidelands and submerged lands are authorized under a separate statute at R.S. 12:3-1 et seq.

Currently, the geographic jurisdiction exercised under the Wateriront [jevelopment statutes differs in the coastal area defined Dy CAFRA and in the balance of the state's tidal waterways. In the coastal area, a Waterfront Development Permit is only required for those activities proposed outshore of the mean high water line. Along tidal waters not in the coastal area, the NJ DEPE regulates an adjacent upland area that extends between 100 and 500 feet inland from the mean high water line in addition to the area outshore of the mean high water line. All construction and grading within this area is regulated, unlike the 25 unit jurisdictional threshold that exists under CAFRA. All Waterfront Development applications are evaluated in terms of the Rules on Coastal Zone Management described above.

## Wetlands Act of 1970, (N.J.S.A. 13:9A-1 et seq.)

Wetlands are defined by the Act as any bank, marsh, swamp, flat etc. subject to tidal action, along the Delaware River and Bay, the Atlantic coast and the Sandy Hook and Raritan Bays up to an elevation of I foot above local extreme high water and which is capable of growing hydrophytic vegetation. Regulated wetlands were required to be dellneated on of ficially promulgated maps. Only the continuance of commercial salt and hay or other agricultural production and mosquito control activities are exempt from regulation by the

Act. In 1987 the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act was enacted in New Jersey, ( see N.J.S.A. 13:9B-1 et sed ).

The Coastal Permit Program Rules provide for type A permits for projects which have a minor impact on wetlands. Projects qualifying for these expedited permits include: new agriculture, an individual mooring slip, maintenance of public infrastructure, and the construction of docks and footbridges. Type B permits are required for projects with more extensive wetland impacts. Only projects which are water dependent or water oriented, which have no feasible or prudent alternative on a non-wet land site, and result in the minimum feasible alteration to the natural circulation and vegetation are permissible under the Rules on Coastal Zone Management.

# Delemare - Mew Jerseg cixip <br> Poticy comporfison 

| POLICY | DELAWARE | NEW JERSEY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MARINAS | wet slips nat considered to be water dependent must evaluate upland alts. | wet slips are water dependent |
|  | water quality assessment required including flushing rates, dissolved oxygen, \& fecal coliform. Violation of WQ standards prohibited | water quality assessments are not required for marinas but development violating WQ standards is prohibited |
|  | benthic resources must be sampled - marinas cannot be constructed on site with high diversity / abundance of benthos | no benthic sampling required |
|  | pumpout facilities required at all marinas that dock type III MSD vessel. | pumpout required at all marinas with 25 or mare slips. |
|  | adequate restrooms must be provided - peak wait of not less that 5 minutes | restroom facilities required no standards promulgated |
|  | has specific sections dealing with boat maintenance areas, fueling facilities, and parking (. 5 speces per slip) | general references to adequate upland areas for parking, boat storage and maintenance |
|  | required operation and maintentrice plan and spill contingency plan | no required operation and maintenance or spill contingency plan |


| OREDGING | marina basin depth shall | marina basin depth shall not |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | not exceed adjacent channel | exceed adjacent channel |
|  | dredge area shall be limited to the minimum needed | dredge area shall be limited to the minimum needed |
|  | Delaware suriace water quality standards must not be exceeded, excluding minimal unavoidable turbidity | N. surface water quality standards must not be exceeded without exception |
|  | prop wash dredging prohibited | prop wash dredging discouraged but allowed where no alternative is feasible |
|  | may seasonally restrict to protect aquatic life | may seasonally restrict to protect aquatic life |
|  | not permitted in areas requiring frequent ( 4 grs ) maintenance dredging | maintenance frequency is not considered |
|  | dredge disposal site must have capacity for 30 year project life | disposal site evaluated for capacity for current dredging project only |
|  | no requiroment for sediment quality testing | may require sediment quality testing |
|  | dredging for a private residential boat docking facility is prohibited extend dock to reach deeper water | private residential slip dredging is not prohibited dock extension must be considered as an alternative |
|  | dredging dead end lagoons $3 \mathrm{~L}: 1 \mathrm{~W}$ is prohibited | dredging new dead end lagoons discouraged |

SHORE
PROTECTION

FILLING
WATER AREAS
structural shore protection not allowed where erosion is minimal or wetlands exist
nonstructural measures preferred over structural measures
sloped rip-rap revetments preferred over vertical structures
shore protection structures must not adversely affect down drift areas
no criteria for replacement of structures
no specific policy, but must be designed to maintain or improve aquatic environment

DOCKS \& PIERS
only water dependent structures allowable no restaurants, decks ar appurtenances allowed
nü private docking facility allowed in a development with a community marina
underwater portions of structures cannot be creosoted
structural shore protection only allowed to protect water dependent uses or threatened structures
nonstructural measures preferred over structural measures
sloped rip-rap revetments preferred over vertical structures
shore protection structures must not adversely affect down drift areas
replacement bulkheads must be within 18 inches of the original structure
only allowable for water dependent use with no alt. filled area must be mitigated
no explicit prahibition of struclures, but they must meet size limits for docks and piers
no prohibition on private facilities, but must demonstrate need for the facility
no prohibition of creosoted timbers

|  <br> PIERS <br> (cont.) | where adequate draft exists dock shall not extend more than $10 \%$ across waterway in no cese shall docks extend more than 20\$ of the width or more than 250 feet generally docks may not extend beyond adjacent structures or further than necessary to attain depth for a boat suited to the waterwoy | docks shall not extend more than 20\% across lagoons docks shall nüt interfere with nawigation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | minimum of 40 feet frontage on subaqueous lands to qualify for dock - must also meet all zoning requirements | no minimum frontage requirement |
|  | no specific design criteris must minimize impacts shading of SAV to be ayoided, minimize shading of other vegetation | spacing between planks required $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ to $1^{\prime \prime}$ for $4^{\prime \prime}$ to $12^{\prime \prime}$ planks - maximum width of 8 unless commercial, 6" over wetland - width shall not exceed 2 X the height unless floating - must be at least 4 high over wetlands |
| SHELLFISH | prohibit marinas where clasure area (NSSP) would intersect open shellfish grounds or grounds that are reasonably expected to be opened in the future shellfish grounds are submerged lands that are capable of sustaining shellfish. | any development that would contaminate or result in the condemnation of shellfish beds is prohibited shellfish beds are tidelands that are productive for bivalye mollusks |


| SHELLFISH (cont.) | dredging is prohibited in shellfish beds | new dredging in shellfish beds is prohibited maintenance dredging in shellfish beds is conditionally acceptable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUBMERGED AQUȦTIC VEGETATION | destruction for a marina | destruction of SAV beds is |
|  | must be mitigated | prohibited - mitigation |
|  |  | required for upland developments that increase erosion and turbidity |
|  | shading of SAV shall be ayoided | no specific shading policy exists |
| WETLANDS | prohitits all activities | prohibits all activities |
|  | unless water dependent and | unless water dependent and |
|  | no alternative upland site exists | no alternative upland site exists - mitigation required at a ratio of $2: 1$ |
|  | exempts mosquito control, agriculture, and fish and wildlife projects | exempts mosquito control and agriculture projects |
|  | two types of permits type I for projects with | two types of permits type A for minar impacts |
|  | less than 1 acre of wetland | 1 boat slip and maintenance |
|  | disturbance or maintenance | of public infrastructure - |
|  | of public infrastructure type Il permits for any | type $B$ for all other activities |
|  | project over 1 acre of wetlands or inyolving a structure |  |
|  | marinas are allowable in | new marinas are prohibited |
|  | low volue wetlands if no | in wetlands unless the |
|  | alternatives exist, | disturtance is minimal |
|  | disturbance is less than 1 acre, and 2:1 mitigation |  |
|  |  |  |


| CRITICAL habitats | marinas are prohibited in recognized critical habitats | development that adversely effects endangered or threatened species is prohibited-development that would adversely effect critical habitats is discouraged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STORMWATER MANAGEMENT | detain the first $1 / 2$ inch of runoff and release over a 24 hour period | detain land $1 / 4$ inch 2 hour rainfall runoff and release over 36 hours |
|  | preferred method of runoff treatment is artificial wetlands - infiltration is acceptable if created wetland is not feasible <br> other methods of water quality treatment which remove $80 \%$ of suspended solids may be considered | infiltration of the 1 inch storm is acceptable alt. to detention - infiltration is encouraged at appropriate sites |
| INDUSTRIAL USES | new heavy industry is prohibited within 2 miles of the shoreline - heavy industry is defined as 20 acres or more and involves smokestacks, tanks, chemicals, waste lagoons <br> manufacturing plants are not considered heavy industry. | all industry in the coastal area is regulated, but not necessarily prohibited |
|  | of fshore bulk product transfer facilities are prohibited except in the Port of wilmington and docks for a single industrial facility | all port facilities are regulated, but not necessarily prohibited. |

#   

| Reach | Designated Uses Delaware | New Jersey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delaware River | Industrial Water Supply | Industrial Water Supply After Reasonoble Treatment |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { river mile } \\ & 78.8-48.2 \end{aligned}$ | Fish, Aquatic Life, and Wildlife | Wildlife <br> Migration of Anadromaus <br> Fish <br> Maintenance of Resident <br> Fish and Other Aquatic Biota <br> Propagation of Resident <br> Fish from RM 70.0 to 48.2 |
|  | Primary Contact Recreation | Primary Contact Recreation from RM 59.5 to 48.2 |
|  | Secondary Contact Recreation | Secondary Contact Recreatior |
|  |  | Navigation |
| DELAWARE BAY river mile | Industrial Water Supply | Industrial Water Supply After Reasonable Treatment |
| 48.2-0.0 | Fish, Aquatic Life and Wildife | Wildife <br> Maintenance and Propagation of Resident Fish, Shellfish and Other Aquatic Biota Migration of Anadromous Fish |
|  | Primary Contact Recreation | Primary Contact Recreation |
|  | Secondary Contact Recreation | Secondary Contact Recrestion |


| Reach | Delaware | New Jersey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Delaware Bay | Exceptional Recreational or Ecological Significance | Category One |
|  | Harvestable Shellfish Waters |  |
|  |  | Navigation |
|  | Water Quality Criterio |  |
| Parameter | Delaware | New Jersey |
| Enterococcus | 10/100ml | none |
| Fecal coliform | see use designation | $\begin{aligned} & 14 / 100 \mathrm{ml} \text { - shellfish } \\ & 200 / 100 \mathrm{ml} \text { - all other } \end{aligned}$ |
| Dissolyed oxygen | daily mean $6 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ minimurn $5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ RM 59.5-0.0 | daily mean $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ minimum $5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ <br> RM 48.2-0.0 |
|  |  | daily mean $3.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ <br> RM 78.0-70.0 <br> daily mean $4.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ <br> RM 70.0-59.5 <br> daily mean $6.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$ <br> RM 59.5-48.2 <br> between 4/1-6/15 and 9/16-12/31 seasonal mean $6.5 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ |
| Temperoture | max increase $4^{*} \mathrm{~F}$ between 10/1-5/31 max daily mean 84*F max daily temp 86*F | max increase $4^{*} \mathrm{~F}$ between 9/1-5/31 max increase $1.5^{*} \mathrm{~F}$ between $6 / 1-8 / 31$ max temp 86*F RM 78.8-48.2 max temp 85*F RM 48.2-0.0 |


| Parameter | Delaware | New Jersey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Detergents |  | max 30 day avg $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| pH |  | between 6.5-8.5 |
| Phenols |  | max. $01 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ |
| Radioactivity |  | Alpha max $3 \mathrm{pc} / 1$ Beta max $1000 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{l}$ |
| Total Solids Dissolved |  | not to exceed 133 of background |
| Threshold Odor Number |  | 24 at $60 * F$ |
| Turbidity |  | 30 day avg 40 NTU max 150 NTU at any time |
| CRITERIA for TOXICS ( $\mathrm{a}=$ acute $; \mathrm{c}=$ chronic ) mg/l |  |  |
| Substance | Delaware | New Jersey |
| General | Waters shall not be acutely or chronically toxic to aquatic life except in mixing zones | Toxics shall not exceed volues in the US Public Health Service Drinking Water Standards |
| Arsenic | . 069 日; . 036 c | . 05 |
| Barium |  | 1 |
| Cadmium | . $043 \mathrm{a} ; .0093 \mathrm{c}$ | . 01 |
| Chlordane | . $00009 \mathrm{~g} ; .000004 \mathrm{c}$ |  |
| Chromium | $1.1 \mathrm{a} ; .05 \mathrm{c}$ | . 05 |
| Copper | . 00298 |  |
| Cyanide | . 001 a |  |


| Substance | Delaware | New Jersey |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead | . $140 \mathrm{a} ; .0056 \mathrm{c}$ | . 05 |
| Malathion | . 0001 c |  |
| Mercury | . 0021 a; 000025 c | . 005 |
| Nickel | . $075 \mathrm{a} ; .0083 \mathrm{c}$ |  |
| Selenium | . 300 a ; .071 c | . 01 |
| Silyer | . 0023 a | . 05 |
| ( note: additional toxic substance criteria are found in the Delaware Surface Water Quality Standards. Those standards also provide human health criteria for fish and shellfish consumption. The New Jersey criterio listed here are from the Delaware River Basin Commission Basin Regulations - Water Duality. New Jersey is currently working toward proposed criteria for additional substances anticipated to be released for comment in December 1991. For those substances which no criteria have been adopted, New Jersey may use the best ayailable scientific information in establishing effluent limitations) |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |



## MEMORARDDTM

TO: Management Team<br>FROM: Rick Finding<br>RE: Meeting with Delaware Officials<br>DATE: November 1, 1991

In preparation for the meeting with environmental officisis front the State of Delaware on Friday; November 15, here is a list of agenda items submitted by Delaware. I have indicated in parentheses the Management Ttem/staff merrier responsible for the preparation of briefing materials on tach item.

- Ramsar designation for Delaware Bay [John Keith/ Mary Gastric]
- Delaware Estuary Program []abri Keith,'Mary Gastrichi
- Weakfish \& other fisheries munagementin Delaware Bay fm Fall/boh McDowell]
* Corporative enforcement in Delaware Ray Dim Hall Sob Mchoveli; Ed Neaisey/Jim Fianilton'
- joint proposals for use of Delaware River and Bay fuboricy funcis [ina White/Kon Tuminski; John Keith/Mary Gastrin]
* Impingement ard entrainment policies [john Wenazart/ Pennis Hart' Oil spill contingency/response activities [John Keith; Lake Miler]
Delaware permitting of facilities on New Jersey Shore [John!
Weingart/Steve Whitney]
- IMB's in Delaware Bay Fish [Jim Hall/Bob McDowell Joan Keith / Bo u Tucker]

- Waste transportation issues [John Keith'Steve Gaol, ! Mom Weingart/Frank Coolickl
45-font channel [John Weingart/Steve Whitney; John Keith/iob Pecker] Joint Coastal Zone Consistency Reviews [com Viain:ort/Steve Whitney]

If there are additional topics that you feel should be discussed at this meeting that de not appear on this list, please let me know as soon as possible. Ali briefing documents must be in my hands by Tuesday, Novernber 12. There will be a remeeting briefing for the Commissioner on November 14.

## Delaware Estuary Toxics Management Program

## objective

To develop policies and procedures to control the discharge of substances toxic to humans and aquatic biota from point sources discharging to the tidal portions of the Delaware River from the tide at Trenton, NJ to Liston Point, DE.

## Historical Background

The Estuary Toxics Management Subcomittee was established in 1989 to jointly review water quality criteria for toxic pollutants for the Estuary, and develop the policies and procedures including a water quality model for implementation of the recommended criteria. It was agreed that all three states each would need to contribute its fair share based on on a formula to the program.

## Major Issues

A subcommittee report entitled "Recommended Water Quality Criteria for Toxic Pollutants for The Delaware River Estuary" has been completed for review. Development of water quality models for implementation are being undertaken by NJDEPE. Request for additional funding from the states for the third and fourth year budget will be forthcoming. NJ intends to supplement in-kind services (modeling) as part of its share.

## New Jersey's position

Due to the administrative delays, cash contribution from NJ for the first and second years is still in process. Schedule of NJDEPE's in-kind services contribution has been affected by the delay of the monitoring program to be conducted in the summer time. An internal meeting (NJDEPE) to examine concerns regarding $\mathrm{NJ's}^{\prime}$ funding to the program has been scheduled for Nov. 18. 1991

## Next steps

(1) The recommended criteria resulting from the two-year work need to be reviewed by the Water Quality Advisory Committee.
(2) Monitoring program for the summer time needs to be conducted in 1992.
(3) Issues related to funding from three states to the program need to be resolved soon.
(4) Criteria, policies and procedures, permit requirements and toxic models needs to be established in a coordinated manner through the cubcommittee.

## IMPINGEMENT AND ENTRAINMENT

Impingement is the dashing (or trapping) of aquatic organisms upon barriers (e.g., trash racks and/or screens associated with cooling water intakes).

Entrainment is the transport of aquatic organisms through an intake or cooling water system.

Subsection $316(\mathrm{~b})$ of the Federal Clean Water Act provides:
(b) Any standard established pursuant to section 301 or section 306 of this Act and applicable to a point source shall require that the location, design, construction, and capacity of cooling water intake structures reflect the best technology available for minimizing adverse environmental impact.

Federal Regulations Under Section 316 (b)
EPA promulgated Section 316 (b) regulations at 40 CFR 402.10 to 402.12. These regulations provided that, in determining the best technology available for a given permitted intake, the "information in the Development Document shall be considered." In November 1977 the cooling water intake regulations were remanded to EPA (Appalachian Power Co. v. Train, 566 F. 2d 451 [4th Cir.,1977][10 ERC 1965]). The Court, in this case, found that the Development Document was not published in the Federal Register, and nor was the "information" in it; and that the $316(b)$ regulations, therefore, violated the Federal Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

Because EPA has not acted to re-promulgate such regulations, technology based limitations implementing 316 (b) are supposed to be established on a case by case basis when NJPDES permits are issued for discharges from facilities with cooling water intakes.

## New Jersey Section 316(b) Experience

NJDEP staff working on Section 316 consists of a single fulltime permanent professional.

Section 316 Demonstrations have been submitted for approximately a dozen facilities. These Demonstrations are both complex and lengthy.

New Jersey efforts in regard to thermal discharges have been centered around the review of the Section 316 Demonstrations for the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, and 3 fossil fueled stations on the Hackensack. The Department of the Treasury was requested to extend our Term Contract with Versar, Inc., Thus far, contract review costs have been billed to utilities pursuant to a Stipulation of Dismissal, which provides that applicants for 316 variances will be assessed
individually and will not be included in NJPDES thermal fee schedules.)

## Facilities on the Delaware

The Salem Nuclear Generating Station (operated by Public Service Electric and Gas Company) withdraws an average of more than 2 Billion Gallons per Day from the Delaware Estuary, heats this water by 27.5 degrees $F$, and discharges the heated effluent to the Delaware. The Draft NJPDES Permit for this facility, issued last year, addressed the issue of impingement and entrainment at Salem. The Draft Permit contained limitations achievable with a recirculating cooling tower. The Draft Permit was based on staff recommendations that large losses of aquatic organisms (i.e., fish) from this facility were not consistent with the statutory intent of protecting balanced, indigenous populations and the recirculating cooling towers clearly constituted an available technology which would significantly reduce adverse effects upon the beneficial uses (fishing) of the receiving waters.

The cumulative impacts of impingement and entrainment from other sources (including fossil fueled generating stations located inside and outside the State of New Jersey) have not been assessed.
p We would recommend that the Delaware River Basin Commission or the Federal Government have a lead role in assessing such impacts and in coordinating the efforts of all Basin States in controlling such impacts.

## Hazardous Waste Transportation Issues

Delaware and NJ are in the 12 state Northeast region for capacity assurance planning, which supposedly was to be the vehicle to eliminate waste transportation issues. Since the existing CAP process is flawed, and since one of the core issues is equity, not capacity, the process has not produced the desired result.

We believe the existing law requiring the CAP processes should not be enforced. We are supportive of differential fees (as long as they are not so great as to equal a ban) as the mechanism for dealing with the equity issue.

The northeast is continuing to plod ahead with the CAP and we presently are settling on a position that has the region focusing on waste minimization, looking at what an open siting and/or permitting process should entail, but not taking significant steps to proactively site new facilities.

# PROGRAM NARRATIVE STATE OF DELAWARE AND STATE OF NEW JERSEY SECTION 309 FEDERAL GRANT 

## INTRODUCTION:

The State of Delaware and the State of New Jersey share over 75 miles of Delaware River and Delaware Bay. About 25 miles of this common boundary from the northern boundary of Delaware where it intersects the Delaware River down river to Alloway Creek near Artificial Island, New Jersey is the New Jersey shoreline at mean low water mark (see map 1).

The New Jersey shore is lined with various land uses including industrial, residential and recreational development that may, due to the proximate boundary, have a direct and significant affect on the State of Delaware's coastal zone (i.e. I.E. Dupont operates a large chemical facility that is served by waterborne vessels and discharges waste in the adjacent waters). Conversely, the State of Delaware's coastal managment program may directly and significantly affect activities within New Jersey that are inconsistent with New Jersey's coastal managment program.

The State of New Jersey and State of Delaware received a federal grant in the amount of $\$ 10,000$ to review the the two state coastal management programs to determine whether the programs are compatable in managing overlapping coastal uses and coastal resources.

## IASK DESCRIPTION:

It is in the best interests of each state that the agencies responsible for the coastal managment programs set up a series of inhouse workshops to:

1. Assess the compatabilities of the two programs and identify areas of compatability and incompatability of the two programs. (NEW JERSEY TASK)
2. Convene State interagency workshops to review plans. State of Delaware:

Department of Natural Resources and Environmental

## Delaware Estuary Combined Sewer Overflow Management

## objective

The major objective is to develop a comprehensive cso Assessment and Control Strategy for the entire Delaware Estuary.

## Historical Background

Combined Sewer Overflows (CSOs) discharging from major cities in the Delaware Estuary watershed (Pennsylvania: 201, NJ: 36, and Delaware: 30 points) are considered significant sources of bacteria and other pollutants during both wet-weather and dryweather periods. Recently, DRBC has been granted $\$ 525,000$ from EPA III for developing an effective control strategy to abate the CSOs impact.

## Major Issues

The pollution caused by CSO discharges in Delaware Estuary has been a concern for the public health threat via primary contact recreation. In order to achieve stated objectives a comprehensive cSo program will be developed for the Estuary complex.

## New Jersey's Position

The Delaware Estuary Combined Sewer overflow Management Subcommittee was formed as part of the DRBC Water Quality Advisory committee. Through this subcommittee, all three estuary states will be working together with EPA and DRBC to ensure program consistency. NJDEPE is being considered for possible lead role in water quality assessment and modeling.

## Next steps

(1) The decision as to whether NJDEPE or an outside oonsultant will be contracted to perform the technical work.
(2) Determination of CSO impacts.
(3) Development of control strategies.
(4) Developing cSo permit conditions.


Attached please find a draft Memorandum of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Prote Department of Natural Resources and Environment purpose of this MOA is to establish a framework witt DNREC share relevant information regarding certain actions along the states' common boundary. This bo unusual; a large stretch of it is demarcated by the $m$ New Jersey side. As a result, activities within one st impact on the other and an MOA is necessitated.

A few representatives of NJDEP and DNREC drafts. I would appreciate it if you would now provide suggestions. I would like to come to agreement and MOA by August 15, 1994.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.
Distribution:
Sarah Cooksey, DNREC Robert Tudor, USEPA John Weingart, NJDEP Martin Bierbaum, NJDEP
Ernest Hahn, NJDEP
Ruth Ehinger, NJDEP.
Theresa Fowler, NJDEP
Dennis Hart, NJDEP
Bill O'Sullivan, NJDEP
Tom Wells, NJDEP
JoAnne Cubberly, NJDEP



# Memorandum of Agreement <br> Between <br> New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and <br> Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control 

## Purpose and Applicability

This Memorandum of Agreement between the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) establishes a framework for coordinating the policies and activities of each state's Coastal Management Program in the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary [as defined in State of New Jersey v State of Delaware 295 US 694(1934)].

DEP and DNREC agree to the principles, procedures, and responsibilities that follow, recognize the statutory limitations of both agencies, and do not intend this memorandum of agreement to expand, limit, or bind their existing statutory powers in any way.

## Points of Agreement

It is mutually agreed by the above parties that:

## Regulatory ConsistencyHnconsistency

1. The New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Management Programs
are generally consistent with respect to the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary.

New Jersey and Delaware will mutually compare their coastal zone management policies and water quality standards on a biannual basis.

## Sharing of Development Applications

2. DEP and DNREC shall administer their respective statutorily mandated permit and review functions. DEP shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing a regulated activity which would extend into or discharge into the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary, notify DNREC of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DNREC for comment. DNREC shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing a regulated activity which would extend into or discharge into the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary, notify DEP of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DEP for comment. Both agencies recognize that each agency has the independent authority to approve or deny applications pursuant to its own regulations. DEP and DNREC will share applications under the following authorities:

## New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

## Waterfront Development Permits

## Coastal Area Facility Review Act Permits

Wetlands Permits
Tidelands Conveyances
Green Acres Funding
NJPDES Permits

## Treatment Works Approvals

Stream Encroachment Permits

Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental op r hin Control

## NPDES Permits

Delaware Coastal Zone Permits


Wetland Mitigation
DCMP Federal Consistency Reviews

## Sharing of Rulemaking Process

3. In the event that one or both agencies propose to amend their adopted coastal regulations or policies, they will share the proposed amendments) with the other agency to determine how the amendments will affect the administration of their respective programs, and will attempt to resolve any differences prior to taking action.
4. Where inconsistencies are identified between those
portions of the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Zóne Programs which apply to the area at which the common state boundary is defined, DEP and DNREC shall work together to make the two sets of policies more complementary, including, if necessary, eliminating inconsistencies through amendments to either or both sets of policies. In order to identify, discuss, and resolve policy and interpretation inconsistencies, and to ensure success of the cooperative application review and comment procedures, designated representatives of the DEP and DNREC staffs shall meet periodically at a time and location to be mutually agreed upon, to discuss permit review coordination and specific policies and their interpretation. A report of each meeting shall be prepared for review by the appropriate administrators of DEP and DNREC.

## Sharing of Data

5. DEP and DNREC recognize similarity in their data bases and needs, and will share data whenever possible.

## Sharing of Other Coastal Zone Management Activities

6. DEP and DNREC will share, when requested, information relevant to each state's Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Program authorized by the federal Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments.
7. The appropriate administrators of DEP and DNREC may
jointly propose revisions to the terms and procedures of this Memorandum of Agreement from time to time.
8. This Memorandum of Agreement shall take effect upon signing by both parties and subsequent to the Governors' review for a period of ten business days. This agreement may be terminated by either party by providing notice of termination on the other party sixty ( 60 ) days prior to termination.
Commissioner
New Jersey Department of
Environmental Protection

Date

Secretary
Delaware Department of
Natural Resources and
Environmental Control

Date

State of New Jersey
Department of Environmental Protection and Energy

## Robert C. Shinn, Jr. Commissioner

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Distribution
FROM: Steven Whitney, Manager Steven
DATE: July 7, 1994

## RE: New Jersey/Delaware Memorandum of Agreement

Attached please find a draft Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) between the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) and Delaware, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC). The purpose of this MOA is to establish a framework within which NJDEP and DNREC share relevant information regarding certain regulatory programs and actions along the states' common boundary. This boundary is somewhat unusual; a large stretch of it is demarcated by the mean low water line on the New Jersey side. As a result, activities within one state can have a significant impact on the other and an MOA is necessitated.

A few representatives of NJDEP and DNREC have reviewed previous drafts. I would appreciate it if you would now provide us with your comments and suggestions. I would like to come to agreement and formalize the content of the MOA by August 15, 1994.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.
Distribution:
Sarah Cooksey, DNREC
Robert Tudor, USEPA
John Weingart, NJDEP
Martin Bierbaum, NJDEP
Ernest Hahn, NJDEP
Ruth Ehinger, NJDEP
Theresa Fowler, NJDEP
Dennis Hart, NJDEP
Bill O'Sullivan, NJDEP
Tom Wells, NJDEP
JoAnne Cubberty, NJDEP

Memorandum of Agreement<br>Between<br>New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and<br>Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control

## Purpose and Applicability

This Memorandum of Agreement between the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) establishes a framework for coordinating the policies and activities of each state's Coastal Management Program in the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary [as defined in State of New Jersey v State of Delaware 295 US 694(1934)].

DEP and DNREC agree to the principles, procedures, and responsibilities that follow, recognize the statutory limitations of both agencies, and do not intend this memorandum of agreement to expand, limit, or bind their existing statutory powers in any way.

## Points of Agreement

It is mutually agreed by the above parties that:

$$
i
$$

## Regulatory Consistency/Inconsistency

1. The New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Management Programs
are generally consistent with respect to the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary.

New Jersey and Delaware wilt mutually compare their coastat zone management poticies and water quality standards on a biannuad basis.

## Sharing of Development Applications

2. DEP and DNREC shall administer their respective statutorily mandated permit and review functions. DEP shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing a regulated activity which would extend into or discharge into the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary, notify DNREC of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DNREC for comment. DNREC shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing a regulated activity which would extend into or discharge into the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary, notify DEP of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DEP for comment. Both agencies recognize that each agency has the independent authority to approve or deny applications pursuant fo its own regulations. DEP and DNREC will share applications under the following authorities:
New Jersex Department of Environmental Protection
Waterfront Development PermitsCoastal Area Facility Review Act PermitsWettands PermitsTidelands Conveyances
Green Acres Funding
NJPDES Permits
Treatment Works Approvals
Stream Encroachment Permits
Air Quality Permits
Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental
Control
NPDES Permits
Delaware Coastal Zone Permits
Air Permits
Wetland Mitigation
DCMP Federal Consistency Reviews

## Sharing of Rulemaking Process

3. In the event that one or both agencies propose to amend their adopted coastal regulations or policies, they will share the proposed amendment(s) with the other agency to determine how the amendments will affect the administration of their respective programs, and will attempt to resolve any differences prior to taking action.
4. Where inconsistencies are identified between those
portions of the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Zone
Programs which apply to the area at which the common state boundary is defined, DEP and DNREC shall work together to make the two sets of policies more complementary, including, if necessary, eliminating inconsistencies through amendments to either or both sets of policies. In order to identify, discuss, and resolve policy and interpretation inconsistencies, and to ensure success of the cooperative application review and comment procedures, designated representatives of the DEP and DNREC staffs shall meet periodically at a time and location to be mutually agreed upon, to discuss permit review coordination and specific policies and their interpretation. A report of each meeting shall be prepared for review by the appropriate administrators of DEP and DNREC.

## Sharing of Data

5. DEP and DNREC recognize, similarity in their data bases and needs, and will share data whenever possible.

## Sharing of Other Coastal Zone Management Activities

6. DEP and DNREC will share, when requested, information relevant to each state's Coastal Nonpoint Pollution Program authorized by the federal Coastal Zone Act Reauthorization Amendments.
7. The appropriate administrators of DEP and DNREC may
jointly propose revisions to the terms and procedures of this Memorandum of Agreement from time to time.
8. This Memorandum of Agreement shatl take effect upon signing by both parties and subsequent to the Governors' review for a period of ten business days. This agreement may be terminated by either party by providing notice of termination on the other party sixty (60) days prior to termination.

Commissioner
New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection

Date

Secretary
Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control

## Date

Acturities in the area which requice Watughoit Deint Rermit
shared. Hourdary un Deleware Rewer Share permet applecations - comment, not ve to power
all actuites which would extent ints or trahaiglsu into mauntem of delawone kiven
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Memorandum of Agreement<br>Between<br>New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy<br>and<br>Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control

## Purpose and Applicability

This Memorandum of Agreement between the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control is intendod to formatize a framework for coordinating the policies and activities of ezchstste's Program (program-rules and-decisionmaking-process) and the Betaware-Goastal-Mariagement-Pregram-in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline. This memorandum applies to these portions of the Delaware River, and-Delaware \&ay which are transected by the -common state-boundary

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control agree to the principles, procedures, and responsibilities that follow, recognize the statutory limitations of both agencies, and do not intend this memorandum of agreement to expand, limit, or bind their existing statutory powers in any way.

## Points of Agreement

## Regulatory Consistency/Inconsistency

1. The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy (DEPE) and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) agree that the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Management Programs are generally consistent with respect to the area of the Delaware River and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary.

A comparison of New Jersey's and Delaware's Coastal Zone Management Program policies and water quality criteria is contrind located in Attachment A. (Perhaps this should i' be in an Mon because it-refers io a point in time and the move

Agreed upon methods of resolving current and future inconsistencies between the above referenced policies and criteria include the following:
(Work on the following list. Need to address inconsistent rags, applicants faced w/two sets of regs \& two application processes, each State hassling
 of-state.)

b. Sharing of rulemaking process - Shsued proposed nude
c. Sharing of data
d. Soint reviem of applications
e. Binding Federal Consistency Review
(40 CFR 122.4: No permit may be issued when the imposition of conditions cannot ensure compliance with applicable water quality requirements of all affected states.

40 CFR 123.24: No waiver of [EPA] review [of NPDES
Permits] may be granted for discharges which may affect the waters of a state other than the one in which the discharge originates.)
f. Sharing of other Coastal Zone Management activities (public access, water quality, wetlands mitigation)
9.

## Sharing of Development Applications

2. Within the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, both
DEPE and DNREC shall administer their respective statutorily mandated permit and review functions. DEPE shall, within five working days of receipt of a gompleted application proposing resulated achuireonection, NJPQES? waterfront development in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DNREC of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DNREC for a completed application proposing development in the water
area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DEPE of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DEPE for comment. Both agencies recognize that each agency has the independent authority to approve or deny applications pursuant to its own regulations.

## Sharing of Rulemaking Process

3. In the event that one or both agencies propose to amend their adopted coastal regulations or policies, they will consult with un used the other to determine how the amendments will affect the administration of their respective programs, and will attempt to resolve any differences prior to taking action.
4. Where inconsistencies are identified between those portions of the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Zone Programs which apply to the area at which the common state boundary is defined, DEPE and DNREC shall work together to make the two sets of policies more complementary, including, if necessary, eliminating inconsistencies through amendments to either or both sets of policies. In order to identify, discuss, and resolve policy and interpretation inconsistencies, and to ensure success of the cooperative application review and comment procedures, designated representatives of the DEPE and DNREC staffs shall meet periodically at a time and location to be mutually agreed upon, to discuss permit review coordination and specific policies and their interpretation. A
report of each meeting shall be prepared for review by the appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC.

## Sharing of Data

5. DEPE and DNREC recognize similarity in their data bases and needs, and agree to share data whenever possible.
6. The appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC may jointly propose revisions to the terms and procedures of this Memorandum of Agreement from time to time.
7. This MOA shall take effect upon signing by both parties and subsequent to the Governors' review for a period of ten business days. This agreement may be terminated by either party by providing notice of termination on the other party sixty (60) days prior to termination.

> Acting Commissioner New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy

## Date

$\overline{X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X}$
Delaware Department of Natural
Resources and Environmental Control

## Date

Approved as to form only by:

Deputy Attorney General
State of New Jersey

## Date

Deputy Attorney General State of Delaware

Date
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Memorandum of Agreement<br>Between<br>New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and<br>Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control

## Purpose and Applicability

This Memorandum of Agreement between the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control is intendod to formatize a framework for coordinating the each state's policies and activities of the-New-Jersey Coastal Management Program (program rulos and docisionmaking proeess) and the Delaware Geastal Management-Program-in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline. This memorandum applies to those portions sinered by NJ \& Delan. of the Delaware River ${ }_{\lambda}$ and Delaware-Bay which are transected by the common state-boundary

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control agree to the principles, procedures, and responsibilities that follow, recognize the statutory limitations of both agencies, and do not intend this memorandum of agreement to expand, limit, or bind their existing statutory powers in any way.

## Points of Agreement

## Regulatory Consistency/Inconsistency

1. The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy (DEPE) and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) agree that the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Management Programs are generally consistent with respect to the area of the Delaware Fiver and Delaware Bay transected by the common state boundary.

A comparison of New Jersey's and Delaware's Coastal Zone Management Program policies and water quality criteria is contoind tecated in Attachment A. (Perhaps this should nit be in an MOX because f it-refers to a point in time and the hov is indefinite. $)$

Agreed upon methods of resolving current and future inconsistencies between the above referenced policies and criteria include the following:
(Work on the following list
Need to addres inconsistent regs, applicants. faced w/two sets of regs \& two application processes, each State hassling w/ $1 / 2$ a project, secondary impacts which are outof -state.)
pernitapitana: requaterabis
a. Sharing of development applications- 11,4 prom (con
b. Sharing of rulemaking process - shaved proposed nile
c. Sharing of data
d. Joint review of applications
e. Binding Federal Consistency Review
(40 CFR 122.4: No permit may be issued when the imposition of conditions cannot ensure compliance with applicable water quality requirements of all affected states.

40 CFR 123.24: No waiver of [EPA] review [of NPDES Permits] may be granted for discharges which may affect the waters of a state other than the one in which the discharge originates.)
f. Sharing of other Coastal Zone Management activities (public access, water quality, wetlands mitigation)
g.

## Sharing of Development Applications

2. Within the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, both DEPE and DNREC shall administer their respective statutorily mandated permit and review functions. DEPE shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing resulated achuitesperters, NJPDES?? waterfront development in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DNREC of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DNREC for
area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DEPE of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DEPE for comment. Both agencies recognize that each agency has the independent authority to approve or deny applications pursuant to its own regulations.

## Sharing of Rulemaking Process

3. In the event that one or both agencies propose to amend their adopted coastal regulations or policies, they will consult with the other to determine how the amendments will affect the administration of their respective programs, and will attempt to resolve any differences prior to taking action.
4. Where inconsistencies are identified between those portions of the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Zone Programs which apply to the area at which the common state boundary is defined, DEPE and DNREC shall work together to make the two sets of policies more complementary, including, if necessary, eliminating inconsistencies through amendments to either or both sets of policies. In order to identify, discuss, and resolve policy and interpretation inconsistencies, and to ensure success of the cooperative application review and comment procedures, designated representatives of the DEPE and DNREC staffs shall meet periodically at a time and location to be mutually agreed upon, to discuss permit review coordination and specific policies and their interpretation. A
report of each meeting shall be prepared for review by the appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC.

## Sharing of Data

5. DEPE and DNREC recognize similarity in their data bases and needs, and agree to share data whenever possible.
6. The appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC may jointly propose revisions to the terms and procedures of this Memorandum of Agreement from time to time.
7. This MOA shall take effect upon signing by both parties and subsequent to the Governors' review for a period of ten business days. This agreement may be terminated by either party by providing notice of termination on the other party sixty (60) days prior to termination.

> Acting Commissioner New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy
Date

# $\overline{X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X}$ 

Delaware Department of Natural
Resources and Environmental Control

## Date

Approved as to form only by:

Deputy Attorney General<br>State of New Jersey

## Date

Deputy Attorney General
State of Delaware

## Date



State of New Jersey
Department of Environmental Protection and Energy
Environmental Regulation
Office of Land and Water Planning
ON 423

Jeanne M. Fox Acting Commissioner

Martin A. Bierbaum
Administrator

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Steve Whitney, Manager
FROM: Terry Fowler, CZIS1 TR
DATE: August 3, 1993

## RE: DE/NJ Regulatory Comparison

Attached please find a very rough first draft of an MOA between NJ and Delaware. Basically, I mimicked portions of the Pinelands MOA which seemed applicable. However, because we are dealing with adjacent jurisdictions, rather than overlapping ones, as in the Pinelands, it leaves a number of issues unresolved. (See bold type.) It seems to me that these questions would be good agenda material for a meeting between the two states. When you give the go-ahead, l'll call Delaware and find out who should attend.

Requistory.


# Memorandum of Agreement Between <br> New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy <br> and <br> Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control 

## Purpose and Applicability

This Memorandum of Agreement between the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control is intended to formalize a framework for coordinating the policies and activities of the New Jersey Coastal Management Program $\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { Pracyun Ruks, Poness \& Deissormbin. } \\ \text { (N.d.A.C. } 7: 7 \mathrm{E}-1.1 \text { et-sea. }\end{array}\right.$ Management Program $-(X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X)$ in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline. [This memorandum applies onty to the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline.] [This vnemorandum applies to the Delzwane River and Delzw zre Bany transcected by the shered common. state bor dins.

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control agree to the principles, procedures, and responsibilities that follow, recognize the statutory limitations of both agencies, and do not intend this memorandum of agreement to or bind
expand, of limit their existing statutory powers in any way.

## Points of Agreement

1. The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and

Energy (DEPE) and the Delaware Department of Natural
Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) agree that the
New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Management Programs are
generally consistent with respect to the area at which the
as descried is 'action $X$
common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the -New Jersey shoreline.
(identify points of inconsistency and how will resolve)


2. Within the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, both DEPE and DNREC shall administer their respective statutorily mandated permit and review functions. DEPE shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing waterfront development in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DNREC of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DNREC for comment. DNREC shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing development in the water area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DEPE of the application for development by sending a copy of the , application to DEPE for comment. Both agencies recognize that
each agency has the independent authority to approve or deny applications pursuant to its own regulations.
(This doesn't really solve the problem - just leaves it the same. Inconsistent regs, applicants faced w/two sets of regs \& two application processes, each State hassling $w / 1 / 2$ a project, doesn't address secondary impacts which are out-of-state.)
thenemaca $0^{\circ}$
${ }_{a}$ Rokearling Prouss
3. In the event that one or both agencies propose to amend their adopted coastal regulations or policies, they will consult with the other to determine how the amendments will affect the administration of their respective programs, and will attempt to resolve any differences prior to taking action.
4. Where Stoutd further inconsistencies ane identified between those portions of the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Zone Programs which apply to the area at which the common state boundary is defined the mean low water tine of the New Jersey shoreline, DEPE and DNREC shall work together to make the two sets of policies more complementary, including, if necessary, eliminating inconsistencies through amendments to either or both sets of policies. In order to identify, discuss, and resolve policy and interpretation inconsistencies, and to ensure success of the cooperative application review and comment procedures, designated representatives of the DEPE and DNREC staffs shall meet periodically at a time and
location to be mutually agreed upon, to discuss permit review coordination and specific policies and their interpretation. A report of each meeting shall be prepared for review by the appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC.

Sireseng of Dado
5. DEPE and DNREC recognize similarity in their data bases and needs, and agree to share data whenever possible.
6. The appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC may jointly propose revisions to the terms and procedures of this Memorandum of Agreement from time to time.
7. This MOA shall take effect upon signing by both parties and subsequent to the Governors' review for a period of ten business days. This agreement may be terminated by either party by providing notice of termination on the other party sixty (60) days prior to termination.

Acting Commissioner<br>New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy

## Date

# $\bar{X} \mathbf{X X X X X X X X X X X X X X}$ <br> Delaware Department of Natural <br> Resources and Environmental Control 

## Date

Approved as to form only by:

Deputy Attorney General
State of New Jersey

## Date

Deputy Attorney General
State of Delaware

## Date



State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy Environmental Regulation Office of Land and Water Planning

## MEMORANDUM

## TO: Steve Whitney, Manager

FROM: Terry Fowler, CZIS1 TH
DATE: August 3, 1993
RE: $\quad$ DE/NJ Regulatory Comparison
Attached please find a very rough first draft of an MOA between NJ and Delaware. Basically, I mimicked portions of the Pinelands MOA which seemed applicable. However, because we are dealing with adjacent jurisdictions, rather than overlapping ones, as in the Pinelands, it leaves a number of issues unresolved. (See bold type.) It seems to me that these questions would be good agenda material for a meeting between the two states. When you give the go-ahead, I'll call Delaware and find out who should attend


Memorandum of Agreement Between<br>New Jerse; Department of Environmental Protection and Energy<br>and<br>Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control

## Purpose and Applicability

This Memorandum of Agreement between the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control is intended to formalize a framework for coordinating the policies and activities of the New Jersey Coastal Management Program (N.J.A.C. $7: 7 \mathrm{E}$ E-1.1 et sea.) and the Delaware Coastal Management Program ( $\underset{C X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X}{ }$ ) in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline. This memorandum applies only to the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline.

The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control agree to the principles, procedures, and responsibilities that follow, recognize the statutory limitations of both agencies, and do not intend this memorandum of agreement to expand or limit their existing statutory powers in any way.

## Points of Agreement

1. The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy (DEPE) and the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) agree that the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Management Programs are generally consistent with respect to the araa at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline.
(identify points of inconsistency and how will resolve)
2. Within the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, both DEPE and DNREC shall administer their respective statutorily mandated permit and review functions. DEPE shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing waterfront development in the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DNREC of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DNREC for comment. DNREC shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing development in the water area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, notify DEPE of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DEPE for comment. Both agencies recognize that
each agency has the independent authority to approve or deny applications pursuant to its own regulations.
(This doesn't really solve the problem - just leaves it the same. Inconsistent regs, applicants faced w/two sets of regs \& two application processes, each State hassling w/ 1/2 a project, doesn't address secondary impacts which are out-of-state.)
3. In the event that one or both agencies propose to amend their adopted coastal regulations or policies, they will consult with the other to determine how the amendments will affect the administration of their respective programs, and will attempt to resolve any differences prior to taking action.
4. Should further inconsistencies be identified between those portions of the New Jersey and Delaware Coastal Zone Programs which apply to the area at which the common state boundary is defined by the mean low water line of the New Jersey shoreline, DEPE and DNREC shall work together to make the two sets of policies more complementary, including, if necessary, eliminating inconsistencies through amendments to either or both sets of policies. In order to identify, discuss, and resolve policy and interpretation inconsistencies, and to ensure success of the cooperative application review and comment procedures, designated representatives of the DEPE and DNREC staffs shall meet periodically at a time and
location to be mutually agreed upon, to discuss permit review coordination and specific policies and their interpretation. A report of each meeting shall be prepared for review by the appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC.
5. DEPE and DNREC recognize similarity in their data bases and needs, and agree to share data whenever possible.
6. The appropriate administrators of DEPE and DNREC may jointly propose revisions to the terms and procedures of this Memorandum of Agreement from time to time.
7. This MOA shall take effect upon signing by both parties and subsequent to the Governors' review for a period of ten business days. This agreement may be terminated by either party by providing notice of termination on the other party sixty (60) days prior to termination.

Acting Commissioner New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and Energy
Date

## $\overline{X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X}$

Delaware Department of Natural
Resources and Environmental Control

## Date

Approved as to form only by:

Deputy Attorney General
State of New Jersey

## Date

Deputy Attorney General
State of Delaware

Date

$$
10-28-91
$$

Steve Wnitney -
Pleasc attiend the weltached as por goungaict. 11/s at 10.00 in Comm ife ThanksFrudie

ISSUES - DELAWARE/NEW JERSEY
$\checkmark 1$ Ramsar designation for Delaware Bay
2 Delaware Estuary Program
3 Weakfish \& other fisheries management in Delaware Bay
$\checkmark 4$ Cooperative enforcement in Bay
$\checkmark$ Joint proposals for use of River and Bay Authority funds
Impingement and entrainment policies
$\checkmark$ Toil spill contingency/response activities
B Delaware permitting of facilities on New Jersey Shore
4 PCB's in Delaware Bay fish
lo waste transportation issues
i $45^{\prime}$ Channel
12 Joint Coastal zone Consistency Reviews

STAFF ATTENDING FROM DELAWARE
Edwin H. Clark, II, Secretary Mark Chura, Executive Assistant Gerard Esposito, Director, Division of Water Resources Andrew Manus, Deputy Director,

Division of Soil \& Water Conservation
Division of Air $\&$ Waste Management representative William Wagner, Director

Division of Fish \& Wildlife
Robert Zimmerman, Environmental Program Administrator Division of Water Resources

Aec Toby Dark of Delaware


Herne Whitney
NJPRIVLOG 2 (x) $\operatorname{con}$. one.

Issues identified by Commissioner Toby Clark of Delaware as topics they would like to see discussed at a meeting between our respective staffs. These issues should be circulated to the management team and Rick should be coordinating the preparation of. a single comprehensive briefing dealing with these issues. Needless to say, the management team should also be invited to indicate any additional issues they would like to discuss with the State of Delaware.)

| To: | Dorina Frizzera | 4014 W |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| From: | Ruth Ehinger | Security: | General |
| Subject: | t. fowler - marinas | Date Received: | $07 / 18 / 94$ |

roxanne sachleben $4-0921$ knows about ordering the signs. she can give you the specifics. they are made by the state prison.
 number of applications which we send to delaware to larger applications. it doesn't make sense to me to send all small docks for example

| To: Ruth Ehinger | From: Dorina Frizzera |
| :---: | :---: |
| Subject: t. fowler - marinas | Date Sent: 07/12/94 |

Ruth, Terry Fowler here. I don't know whether JRW told you, but he decided that my application for marina pumpout $\$$ should say that priority would be given to marinas which charge renters their pumpout fee as part of their slip fee. We'll see what comes of that.

New issue: do you know who orders the blue beach access and fishing access signs? I'm going to need to order pumpout signs and have no clue who to contact. I figured your sign orderer could point me in the right direction. Thanks. Terry

Ruth, Before I talk w/Steve about which permit applications should get shared w/Delaware, it would be helpful to know what you and Ernie think the threshold should be. What're your thoughts? Thanks. Terry Fowler

| VS OFFICE | Thursday $07 / 21 / 94$ | $10: 13 \mathrm{am}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| To: | Steven Whitney | $401 \mathrm{4W}$ |  |
| From: | JoAnn Cubberley | Security: | General |
| Subject: | Draft MOA/NJ \& DE | Date Received: | $07 / 20 / 94$ |

401 4W
General

I have no problem with sending applications to DNREC in this area - but it would be helpful to know exactly what the boundaries of "the area" are and to whom the applications should be sent, once this agreement is in effect.


OFTONAL FCRM 28(7-90)


Dorina Frizzera
Environmental scientist
Office of Environmental Planning
New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection
CN 418
Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0418
Dear Ma. Frizzeras
The Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management. (OCRM) received the New Jersey Department of Enviromental Protection'a (NJDEP) draft FY96 award application on June 24, 1996. Based on our review of the draft application, we offer the following comments.

In general, this yeax's award application ia vexy aimilar to the FY95 application, with the exception of considerable additional costs and person months for certain tasks and object class categories. Many of the specific comments made below will request that the NiDEP provide an explanation for the added coate either through additional datail on work products and benchmarks for proposed activities, or in the jugtification statements for certain object class categories.

## I. QBUECT CLANG CATEGORIES

11. 12. Travel.

P-15k
1-5k
M1"
)- ick
a. NJDEP is proposing a $\$ 25,000$ increase in section 306 travel $\rightarrow$ check coste from Fys . The juetification should explain this increase, e.g., what additional trips are being planned, etc.
b. The "Transportation Out-of-state" justification should continue to explain how the expenses are estimated.
c. $\$ 10.000$, or an estimated 20 out-of-gtate trips have been $\rightarrow$ check identified under eection 309 funding. Based on the section 309, instain-truul task descriptions, it is not clear what out-of-state travel is te do owiz ung associated with these activities. An explanation of eravel coste - cor 50
or ohould be provided under the appropriate section 309 task wo recovi description(s).
d. Please specifically identify travel for two ataff to attend ok the annual Program Manager's Meeting held in Washington, D.C.
2. Suppliea. There have been considerable increases in the cost of various supplies from previous years awards. The following increases should be explained in the justification section:

a. Office supplies - section 306 and 309
b. Xerox reproduction expenses - section 309
c. Printing - the $\$ 25,000$ in section 309 printing expenses must be explained.
3. Contractua1.
$R P \rightarrow$ a. The cost of court reporcers has doubled Erom last year' $a$ $.00 \rightarrow 4,500$ cost of $\$ 2,200$. Rlease explain what activities are anticipated P $100 \rightarrow 1000$ for the coming year that would increase the cost to this extent.
b. The pass-through grants are currently listed on page 14 under section $\beta_{106}$ funding. As we have disoussed, the appropriate categony for the pass-through grants is section 306.
4. Qther.
a. The Office of the Inspector General has recently begun increasing ite review and denial of expenditure of federal funds on lobbying organizations. Since the Coastal States Organization conducts lobbying in Congress, according to federal guideliné, federal funds cannot be specifically expended for these menbership dues.
$\frac{6}{2 p} 20$, b. The following cost categories have increased substantially - 5,000
II. BPECIFIC TASK COMMENTSS

Task 1.1. Administer Coastal Pampit Program. 6217
a. One of the new expected products for this activity is proposing rules to clarify jurisdictional issues in municipalities known as "Qualifying Municipalities" that receive urban aid. Further information on qualifying municipalities, the project's relationship to coastal zone management, and the law which is being used as the source for these rules is required. As you are aware, NJDEp can not expend coastal zone management funds on developing rules for, and implementation of laws which have not been incorporated into the state's coastal zone management program, nor in areas outside of the designated coastal zone.
b. The Wetlands Mitigation Tracking Database (WETMIT) used for monitoring wetland mitigation projects was not included in this year's award application. NOAA has been providing funding to NJDEP for several years to develop and update the database. Please elther include the planned activities for WETMTT this year, ox provide an explanation regarding why this activity will no longer be pursued. In the FY91 award, NJDEP provided a report to the Governor evaluating atate-of-the-art wetlands mitigation in New Jersey, NJDEP may want to consider uaing FY96 funds to update this information and determine the level and success of watlands mitigation over the last five years.
2. Task 1.2. Administer Appeals. Since the NUDEP is proposing an additional $\$ 26,500$ in federal/state funda for this task, we request that information on the activities associated with these additional costs be identified. It appears that part of the additional effort. will involve updating the appeais tracking system and developing an alternative dispute resolution form and written procedure日 and guidance. please provide more opecific benchmarks for these activities.
3. Task 2.1, Permit Monitoring and Complisnee. We would again note that the WETMIT activity conducted by the Bureau of Coastal and Land Use Enforcement has not been included in this year's award application. Please see the comments at 1.b. above.

## 4. Task 3.1 , Policy Refinement.

a. The award application lists several new focus areas for policy isaues, including urban redevelopment, special urban areas, traffic, and making permit decisions more efficiently by revising procedures. NJDER's propoaed activitiea that will focus on these policy iseues ahould be described in more detail, i.e., the activities the state will be conducting, their specific relationship to coastal zone management, and proposed benchmarks and products for these activities. For example, it would useful co identify NJDEP's overall plan, goals and steps for integrating for for fagh watershed management. land and water issues through watershed management.

b. Please revise the second expected product to read "Submisaion of a request for approval for Program Change日..."
5. Task 3.2, Technical Assiatance:
a: Another geven person months and $\$ 20,000$ have been added over last year's task costs. please identify the activities associated with these additions. Also, pleage include the $\$ 250.000$ in contractual costs in the "Federal Cost" of the project on page 32:
b. As part of the background section, please identify what activities conducted in previous years in delegating permitting programs to local levels of government. Is this an activity that

NJDEP will continue pursuing thia year? If not, pleage explain N why.
c. Pleage define what activities are included in "providing technical assistance to local governmenta and intereated citizens." We would alpo note that providing technical assigtance on the state plan consistency effort is redundant with activities proposed in Task 3.4, State Plan Consistency.
d. Further detail should be provided on the local coastal planning grante. For example, if NJDEP anticipates allocating these awards to "two or three" specific geographic areas, the state should identify which areas it is targeting, and what will be required of the applicants. Guidelines and benchmarke for itsuing the awards should be included in the award application. Also, while the task description discusses making local coastal planning grants available primarily for watershed management plans, under "activities" watershed management is not mentioned. Providing the additional detail on these activities should address this issue.
6. Task 3.3. Public Participation and Education.
a. please describe in greater detail the epecific activities that will increase the total cost of this project by an additional $\$ 43,000$ and 6 person months over last year's budget. 'fis Identify any specific benchmarks and work products.
b. It is our undergtanding that since Barnegat Bay has been approved as a National Estuary Program (NEP), activities
$N_{n}$ associated with the NEP will be funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. please revisit the language in this task, and in tasks 3.2, and 3.5.
7. Task 3.4 State Plan Congistency.
a. Additional detail should be provided for this tagk. While this 16 an excellent activity, the plan for reviaing local master plan and ordinances to reflect the State plan should be better defined. For example, in the task description, the State should specify the number of pilot-projects and the names of the municipalities. Activities and benchmarks should focus on how the state will conduct the task, e.g., dates by which municipalities will be selected; how, when, and what guidance and technical assistance will be provided; dates for accomplishing steps in developing municipal reports and draft ordinances, etc.

b. Two of the expected producta, public meetinge throughout the grant year to evaluate the implementation of the rule amendments and coordinared efforte with the office of State planning to enoure that the coastal rules are implemented in accordance with the State Plan were listed as activities for FY95. Are these products still associated with specific FY96 activities?
8. Task 3.5, Intra-stato Coordination. The cost of this task in FY96 has increased by a total of approximately $\$ 28,500$ and 4 person months, while the actual description and listed activities have remained virtually the same. The background section should identify progress that has been made in the last year under this task, and identify the next steps for continuing these
initiatives in FY96. For example, it would be helpful to describe what has been accomplished through coordination on estuary studies and water quality monitoring, and what follow-up is proposed this year. What results have been achieved through cooperation between NJDEP agencies on developing a protocol for review of dredging applications? Please identify specific activities that will be pursued in FY96 that necessitate the added cost in funds and personnel. The listed activities and expected products should more closely reflect the various efforts identified in the task description.
9. Task 3.6, Inter-8tata Coordination. Additional information is required under the task description and activities to reflect why there is a proposed increase in this task of almost $\$ 80,000$ and 10 person months. For example, NJDEP may want to describe what specific activities it is proposing in FY96 to continue improving the working relationship between New Jersey and its bordering states.
10. Task 3.7. Federal Conalatency. Please explain why the total? cost for this standard activity is expected to increase by $\$ 41,000$ and six person months over last year's federal consistency task. Are there particular issues the state anticipates focussing on that require additional staff time and funds? If so, please describe.
11. Tank 4.1 and 4.2. Granth Management and Performance/

Financial and other Reporting. While the actual proposed activities under these tasks have not changed from previous years, the cost has increased considerably over the FY95 award by $\$ 45,296$ and $\{21,464$; respectively. Please provide an explanation and a description of the new activities and expected product a associated with the increase in costs and person months.

12. Section 309 Takes. OCRM received New Jersey' в revised section 309 Strategy on July 12, 1996, and has not yet had the opportunity to review it. Therefore, please be advised that any comments made in this letter as well as approval of the proposed tasks are ultimately contingent upon approval of the revised Strategy, as well as NJDEP's response to the comments listed below.
a. Task 5.1, Coastal Hazards - Identification of Jurisdictional Inland pune line. Please place the proposed activities in bullets and identify benchmarks/a schedule for when they will be completed.
b. Task 5.2. Cumulative and Secondary Impacts.
(1) We racommend narrowing the gcope of the proposed activity in order to meet section 309 requirements. As written, the proposed task and activities do not result in a clearly definea section 309 "program change.". In ordar to raceive Eurther conalderation, the project should identify specific progiram changes that will reault from the collection and mapping of data. Only those guidelines, procedures and policy documents which are enforceable through New Jeraey's Coastal Zone Management Program are eligible for aection 309 funding.
(2) The activities leading up to the program change ahould be clearly identified and be associated with benchmarks throughout the award year.
(3) In the current list of activities, NJDEP liats convening workehops and meeting with various agencies and local governments to implement best management practices to minimize loadings. Please be advised that workshops and public education must sexve as a tool in developing the program change, and can not be conducted to implement or explain the proposed changes after they have been adopted.
(4) We would note that under "Expected. Producta," the digital and mapped products showing phosphorous and nitrogen loadings ia currently scheduled for completion in the third quarter. This conłlicts with the state's intention to prioritize the watersheds by phosphorous and nitrogen? The pororitutron impacts, currently scheduled for the Eirst quarter.
C. Task 5.3. Public Accep日.

Jinn dome bame
(1) Similar to tasks 5.1 and 5.2 above, please revise the activities schedule to identify the steps NJDEP will follow $\checkmark$ to develop new guidelines, procedures and policies.
(2) Please alaxify what in meant by "the following activities will ee incorporated into the plang It appears that the state is proposing rew guidellnes and procedures, racher anjuagethan a "plan."
(3) The current purpose for the workshops is to introduce municipal and county governments and not-for-profit organizations and the public to the coastal public access guidance document. Since this is the ultimate product, as indicated for Task 5.2, workshops and public education/? outreach are fundable under 309 only for the purpose of developing the program change, not implementing it.
(4) Please remove the language in the last paragraph of page 56 referring to "in the guise of" providing new guidelines.

## 7

(5) Under "Expected Products;" please identify in advance which policies and procedures will be mended to incorporate the standards and guidance. This information should be included in the application.
(6) The task activities and expected products indicate that a tracking system for public access will be secured through the regulatory process. Please provide additional information on this. How does it contribute to the program change? What will be involved in developing the tracking system? How will it be used?

We hope these comments are helpful as you continue to develop your final award application. please be advised that the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Grants Management Division may provide additional comments once they have completed their review of the draft application. please do not hesitate to contact me at (301) 713-3105, extension 250 if you have any questions or if you would like to diacuas our comments further.

> Sincerely,


Helen C. P. Grady Coastal Program Specialist


Chapiere 64 Title 7 Besch presseramion bet.
Lelawars's Consewation and Queavation Coumur thet of 1978

- Cttlantié Sulanare
$\begin{aligned} \text { Leach }= & 1,000^{\prime} \text { intand of MHW and } \\ & 2,500^{\prime} \text { ontshoure 'I MHW }\end{aligned}$ 2,500 ontstorec 'f MHW.
Way shows: 200 'inlanal and 300'seen radey M施

DNREC shall puen t and upair damagsesprom uosion qublic tucches
buort Beachs owners an liable for spmoner
Rules.
Wach: $1000^{\prime}$ or first nowal for automotile - -ucorstuntion subsaid of sh haldebris bine sequine pemnit. $\rightarrow$ must bu deyord bulabing lme lif posobib ar as far landrverd las possible
Buldenin seduraced of the billoing hiv is allovabhe if the poction of the lot landiraed of the limin is too small to accomodatu the buildnin on must bu so placed to accomplish the stuuctiriós inctert.
 ofter $8 / 13 P 81$;

DNREC may upuine metyation uncluoting. broch nowrishmat, dince consthuctovion minititanes
tettevof appsoval requies for constuction Lamdivaldg S biliding sethaos the withiz the beach acea

Chagth iv Tutte $7 \rightarrow$ Andaqueros tande $=$
Tust have peumit, lease or bette of coppcoval Wefpu occhysation of tidelanob and son mexgestions
founn to mase diciecons on Conmuicial project. $\rightarrow$ and teccritary.
Annial is not appualable.

- Onarniar Eugulatorio. -

Rugulationo apply te any facilty wo 50 mou slyse
Opew mainas siting citevia $\rightarrow$ Sitios + Dssiss STudy

- Inust upplour construations of art idy loat stoncoce

Cunt ond for thu least dremagning alttonatioc
 - Climit orog il srate usso au maintanict in o ortsaidy the bowin $\rightarrow$ Ininimuan asosesment of fral colifform and D.O. includiniz mochling moontrang of floshing rats, extetioysthellfial closue, arg D.O, stho

- Cunmulatai impact corsiduation.
- Yess thar I alw urettace distertanne
- Ip macinias sthue clonue zous in treed stulffisi grounds mot abuady perobilitition rectricte $\rightarrow a$ if Htowe ares may be goned in fiture che to mar piont and poit soure coriol.
- SAU must te compensatit for- 110 Sheding Albuer.
 on evahated- only 0-3 on a 0-8 pcale may
- bu ased pa maunas.
- Corotuinited in critical area.

Brainas Celaming + busign Cauquivinut. 1\% crescoted tivitio undeusativ.
Gegulationso Aoverning Subagucons Fando Mlses. Cill struans or vatervous having a difored bins and naviable te the Eppsiopemate liviv if muan Rugh wortes
io overevativ structure nson satio dyandent.
Ho gayubos.
os docsominuiums
Exempts
Cauniaze diticw
ruconsturction -: serveccelle mpin past 6 mos 12 mrovings
doobs $20 \%$ or wh vielth כso fut mapionuma.
Uuilging to oftawi clyertitfor a paisate focilts is sprotibited
${ }^{1}$ Chapter 66 Hith 7 Shlaware Quithands Clbor mean low water to 2ft above MHW
Thest reccive meerimipal appreval fiest.
Fpenpt: mrosputc contrel, maricatori aids. 'duck blincos foot buides, scoging, haying, cumbing, fisturg tucysing
4, permit to duedge deypen thon adjoning channel.
To chonnuls wt orly one outlet undwos lequipped $\sim /$ auraboo.
tode dhopes musot he IV: 3 H
Inust be vater dypndelt t no attimatave on adjoining ylanos is common - owrevatip

To bulbhard above jpitg ground.
Type I peemits (Cbbucorath)

- liss thein loce + no sturctione - mamitononvo of pabic facilitis
- mainteran cheding wol no opoic is veltenos
- villlids mignt. maintmanav

Type II peemits


Cuosoted timbus peronitited

- minimum frontage for boat dors. $40^{\prime}$

To stercturd phou protuction shere vetteand lust encless a huontruet vill nat harm the adjacent enviroment
Hf Duelging must not contravene Hela wares velt quality standaros - numuic or - desuricitiof nises.

Crothbit dudginz new loggoma ar Senget invidt, 3:1 on guath
\& Sputuilit prop sash duelging.

Zowe $5+6$ Listor Sf.

$$
L_{>}=C 1
$$

$$
5 / 26 / 94 \quad \text { nit g } w / \text { steve }
$$

1) meant to cover bay +river
2) cite Supreme Court case
3) MOA say that we will compare regs/standarels every $x$ years -notirckule comparison as copperbix -or as pout of MoA
4) remove DAG: signature lines
5) dort want De Plans - Solid + Hozardens What

Intended For:
Author: Dorina Frizzera
Subject: DE/NJ Comp

This Item is In Progress


CC: Dorina Frizzera From: Dorina Frizzera
Subject: DE/NJ Comp Date Sent: 07/19/94
Ruth, Before I talk w/Steve about which permit applications should get shared w/Delaware, it would be helpful to know what you and Ernie think the threshold should be. What're your thoughts? Thanks. Terry Fowler Reply
Ruth, any ideas? Thanks. Terry Fowler
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I met w/ Ruth Ehinger on $11 / 5 / 93$. We discussed the latest draft of the MOA between Delaware and New Jersey. Her comments were as follows:

1) We should change the rules so that the applicant sends coples of information to $D E$. It would be too cumbersome to have staff do it.
2) She doesn't want to look at DE's applications, except for activities in the waterway on NJ side of centerline and large scale projects
3) We should tell DE what we want from them and let them tell us what they want from us, rather than defining now what we'll give to them
4) We could condition our permits on people getting applicable $D E$ permits
5) Does DE presently get permits for development starting in NJ?
6) We should say that we'll share data when $D E$ asks for it
7) With regard to proposed rule changes, if we're only going to refer to coastal ones, then we could send the proposals to them. If we're going to include other programs, we should send them the NJ Register.

Memorandum of Agreement<br>Between<br>The New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection,<br>Division of Coastal Resources<br>and the<br>New Jersey Pinelands Commission

## Purpose and Applicability

This Memorandum of Agreement between the New Jersey Division of Coastal Resources and the New Jersey Pinelands Commission is intended to formalize a framework for coordinating the policies and activities of the Coastal Management Program (N.J.A.C. 7:7E-1.l et seq.) and the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan (N.J.A.C. 7:50-1 et seq.) in those portions of the pinelands National Reserve which lie within the coastal zone. This memorandum applies only to those portions of the coastal zone within the Pinelands National Reserve.

The Pinelands Commission and Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Coastal Resources agree to the principles, procedures, and responsibilities that follow, recognize the statutory limitations of both agencies, and do not intend this memorandum of agreement to expand or limit their existing statutory powers in any way.

Points of Agreement

1. The Pinelands Commission and the Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Coastal Resources (DEP-DCR) agree that the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan and the Coastal Management Program are generally consistent with respect to those portions of the Pinelands National Reserve which lie within the coastal zone as defined in New Jersey's Coastal Management Program.
2. The Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Coastal Resources (DEP-DCR), agrees to implement the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan within the coastal zone to the extent of its statutory authority, and in accordance with DEP-DCR's Special Area Policy which states that coastal development "shall be consistent with the intent, policies, and objectives" of both Federal and State Pinelands legislation (N.J.A.C. 7:7E-3.421. In carrying out this agreement, DEP-DCR shall refer to the Pinelands Land Capability Map, relevant standards contained in the pinelands Comprehensive... Management Plan, and review comments submitted by the pinelands conmission on applications for development within the Pinelands National Reserve.
3. The Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Coastal Resources (DEP-DCR) will consider the pinelands Commission to be a reviewing agency for any CAFRA permit applications. affecting the Pinelands National Reserve.

- The DEP-DCR shall also transmit for Commisaion review, certifications pursuant to Section 307 of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, and Wetlands and Waterfront Development permit applications in the Pinelands National Reserve, where such applications involve major development as defined in the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan, and all applications in the pinelands Area except for those activities specified in N.J.A.C. 7:50-4.1.

4. Where the DCR is reviewing a permit application involving land in the Pinelands National Reserve, the DCR shall ensure an opportunity for the Commission as well as the municipality in which the project is located to comment on the manner in which Pinelands standards apply to the subject application. Prior to any application being declared complete for filing, the DCR shall transmit to the Commission a copy of the application, site plans and environmental impact statement, if required, and request comments on the application which will be considered in the Division's decision on the application.
5. Within the portion of the State pinelands Area which lies within the coastal zone, both DEP-DCR and the Pinelands Commission shall administer their respective statutorily mandated permit and review functions. The Pinelands Commission shall, within five working days of receipt of a completed application proposing development in the coastal zone, notify DEP-DCR of the application for development by sending a copy of the application to DEP-DCR for comment. DEP-DCR, prior to accepting a CAFRA, Waterfront Development, or Wetlands application for filing, shall require the applicant to furnish either a Certificate of Compliance, or a Certificate or Notice of Filing issued by the Finelands Commission, whichever is appropriate. Both agencies recognize that each agency has the independent authority to approve or deny applications pursuant to its own regulations.

6. In the event that one or both agencies propose to amend their adopted regulations or policies, they will consult with the other to determine how the amendments will affect the administration of their respective programs, and will attempt to resolve any differences prior to taking action. In particular, the commissioner of the Department of Environmental Protection signed Executive Order No. 70 on September 18, 1987, desiqnating DEP-DCR
as the agency responsible for implementation of che Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act in.J.S.A. 13:9B-1 et seg.) and the Flood Hazard Area Control Act (N.J.S. $\bar{A}$. 5B:16A-50 et seq.). DEP-DCR will consult with the - Pinelands Commission, first to evaluate the level of consistency between the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan and the rules and standards of the flood Hazard Area Control Act, second, to coordinate in the development of rules and standards to implement the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act, and third, to develop specific recommendations and an implementation agenda for improving, where possible, the level of consistency throughout the entire Pinelands National Reserve and Pinelands Area where the regulatory jurisdictions of these statutes overlap.
Should inconsistencies between the Pinelands Comprehensive Management Plan and DEP's Rules on Coastal Resources and Development Policies be identified, the Pinelands Commission and DEP-DCR shall work together to make the two sets of policies more complementary, including, if necessary, eliminating inconsistencies through amendments to either or both sets of policies. In order to identify, discuss, and resolve policy and interpretation inconsistencies, and to ensure success of the cooperative application review and comment procedures, designated representatives of the DEP-DCR and Pinelands Commission staff shall meet periodically at a time and location to be mutually agreed upon, to discuss permit review coordination, specific policies and their interpretation, and growth area designation inconsistencies. A report of each meeting shall be prepared for review by the Director of the Division of Coastal Resources and the Executive Director of the Pinelands Commission.
7. DEP-DCR and the Pinelands Commission recognize similarity in their data bases and needs, and agree to share data whenever possible. To streamline the process, the Pinelands Commission and DEP-DCR will cooperatively work to standardize their respective data bases. In addition, the two agencies will work together in the development of a State Geographic Information System, and will coordinate long range planning and research agendas involving federal grant programs.
8. The Executive Director of the Pinelands Commission and the Director of the Division of Coastal Resources may jointly propose revisions to the terms and procedures of this Memorandum of Agreement from time to time.
9. This MOA shall-take effect upon signing 1 by both parties and subsequent to the Governor's review for a period of ten (10) business days. This agreement may be terminated by either party by providing notice of termination go the other party sixty (60) days prior to termination.


Executive Director
Pinelands Commission
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VS OFFICE Electronic Mail Friday 05/07/93 04:19 pm

CC: Steven Whitney
From: Steven Whitney
Subject: Navy Dredging/Salem Nuc.

401 4W

Date: 05/07/93


Distribution:

Not Requested

Ruth, I attended the annual coastal program manager's meeting this week and have a few questions for you that were asked of me. Laurie McGilvery asked about the status of the Navy dredging proposal. Apparently she knows something about the meetings DEPE is holding with the Navy. Laurie would like our preliminary views about the proposal and ongoing process. Is this something you are at liberty/comfortable at providing? Secondly, David Carter from the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control mentioned that PSE\&G is meeting with DNREC today about the proposed Salem Cooling Tower. David wanted to know our position about the tower and who is NJ's contact. I suggested that you may be the contact but wanted to check with you before confirming with him. I am preparing a summary of the coastal program managers meeting and will send to you a copy. Thanks.


## ath-i8

DEED

THIXS DEED, made this $\qquad$ day of $Q$ (ex-nenerigit, '19\%
 A. D. $96 t$, between THE STATE OF DELAWARE (herein referred to as "Granter"), and sunolin chricicai company, a Delaware corporation (herein referred to as "Granted").
$\therefore$ 'Whereas, the Grantor" is the owner of certain subaqueous. 1 and s lying beneath the waters of the Delaware River; off-ohore from the Sunolin Chemical Company property situate In Brandywine iiundted, New Castle County, Delaware, at a point immediately South of the Delaware-Pennsylvania boundary line; and

Whereas, the Grantee is'the owner of 13.2 acres of land of which approximately. 10.63 acres constituted the fortshore property of the Grantee', as it existed in 1952 and prion thereto; and

Whereas, the Grantee has filed a petition in accordance Withe the provisions of Title 7 Delaware Code $\$ 4520$ In which it asks that a-quitclalm deed be executed and delivered to the aforesaid 13.2 acres of land of any propretetary interest, right or claim which the State of Delaware may have and of any rights which the public may have in fishing and navigation, appurtenant to the aforesaid 13.2 acres and has also requested a convey rance in fee simple by the State of Delaware of 0 , 4 acres of subraques: s land adjacent to the above tract, for tire purpose of straighten-


Whereas, under tia provisions of Tithe 7 Deiakiare

$$
!
$$

## 

Code, $29: 53$, 5420 , a special Commision has found it to be in the public interast to grant. such request of the Grantea.

HOR, THERESORE, BE LT WLTNESSETH AS FOLLONS:
That the Grantor, in accordance with the provioions
of Ticle 7 Delaware Code, 1953, 54520 , and for and in consideration of the sum of SLEYBY THODSAND OSE MUNDRED DOLLARS ( $\$ 7,100 ; 00$ )
Iawful money of the Uniced states of Americe, the recelpt whereof
is hegreby acknowledged, doen hereby grinnt, convey, release and
forewer quitelaim unto the Grtitea, ito guccebsors and assigna, all of fte proprietary fintereste, righta or clalms together with 11 rights of the public for purposes of flishing or navigation, Ins and to

ALL that certain piece and parcel of land, situate
In Brandywine Hundrad, Ner Gastle County, Delawara, belrg in large portion the foreshore of the property of Sunolin Chemical
Company abutiling the Delaware River: as the same existed in
in by the Sunoi thereto and now. high land having been filled more parkicularly bounded andpany's predecessor in title, and
ribed as follows
proparty of Sunoing at a point in the division inn betwaen the Corporation (bald point beal Coupeny and Allied Chemical \& Dye seconds Rast 125 feet being South 32 degrees, 0 minutes, 27 division line, said pematant from a pernament monument in said 0 winutes, 27 geconds West 5 monument being North 32 degrees, corner of a wooden bulkhead os feat, moxe or less, from the thence from aaid Begiming point Alled Cherifal \& Dye Corporation), 36.2 seconds East 1050 feet, woint North 60 degress, 19 minutes, division ine between the propere or less, to a point in the and Sun O11 Company; phe property of Sun01in Chemical Company seconds East 530 feet, more or le 31 degrees, 22 minutes, 41 vania bulkhead ifne as extended; ies, to a point in the Pennsylburkhad line South 60 degrees, 19 minu along the said extended 1043. 66 feet more or less, to 19 minutes, 36.2 seconds West 0 minutes, 2$\}$ seconds West, $528: 79$ point; thence North 32 degrees, division line between said property of more or less, along the and Allied Chemical \& Dye Corporation SunOlin Chemical Company of Beginning.

To have and to hold the same, together with all and Efrgular the appurtenances and.privilagea thereunto belöngingzi: or in argive mpertainfig thereunto including all littoral; riparian and ahore righto, Iibartian and privileges whatsaver; and -all, the estate, right, title, interest and claim " whatever of; the Granter, or this public; either in law or in -quite, to the only proper use; benefit and behoof of the. Granter, its buccerpors and assigns, forever.

2\% WITMB88 HHRREDF, The State of Delaware has caused Ito name by Elbert H. Carvel, the Covexinor of the State of Delaware; to be hereunto set' and the seal of the State of Delaware to be hereunto affixed, duly attested by the Secretary of state of The State of Delaware, the day and year first above whiten.

Baled and Delivered
In the pregame of:


THE STATE OF DELAwARE.



phis agreement of lease made in duplicate thia Ninth day of October A.D. 1963, Dy and between the STATE OP DRLAWARE, parrig of the flret part,

$$
-A \mathrm{~N} D
$$

COLONIAL PTPEIINE COMPANY, a Delaware corporation, party of the second part,

WhEREAS the State of Delaware is the propriatary owner of certein subaqueous public lands lying beneath the mean low water IInea of the waters of the Delaware River; WHEREAS the Colonial Pipeline Company has made appliaation, pursuant to iftio 7 of the Delawace Code, seation 4520, to use a part of those subaqueous public lands For the purpoges of construoting, maintaining, inspecting, oporating, protecting, renewil, replacing, repairing, improving and removing a submarine pipe line for the transportation of refined petroleum products;

WHKREAS in the opinion of the Governor of the State of Dedaware it is in the public interost for coloniad Pipeline Company to use a part of the subaqueoun public lands beneath the waters of the Dolaware River for the oaid pipe 1ine; and

WHEREAS, pursuent to Tltle 7 of the Delarere Code, Section 4520, the Governos has appointod a speoial comaigsion which has determined and recommended in writing to the Governor that the subacueous public lands beneath the waters or the Delaware 肚ver as herelnafter described, be leased to Coionial Fipeltno Compsny for said pipe line on the terms and Cohituloze ienetu set frath; siot that the sald landa are not


## WITNESSETH

1. The State of Delaware by the Governor of the state of Delaware, purguant to Tlthe 7 of the Delaware Code, Section 4520, hereby leases to Colonial Pipeline Company all that certain piece or parcel of aubaqueous public land, IyIng in the bed of the Delaware River, situate in the State of Deiaware, from the vieinity of Claymont, New Castle County, Delaware to the vielnity of oldmens creak, Logan Tommsh1p, Glouceater County, State of Nev Jersey, being 25 reet on either side of a center line 5,921 feet 1J length, by refierenoe to a surveg fumished by Ameriagn Dredging Company, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and Information appeareng on United States Aruy Corpa of Engineers, Delaware River Datum Map 25602, Sheet No. 6, being more particularly deseribed as rollows, to-wit:

From a point knokn as Pipeline Station $70+40.7$ situate on the Wast bank of the Delaware River, said point boing a get $2 x 2$ hub at coordinatea N. $489,304.8$ E. $124,153.4$ a distance of $2,316.52$ feet on azinuth bearing $128^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 14^{\prime \prime}$ From the southeast chimney of Phoenix Steel Corporation, gaid chimney being at coordinates $N .491,052.5 \mathrm{E} .121,944,7$; thence from said point N. $23^{\circ} 32^{\prime \prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ West, 283.2 feet to a point known as Pipeiine station $67+57.5$; thence rrom said point H. $43^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime}$ West, 43'. 5 feet to a point in mean low water elevation on the West rank of the Delaware R1ver, being Pipeline Station $63+20$ and the point and plisce of BROINNING: thence from satd point of Beginning, continuing N. $43^{\circ} 40$, $55^{\prime \prime}$ Weat, and along the 1maginary centerline of said 50 foot wide right of way. 5,921 feet ta a point in mean low water elevation on the Rast bank of said River, being Pipeline Station $3+99$, said point alzo being situate on the boundary line between the states of Delaware and Now Jersey,

2. Colonial Pipeline company shall pay annually es rent for the premisea leased herein the sum of Two Thousand Nine Hundred Sixty Dollazs end Fifty Cents, ( $\$ 2,960.50$ ) In lawful money of the United States of marica. Colonial Pipeline Company shall make the first anmual paymant to the Treasurer of the state of Delaware, Dover, Delaware, upon the execution of this lase, and like payment ohall be so made on the annipoxagry date of auch payment during the temu of thio lease or any extension thereor.
3. The term of this lease shall be for twenty (20) years, commencing on the 9th day of October,' A. D. 1963, and teminating on the gthary of October, A. D. 1983, provided, however, that this lease shall automatically be extended for succeeding periods of ten (10) years each, unless one ( $\alpha$ ) year prior to the date of termination of the original twenty (20) year temm or any ten (10) year extenaion thereof, either party gives to the other notice in writing that this lease shall not be extended.
4. The State of Delaware agrees to déliver possession
of the premises to Colonial Pipeline Company. as, soon as Colonial Pipeline Company has made payment to the Treaburer of the State of Delaware.

## 5. Colonial Pipaline Company agrees to take aotual

 possession of the prensises and to use the premises forthe purposae of constructing, maintaining, inspecting, aperating, proteoting, renewing, seplacing, repairing, lsproving and removing a submarine pipe ilne for the transportation of fefined petroleum prosinets.


Pipeline Company, (if demand is made upon it by the Itate of Delaware to do aol, will renove the pipe line or auch portion thereof as may be considered to be an obstruction either to navigation or to the free use of the Delaware River, within $91 x$ monthe from the date of demand.
7. Colonial Pipeline Company will maintaln
the pipe line in a good and safe condition and will protect and save the State of Delaware hampegs Pron any Iosb, oost or damages caused by reason of such pipe line andor Its meintemanoe.
8. Upon failure or refubal to comply with the demand by the State of Delavare to remove the plpe line should Colonial Pipeline Company abandon the plpe line as set forth in paragraph 6 above or upon the failure of Colonial Pipaline Company to maintain the pipe line in a sare condition as ast forth in paragraph 7 above, this lease shall inmediately terminate and all the rights and privila eges therein ahall thereupon revert to the State of Delakape. In that event, Colonial Pipeline Company will promptly quit, suryender and vacate the premises and should it neglect or Pail to renove such obatiruations an may exist, the state of Delaware may remove or sause to be removed the obstructions at the expense and cost of Colonial Pipeline Company, whioh hereby agrees to refmburge the State of Delaware for the full amount of the expense incurred in causing such removal.
9. Colontal Pipeline Company shall at all times comply with such rules and regulations relating to mavigation sis may from time to tino be promulgated by the United Statea Corps of Fingineers as the same map affect such pipe line. It is understood that finilure to conply shall constitute a oreach of this least agzeenmit which, at the option or the

10. The terms, covenants and provisions of this
lease shall extend and be binding upon the successors and assigns of the parties hereto.

If WITNESS YHEREOP the State ort Delaware has caused fie name by Elbert $N$. Cornel, the Governor of the State of Delaware, to be hereunto set, and the seal of the state of Delaware to be hereunto affixed, duly attested by the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware, and Colonial Fiveline Company, a Delaware corporation, has caused 1 ts name by $K_{i}$ Tifelfman itsExecotin Kicepresident, to bo hereunto pt and its corporate seal to be hereunto affixed, duly attagtad by the secretary of the corporation the day and year first above written.
Sealed and delivered THE STATE OP DELAWARE




COLONIAL PIPELINE COMPANY

$-5-$
STATE OF DELAWARE
NEW CASTLE COUNTY

SS.

BE IT REMEMBERED, That on this faith day of Cuctohuer in the year of our LORD one thousand nine hundred and siktynthree personally came before me, the Subscriber, e Notary Public for the State of Delaware, ELEEFT N. CARVEL, dovemor of the state of Delaware, party to til Agreement of Lease, known to me personally to be such, and acknowledged this Agreement of lease to be his act and deed and the act and deed of the State of Delaware, that the signature of the Governor thereto is in his own proper handwriting and the seal affixed 1 s the seal of the state of Delaware, and that his act; of sealing, executing s acknowledging and delivering this Agreement of Lease is duly authorized by virtue of the provisions of Title 7 of the Delaware Code, Section 4520.

GIVEN under my Hand and Seal of office
the day and year aforesaid.



SUBAQUEOUS LANMG LEASE
CRAYTED TO
SUNOLIR CHEMICAL COMPANY
FOR
reisgitance of a bubaqueous tands lease issued obTOBER 10, 1961

AXD NOW, co-mit thia $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$
 approval by the Secretary of the Depattanent of Natural Resources and finvironmental Control opon the application of SunOlin Chamical Company, submited to the Departmant of Naturai Reaources and Environagntal Coderol and datad July 30, 1981, copy of wiich is attached hereto and made m paix hereof.

WHBREAS, the Brate of Dalewars is the owner of ungranted subaquaous lande lying bioneath the waters of the Delawate Rívert and.

Whereas, Sunolin Chemical Company, owner of certain adjaining lands to tha Delaware fiver, lias applied for the reinguance of a Subaqusous Lands Lesase to maincain eight (8) submarine pipelines for the tramportation of petroleum products in accordance with, the terms of that leise j-and
 Code, the Secretary of the Department of Naturall Reqources and Bivixomental control finde chat it fo not contrary to the publie iptereat.if this lease is reigsued subjeat to the terta and conditions hereing set forch;

NGW, THEERORE; the State of Delatare bereby laaser to Sundin Chemical Company and Sunolin Chemicial Company hereby Lease frop the siate dif Delaware, All that certain piece or parcel of subaqueous land, lying in the bed of the Delaware River, situate in Brandywine Hundred, New cabtle Councy, State of -Delaware, adjoining lands of Bunolin Cbemical Company, near-the DelewaremPennsylvania boundary line, more particularly bounded end described. as follows: Being a strip of underwater land in said River tuenty-five (25) feet on each side of a line, said line begioning at a point on the property of the Sunglin Chemical company, Claymant, Wrew Castle Councy; Delaware, having thc U, S. Arny Corpa of Eugineere coordinates 490075,7 noritb and 127323.9 babt, said point being identified as sunditik No. 2 on Suniolin Chemical Company Drawing No. SCz-101, revi'sion 2, and further located as being $S 76^{\circ}$. $14^{\prime}, 46^{\prime \prime}$ E $27.93^{\prime}$ and $\mathbb{N} 63^{\circ}$,
 coordinates. 485376.0 notth and 117795.6 ast; thenoo oxtording ecroan the
 No. 3 on gaid plan, having D.S. Aryly Corps of Engineers coordinates 484453.0 north and 129691.8 esst, said point in Gloucester County, Hew Jersey, being $95^{\circ}$, $45^{\prime}$, $53^{\prime \prime}$ E 3138.7!' Erom the d.S. Army Corps. of Engineeze Mroment "MaLLOK SLITANT," coordinates 483164.7 porth and 126829. 8 erat. The lease of the aforesaid premises is not intended to include any rights in the upland and ig issued subject to the approval of the Department of the druyg.

This. Tease shall be continued for a, period of ten (10) years or bo long as the conditiona attached to the lease are adhered to, whichever is the ahorter in time. Upon the expirecion of the cen-year term, crity Iease shall. expite atd become mull and vold, unless prior thereto the leasee ohall have applied for and. received a zenewal of this Lama 4 renewal may be denfed f'f the semte detarminas that the legse is no longer in the public interest:
i. Change of Location or Abandonnient. Thia Agreement of Leásen is granted upion the further conditions:
(a). That if, in the opinion of the Governor of the State of Delaware, or of the governing body of suich ageacy of the Gtace of Dejaware, $\therefore$ it shall be necessary in the public interest to require et change. in'the location of said pipalines; Sunolin Chemioal Company shall promptly, at its own expense, lover on otherwise mowe aaid pipelinies. to such new location as is. or may be determfned or indicated by, the State of Delaware or with the approval of the Department of cha dray;
(b) That SunOlin Chemical Company will maintain aid pipelide in a goind and safe condition and will provect and save the scace of Delawace hamilese facn any lobe, coot or damage'by reasan of afid pipelines andfor their maintepanoe;
(c) That if the use of such pipeline facilities shall be sbandoried, or - phall femain sbandoned for period of one year, suñlin Wherical : Compeny will remove the aforesaid pipelines or such portion thereof an may be considered to be an obstruction, either to navigation or the free wae of the Delaware Rivar, if derand is wada upon it by the Srate of Delaware to so da;
(d) Upon failure or réfusal to eomply wich the demand to lower or otherwise mova the pipelines, ad aet forth in sub-paragraph (a) above, or upon failure to maintain the pipelines in safe condition, as prescribed in sub-paragraph (b) above, or upon abapdonment, as provided in sub-paragraph (c) above, this lease shall imediately qeabe, cprminaca and come to mend, and this inm atrument chall become void and all the rights and privileges herein shall thereupon revert to the Btate of Delnurare. In the event of such termination, Sun01f Chemical Company will promptly quitr, surrender and vacate the premises and should it negleat or fail to remove auch obatruction as may exist, the Btate of Delanaza may remova, or cansa tha same to be removed, of. tha experuse and cost of Sunolin Cherical Company, which-hereby agrees to reimburse the State of Delaware for the foll mount of the expenser incuríad in causing such removali,
2. During the tera of chis lease, Bunolin Gemical Company agrees to pay the state of nelaware the sum of $\$ 22,518.60$ per year for a period
 and a paydent of the same amount on the same day every pany thereafter until a fowmal termination hareaf.
3. This lease does not authorize modifications or adidicions to any structure(s) locitedion subaqueous lands. An application for supplemential approval to this lease must be aubnitted for any modification or addition.
4. This lease ddes not cover the otructural stability of the" project unite.
5. Any actions, operations or installations which are considered by the Departnent to be contrany to the best interests. of the public shazl constitute feason-for the discontinuance and/or removad of said action, operation or installation.
6. The lessaec shall maintein any atructure on public subaqueoud
lands in good and asfe condition and will proractiand save the State of belawere harmless from any lans, cott or danage by reason of said structures.

```
                                    BL-0508/81
                                    Sum01in Chemical Company
                                    Page 4
```

7. The 1 aecem thall te \&Il timea comply wi'th such ruleg nad regularions relating to navigation as may from time to time be promulgated by the 'United Btates Corpe of Bugineers as rbe pome may affect nuch自tracture 8.
8. The isswance of this lease does not imply approval of any other . part, phase, or portion of any overall. project the leseas may be conEemplating.
9. This lease ehail not be constried to grant or confer any right, title, éasement, or intereat in, to; or over any Iand belonging to ${ }^{\circ}$
the State of Delawate other then that of a tenant.
10. This. lease is subject to the terms and conditions containad in any easemient, licenpe or lease that may have been granced by the State: or any political aubdivision, board, comionion or agoday of the state in the vicinity of the leased premises.
11. Kothing contained herain shạ11 in any memer affect the righti of any riparian land owner now existing under the laus of the state of d́el aware.
12. This laper and authorization are granted for the purposes as
pitated herein. hny other use withoint prior approvel shall cordetitute riasoi for this lease being reivoked. ${ }^{\circ}$
13. Thia leabe will be revoked upon violation of any of the above
"condítions.

IN WITNESs WHRREOF, WE, Sin0lin Chemical Company, have caused this instrument to be executed on this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ -, 1981.


SWORN and subscribed before me on

1981.

Mornay Public

IN WITNEBS WHR\&bOf, I, John E. Wilson, III, Secretary, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, have hereunto getty. my had and veal this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$
1-981.


IN WITNESE WHEREOF, I, Pierre S. du Pone, IV, Governor of the state of Delaware, have hereunto aet my hand and the Great Seal of the State of D̈alamare has been hereunto affixed by the Secretary of strata at Dover, on this $\quad$ /fitch. $\quad$ day of $\qquad$ in the year of our Lord One Thousand Nine Hundred and Eighty-bne.


GRANTED TO
COLONIAL PIPELINE COMPANY

TO
CONSTRUCT A 30" SUBMERGED PETROLEUM PRODUCTS PIRELINE ACROSS THE DELAWARE RIVER AND NOW, to-wit this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , A. D. 1987, the Scate of Delaware does hereby accept the $\qquad$ approval by the Secretary of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control upon the application of Colonial Pipeline Company, submitted to the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (hereinafter "the Deparment") and dated January 26, 1987, a copy of which is attached hareto and made a part hereof.
whereas, the state of Delaware is the owner of ungranted subaqueous lands lying beneath the waters of the Delaware River; and

WHEREAS, Colonial Pipeline Company, has applied for permission to construct a 30" submerged percoleum products pipeline across the Delaware River; and

WHEREAS, the State of Delaware, by and through the Department certifies that the permicted activity will be conducted in a mamer which will not violate the applicable water quality standards of the State of Delaware; and WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del, $C_{2}, \S 7203$, the Secretary of the Deparment of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (hereinafter "the Secretary") finds that it is not contrary to the public interest if this project. is approved subject to the terms and conditions herein set forth.

NOW, therefore, the state of Delaware hereby permits Colonial Pipeline Company to construct a $30^{\prime \prime}$ submerged petroleum products pipeline across the Delaware River.

This lease shall be continued for a period of ten (10) years or so long as the conditions attached to the lease are adhered to, whichever is the shorter in time. Upon the expifation of the ten-year term, this lease shall expire and become null and void, unless prior thereto the lessee shall have applied for and

THIS lease shall be subject to the following condttions:

1. During the term of this lease, Colonial Pipeline Company agrees to pay the State of Delaware the sum of $\$ 5,023.50$ per annum commencing on the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ and a payment of the same amount on the same day of each and every year thereafter until a formal termination hereof. This annual fee is subject to change at such time as the state of Delaware duly adopts amendments to fts fee schedule for public subaqueous lands.
2. Colonial Pipeline Company agrees to pay the State of Delaware the sum of $\$ 75,000$ per 100,000 cubic yards of material to be dredged from the public subaqueous lands of the Delaware River. This lease shall not become effective until this payment has been made and acknowledged in writing by the State of Delaware. The number of cubic yards of material actually removed shall be verified by documents that the Department may require.
3. All excavated material not suitable for the backfilling of the trench shall be placed in scows and cransporced to the approved American Dredging Company dredged material disposal area in Logan, New Jersey.
4. Prior to the use of explosives for trench excavation a written description of the procedures to be utilized must be submitted and approved in writing by the Secrecary before blasting can begin.
5. There shall be no excavation or use of explosives between the months of April and June in order to minimize the impact on anadramous fish passage.
6. The pipeline shall be built in accordance with the requirements of the federal "Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Safety Act" as amended.

## Page 3

7. The conditions contained herein shall be included in the construction contract and other documencs.
8. Sediment and erosion controls shall be implemented so as not to violate the "State of Delaware Water Quality Standards for Streams" adopted December 23, 1985.
9. The project is to be undertaken in accordance with the plans and specifications submitted to include the following:
a. Application dated January 26, 1987, drawings with ravised Sheet 1 of 2 and Sheet 2 of 2 dated April 21, 1987 and Sheet 3 of 3 dated January 22, 1987 with attached project starement dated February, 1987;
b. "Agreement and Technical Specifications for constructing a 30" OD Pipeline across the Delaware River AFE7385;"
e. Drawing "proposed 30" spare mainline Delaware River crossing" Sheet 1; BEA-CP-0001 dated April 30, 1987;
d. Three aerial photographs: "Proposed 30" spare mainline Delaware River crossing ${ }^{\circ}$ Sheet 1, 8-DWR-SK3 dace April 7, 1987: "Delaware River crossing north bank" Sheat 1, 8-DWR-SK1 dated April 7, 1987; "Delaware River crossing south bank" Sheet 1, 8-DWR-SK2 dated April 7, 1987.
e. Colonial Pipeline Company, Woodbury Area, "Energency Directory Index," dated 5/85.
f. Letrer to the Deparment's Division of Water Resources from Burlco Engineering Associates dated May 7, 1987 regarding clarification of specifications.
10. A copy of this lease must be available on sice during all phases of construction activity, and the Department's Notice of Authorization displayed in a highly visible location at all times.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 12. Representatives of the Department may inspect such work ar any mplemental approval issued pripr to actual } \\
& \text { time and may collect any samples or conduct any tests that are } \\
& \text { deemed necessary. } \\
& \text { 13. This lease does not cover the structural stability of the project } \\
& \text { units. } \\
& \text { 14. Prior to the expiration of this lease, the lessee shall remove } \\
& \text { all structures covered under this lease unless che lease her m... }
\end{aligned}
$$ .. ư cerms.

ـ). Any actions, operations or installations which are considered by the Department to be contrary to the best interests of the public or as are specified by regulation shall constitute reason for the revokation of the pexmit and discontinuance and/or removal of said action, operation or installation.
16. The lessee shall notify the Department of the date work will be commenced and completed in advance of the time of commencement and completion within the period the Department spacifies in writing
17. The lessee shall maintain any structure on public subaqueous lands in good and safe condition and will protect and save the State of Delaware harmless from any loss, cost or damage by reason of said structures.
18. The lessee shall at all times comply with such rules and regulations relating to navigation as may from time to time be promul. gated by the United States Cotps of Engineers as the same may affect such structures.
19. The issuance of this lease does not imply approval of any other part, phase, or portion of any overall project the lessee may be contemplating.
20. This lease shall not be construed to grant or confer any right, title, easement, or interest in, 60 , or over any land belonging to the State of Delaware other than that of a tenant.
21. This lease is subject to the terms and conditions contained in any easement, licensa or lease that may have been granted by the Stace or any political subdivision, board, commission or agency of the Stace in the vicinity of the leased premises.
22. Nothing contained herein shall in any manner affect the rights of any riparian land owner now existing under the laws of the State of Delaware.
23. This lease and authorization are granted for the purposes as stated herein. Any other use without prior approval shall constitute reason for this lease being revoked.
24. This lease is void if the project has not been completed within three (3) years from the date of issuance.
25. If the lessee considers three (3) years insufficient for completion of the project, the lessee may submit a project time schedule for consideration by the Deparment. If the time schedule is approved it shall be attached hereto and made a part hereof.
26. All representations, promises, specifications conditions or other statements made by or on behalf of lessee or submitted in connections with lessee's application for this lease are expressly incorporated herein by reference and compliance therewith or satisfaction thereof are warranted to be true and connect and are made a condition of this lease. It is agreed that lessee's representations, promises, specifications or
conditions will be fully complied within the completion of the project described herein.
27. Violation of any of the above conditions is grounds for revocation of this lease.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, Roy Hanson, have caused -his instrument to be executed on this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 1987.

By: $\qquad$
SHORN and subscribed before me on
this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ .,
1987.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, John E. Wilson, III, Secretary, Department of Natural Resources and Envirommental Control, have hereunto set my hand and seal this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 1987.

[^0]UN 81987


REQUBST FOR A COASTAL ZONE STATUS DECISION
Identification of the Applicant:

| Name | (Agent) BURIOO ENGINFERTNG ASSCCTATES |
| :---: | :---: |
| Address | P. O. BOX 2, Riverton, NJ 08077 |
| Telephone | 1609) 795-2651 |
| Signature |  |

If the applicant is not the project owner but is authorized to act for the owner, state that below and give the owner's name and address
(Owner) COLONIAL PIPELINE COMPANY
3990 Peach Tree Road, Lennox Towers, P. O. Box 18855, Atlanta, CA 30326
Project Identification and Description
Yes No

1. a. It the project entirely or partly a new or improved or extended pier or other ship docking facility?
b. If yes, vill the pier or docking facility extend beyond mean low water?
c. If yes, will it be used for bulk cargo transfers solely by the applicant company?
2. a. Is this project entirely for pollution control purposes? - $\bar{X}$
b. If yes, briefly describe, and attach a copy of any order, notice, or regulation if the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control or (federal) Enviromental Protection Agency has required this pollution control measure.
3. a. Will the plant or project meet the following definition of Manufacturing in the Coastal Zone Act: "Manufacturing means the mechanical or chemical tranaformation of organic or inorganic substancea into new products, characteristically using power driven machines and materials handling equipment, and including establishents engaged in assembling component parts of manur factured products, provided the new product is not a structure or other fixed improvement".
b. If no to question 3.a., briefly explain what kind of activity - X. will be carried on at the project aite.
4. a. If yes to Question 3.a., will the plant have the following equipment?
smoke stacks

| tanksdistillation or reaction columa | - |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| chemical processing equipment |  |
| scrubbing tovers |  |
| pickling equipment |  |
| waste treatment lagoons |  |
| site use twenty acres or more? |  |

b. Will the project site use twenty acres or more?
c. Does the plant have the potential to pollute the environment when there is equipment alfunction or human error?
If no, briefly explain the reasons why you can assure that there is no posibility of envirommental pollution
The potential for pollution anly exists if the proposed, or existing pipeline were to rupture. The precaution against such an event is that the pipe is placed 18 feet below the ship channel and protected with a minimum of 10 feet of cover with heavy granular material. This protects against damage by ship anchor. Any rupture or leak due to any cause would be detected by a drop in pressure and the line would be valved shut at the ends.
5. Is the plant or project part of a manufacturing use that was in operation prior to and on June 28, 1971?
6. Does the plant or project involve any change in existing:

| processes? <br> facilities? <br> buildings? |
| :--- |$=\frac{X}{X}$

If the anaver is yes to any of the parts of Question 6, ansver Question: 7, 8, 9, and 10.
7.a. Will any land not currently occupied by plant facilities or used for present plant operations be required for this project? $\qquad$
b. If yes, how much land is involved and how much land is now used for plant operations?
8.a. Will this project inerease plant production eapacity?
b. If yes, explaim in what vay and by how much.
$\qquad$ $\underline{X}$
9. Will this project have any negative envirommental effects on: air quality vater quality ground vater quantity
solid waste disposal
dredse apoil disposal
$=\frac{\frac{x}{x}}{=\frac{x}{x}}$
$=\frac{x}{x}$
alteration or diminishing of vetland or upland wildife habitat
flooding from store water run-off
soil erosion
other enviromental effects
$=\frac{\frac{x}{x}}{\frac{x}{x}}$
10. Will this project produce any of the following environmental effects noticeable beyond the plant property lines:
nolse :
vibration
radiation
electromagnetic interference
glare
heat
obnoxious odors
11. If the answer is yes to any parts of Questions 9 or 10 , briefly explain the process, equipment, and the envirommental impacts involved (use quantitative terms where possible).
12. Please attach a conclse but complete description of the proposed. project, and indicate how it is related to existing manufacturing operations and facilities (if it is not an entirely new manufacturing plant). Explain what effects there will be on land use acreage, manufacturing production capacity, and environmental effects.


Surface water Management SECTION


STATE OF DELAWARE department of Natural resources \& Environmental Control
Division of Water resources
89 KINGS HIGHWAY. PO. BOX 1401
Dover. Delaware 19903

July 21, 1987

Mr. T. H. Norris
Vice President of Operations
Colonial Pipeline Company
3390 Peachtree Road, N.E.
Lenox Towers, P.O. Box 18855
Atlanta, Georgia 30326

## RE: Subaqueous Lands Lease <br> SL-0203/87

Dear Mr. Norris:
Enclosed please find amended pages 2 and 3 for the above referenced lease. Two changes have been made. Condition 1 has been changed to reflect this Division's revised fee schedule (enclosed) that became effective July 15, 1987.

Condition 2 has been changed to charge a fee for material that is dredged for the area to be occupied by the pipeline.

Please insert these revised pages in the lease forwarded to you under my cover letter of June 23, 1987. The lease should be executed and notarized and returned to this office with the appropriate fees as soon as possible.

Sincerely yours,


William F. Moyer
Supervisor
Wetlands and Underwater Lands Branch
(302) 736-4691
cc: R. Wayne Ashbee

SUBAQUEOUS LANDS LEASE
GRANTED TO
GOLUMBIA GAS TRANSMISSION GORPORATION
TO
CONSTRUCT A 2 $0^{\prime \prime}$ SUBMERGED NATURAL GÄS PIPELINE ACROSS THE DELAWARE RIV̈ER, NEW CASTLE COUNTY, DELAWARE

AND NOW, to-wit this $28^{\text {Th }}$ day of, A. D. 1987, the State of Delaware does hereby accept the 27 approval by the Secretary of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control upon the application of Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation, submitted to the Department of Natural Resources and Envirommantal Control (hereinafter "the Department") and dated March 18, 1987, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

WHEREAS, the state of Delaware is the owner of ungranted subaqueous lands Iying beneath the waters of the Delaware River; and

WHEREAS, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation, has applied for permission to construct a $20^{\prime \prime}$ submerged natural gas pipeline; and

WHEREAS, the State of Delaware, by and through the Department certifies that the permitted activity will be conducted in a manner which will not .violate the applicable water quality standards of the State of Delaware; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del. G., $\S 7203$, the Secretary of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (hereinafter "the Socretaxy") through his duly authorized representative finds that it is not contrary to the public interest if this project is approved subject to the terms and conditions herein set forth.

NOW, therefore, the state of Delaware hereby permfts Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation to construct 6,810 feet of a 20 inch submerged natural gas pipeline across the Delaware River, New Castle County, Delaware.

- This lease shall be continued for a period of ten (10) years or so long as the conditions attached to the lease are adhered to, whichever is the shorter in
time. Upon the expiration of the ten-year term, this lease shall expire and become null and void, unless prior thereto the lessee shall have applied for and received a renewal of this lease. A renewal may be denied if the state determines that the lease is no longer in the public interest.

THIS lease shall be subject to the following conditions:

1. During the term of this lease, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation agrees to pay the State of Delaware the sum of
 and a payment of the same amount on the same day of each and every year thereafter until a formal termination hereof. This annual fee is subject to clinange at such time as the State of Delaware duly adopts amendments to its fee schedule for public subaqueous lands.
2. Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation agrees to pay the State of Delaware the sum of $\$ 552.00$ for the 552 cubic yards of material to be dredged from the public subaqueous lands of the Delaware River to be occupied by the pipeline. This lease shall not become effective until this payment has been made and acknowledged in writing by the State of Delaware.. The number of cubic yards of material actually removed shall be verifled by documents that the Department may require.
3. All excavated material not suitable for the backefiling of the trench shall be placed in scows and transported to the approved American Dredging Company dredged material disposal area in Logan, New Jersey.
4. Prior to the use of explosives for trench excavation a written description of the procedures to be utilized must be submitted and approved in writing by the Secretary before blasting cañ begin.
5. There shall be no excavation or use of explosives between the months of April and June in order to minimize the impact on anadramous fish passage.
6. The project is to be undertaken in accordance with the plans and specifications submitted to include the following:
a. Application dated March 18, 1987, with drawing dated February 19, 1987, Sheet 1 of 1 undated and Sheet 2 of 2 undated attached to the application.
:
b. Application of Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission dated December 4, 1986.
c. "Environmental Assessment: Delaware - South Jersey Pipeline Project" prepared by the Federal Energy Regulatory commission dated June, 1987.
d. Letter dated April 14, 1987, to the Department's Division of Water Resources from Dr. Donald Gartman regarding disposal of excavated material removed from the Delaware River.
e. Letter dated May 20, 1987 to the Department's Division of Water Resources from Dr. Donald Gartman regarding cubic yards of material to be removed from the Delawere River for upland disposal.
7. The conditions contained herein shall be included in the construction contract and other documents.
8. Sedfment and erosion controls shall be implemented so as not to violate the State of Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, "Water Quality Standards for Streams" dated December 23, 1985.
9. A copy of this lease must be avallable on-site during all phases of construction activity, and the Department's Notice of Authorization displayed in a highly visible location at all times.
10. All representations, promises, specifications, conditions or other statements made by or on behalf of lessee or submitted in connections with lessee's application for this lease are expressly incorporated herein by reference and compliance therewith or satisfaction thereof are warranted to be true and connect and are made a condition of this lease. It is agreed that lessee's representaitionṣ, promises, specifications or condtions will be fully complied within the completion of the project described herein.
11. The project is to be undertaken in accordance with the plans submitted. If changes are necessary, revised plans must be submitted and a supplemental approval issued prior to actual construction.
12. Representatives of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Gontrol may inspect such work during any phases of the construction.
13. This lease does not cover the structural stability of the project units.
14. Prior to the expiration of this lease, the lessee shall remove all structures covered under this lease unless the lease has been renewed in accordance with its terms.
15. Any actions, operations or installations which are considered by the Department to be contrary to the best interests of the public shall constitute reason for the discontinuance and/or removal of said action, operation or installation.

16．The lessee shall notify the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control as to the date work will be commenced，as far in advance of the time of commencement as the Department may specify，and the completion date．

17．The lessee shall maintain any structure on public subaqueous lands in good and safe condition and will protect and save the State of Delaware haxmless from any loss，cost or damage by reason of said structures．

18．The lessee shall at all times comply with such rules and regula－ tions relating to navigation as may from time to time be promul－ gated by the United States Corps of Engineers as the same may affect such structures．

19．The issuance of this lease does not imply approval of any other part，phase，or portion of any overall project the lessee may be contemplating．

20．This lease shall not be construed to grant or confer any right， title，easement，or interest in，to，or over any land belonging to the State of Delaware other than that of a tenant．

21．This lease is subject to the terms and conditions contained in any easement，license or lease that may have been granted by the State or any political subdivision，board，commission or agency of the State in the vicinity of the leased premises．

22．Nothing contained herein shall in any manner affect the rights of any riparian land owner now existing under the laws of the State ジシ of Delaware．

23．This lease and authorization are granted for the purposes as stated herein．Any other use without prior approval shall con－ stitute reason for this lease being revoked．
24. This lease is void if the project has not been completed within three (3) years from the date of issuance.
25. If the lessee considers three (3) years insufficient for complecion of the project, the lessee may submit a project time schedule far consideration by the Department. If the time schedule is approved it shall be attached hereto and made a part hereof.
26. This lease will be revoked upon violation of any of the above conditions.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, Edward B. Calland, have eased this instrument to be executed on this 178 h day ̆ of $\qquad$ , 1987. Columba Gas Transmission Corporation


IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, John E. Wilson, III, Secretary, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, have hereunto set my hand and seal this $27^{\text {th }}$ day of $\quad$, 1987.


GRANTED TO
COLONIAL PIPELINE COMPANY

TO
CONSTRUCT A 30" SUBMERGED PETROLEUM PRODUCTS PIPELINE ACROSS THE DELAWARE RIVER AND NOW, to-wit this $3^{i d d}$ day of auqust A. D. 1987, the State of Delaware does hereby accept the $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1,1,198}{}$ 7approval by the Secretary of the Department of Natural Resources and Envirommental Control upon the application of Colonial Pipeline Company, submitted to the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (hereinafter "the Department") and dated January 26,1987 , a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

WHEREAS, the State of Delaware is the owner of ungranted subaqueous lands lying beneath the waters of the Delaware River; and

WHEREAS, Colonial Pipeline Company, has applied for permission to construct a $30^{\prime \prime}$ submerged petroleum products pipeline across the Delaware River; and

WHEREAS, the State of Delaware, by and through the Department certifies that the permitted activity will be conducted in a manner which will not violate the applicable water quality standards of the State of Delaware; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del, C., $\S 7203$, the Secretary of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (hereinafter "the Secretary") finds that it is not contrary to the public interest if this project is approved subject to the terms and conditions herein set forth.

NOW, therefore, the State of Delaware hereby permits Colonial Pipeline Company to construct a $30^{\prime \prime}$ submerged petroleum products pipeline across the Delaware River.

This lease shall be continued for a period of ten (10) years or so long as the conditions attached to the lease are adhered to, whichever is the shorter in time. Upon the expiration of the ten-year term, this lease shall expire and become null and void, unless prior thereto the lessee shall have applied for and
ge 2
received a renewal of this lease. A renewal may be denied if the state deter-
mines that the lease is no longer in the public interest.

THIS lease shall be subject to the following conditions:

1. During the term of this lease, Colonial pipeline Company agrees to pay the State of Delaware the sum of $\$ 8,372.50$ per annum commencing on the $15^{\text {Th }}$ day of Sopterbend a payment of the same amount on the same day of each and every year thereafter until a formal termination hereof. This annual fee is subject to change at such time as the State of Delaware duly adopts amendments to its fee schedule for public subaqueous lands.
2. Colonial Pipeline Company agrees to pay the State of Delaware the sum of $\$ 1,217.00$ for the 1,217 cubic yards of material to be dredged from the public subaqueous lands of the Delaware River to be occupied by the pipeline. This lease shall not become effective until this payment has been made and acknowledged in writing by the State of Delaware. The number of cubic yards of material actually removed shall be verified by documents that the Department may require.
3. All excavated material not suitable for the backfilling of the trench shall be placed in scows and transported to the approved American Dredging Company dredged material disposal area in Logan, New Jersey.
4. Prior to the use of explosives for trench excavation a written description of the procedures to be utilized nust be submitted. and approved in writing by the Secretary before blasting can begin.
5. There shall be no excavation or use of explosives between the months of April and June in order to minimize the impact on anadramous fish passage.
6. The pipeline all be built ir accordance with he requirements of the federal "Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Safety Act" as amended.
7. The conditions contained herein shall be included in the construction contract and other documents.
8. Sediment and erosion controls shall be implemented so as not to violate the "State of Delaware Water Quality Standards for Streams" adopted December 23, 1985.
9. The project is to be undertaken in accordance with the plans and specifications submitted to include the following:
a. Application dated January 26, 1987, drawings with revised Sheet 1 of 2 and Sheet 2 of 2 dated April 21 , 1987 with attached project statement dated February, 1987;
b. "Agreement and Technical Specifications for constructing a 30" OD Pipeline across the Delaware River AFE7385;"
c. Drawing "proposed 30 " spare mainline Delaware River crossing" Sheet 1; BEA-CP-0001 dated April 30, 1987 ;
d. Three aerial photographs: "Proposed 30" spare mainline Delaware River crossing" Sheet 1, 8-DWR-SK3 date April 7, 1987; "Delaware River crossing north bank" Sheet 1, 8. DWR-SK1 dated April 7, 1987; "Delaware River crossing south bank" Sheet 1, 8-DWR-SK2 dated Apri1 7, 1987.
e. Colonial Pipeline Company, Woodbury Area, "Emergency Directory Index," dated 5/85.
f. Letter to the Department's Division of Water Resources from Burlco Engineering Associates dated May 7, 1987 regarding clarification of specifications.
10. A copy of this lease must be available on site during all phases of construction activity, and the Department's Notice of Authorization displayed in a highly visible location at all times.
11. The project shall be undertaken in accordance with the plans submitted. If changes are necessary, revised plans must be submitted and a supplemental approval issued prior to actual construction.
12. Representatives of the Department may inspect such work at any time and may collect any samples or conduct any tests that are deemed necessary.
13. This lease does not cover the structural stability of the project units.
14. Prior to the expiration of this lease, the lessee shall remove all structures covered under this lease unless the lease has been renewed in accordance with its terms.
15. Any actions, operations or installations which are considered by the Department to be contrary to the best interests of the public or as are speciffed by regulation shall constitute reason for the revokation of the permit and discontinuance and/or removal of said action, operation or installation.
16. The lessee shall notify the Department of the date work will be commenced and completed in advance of the time of commencement and completion within the period the Department specifies in writing
17. The lessee shall maintain any structure on public subaqueous lands in good and safe condition and will protect and save the State of Delaware harmless from any loss, cost or damage by reason of said structures.
18. The lessee shall at all times comply with such rules and regulations relating to navigation as may from time to time be promulgated by the United States Corps of Engineers as the same may affect such structures.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, T. H. Norris, have caused this ingtrument to be executed on this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 1987.

By:

T. H. Norris, Vice President-Operations Colonial Pipeline Company

SWORN and subscribed before me on


IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, John E. Wilson, III, Secretary, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, have hereunto set my hand and seal


MEMORANDUM
August 11, 1987

TO: William F. Boyer

FROM: Charles A. Lesser VIA: William C. Wagner II

RE: Blasting Plan of Operations, Columbia's 20" pipeline

The Division of Fish and Wildlife Gas reviewed the Blasting Plan of Operations for the placing of a. $20^{\prime \prime}$ natural gas pipeline by Columbia Gas across the Delaware River in the vicinity of Naaman's Creek. We suggest additional conditions be considered to lessen the impact on fishes.

The following species of fishes can be present in the Neman's Creek area of the Delaware River throughout the remainder for 1987; blueback herring, alewife, American shad, channel catfish, white perch, striped bass, weakfish, spot, Atlantic croaker and menhaden. No blasting should take place after October 1 to minimize Losses of juvenile American American shad which will be migrating down the Delaware River after October. This is a species of considerable concern to the Mid-AtIantic Region. Striped bass allot is a species in need of added protection.

The employment of an air curtain around each blast evidently decreases the concussion of the blast on fishes. The air curtain was successful for the DP\&L blasting program just completed in the Delaware River. DP aL also used side scan sonar to detect any large concentrations of fish in a blast area. Blasting was delayed until "scare charges" caused any large concentrations of fishes to leave the immediate area as verified by sonar.

Recovery crews should be on hand to pick up all dead and stunned fish in order to allow any larger fish such as channel catfish to recover without being subjected to sea gull predation. It is also good public relations to recover any dead fish.

We feel the precautions taken by DP\&L to protect spawning Striped bass and migrating shad when they blasted in the Delaware River in May of 1987 were effective. Similar precautions could :. be taken by Columbia Gas prior to October 1. If blasting is to take place after October 1, then the program should be approved with the conditions of using an air curtain, side scan sonar, scare charges and recover crews.

CAL: ef


State of Delaware Department of Natural resources \& Environmental CONTROL
Division of Fish and Wilplife
89 Kings highway
P.O. Box 1401

Dover, Delaware 19903

## RECEIVED

SEP s? 1981
VITLANDS and underwater Lands

MEMORANDUM


The supplemental blasting plan for the Colonial Pipeline crossing of the Delaware River still requires some additions to provide more safeguards for fishes.

We request that in addition to a recovery vessel to pick up dead and stunned fish, the crew should have a qualified fisheries biologist to identify and count all dead and dying fish. If any dead juvenile American shad are encountered, all blasting should cease until all juvenile shad have emigrated past the area and out of the Delaware River.

All fish killed should be reported back to DNREC-Division of Fish and Wildlife. If any significant number of Striped bass are killed, appropriate mitigation shall be in order since Striped bass are in the recovery stage of a decimated population in the Delaware River.

The Division of Fish and Wildife should be notified at least 24 hours before any blasting occurs so that a Division of Fish and Wildiffe biologist can randomly field check the effects of blasting on fishes.

It is highly probable that high numbers of juvenile American shad could be effected by blasting in October-November. Blasting should be curtailed unless absolutely necessary.

CAL: b

State of Delaware

Mr. J. R. Arnold
Colonial Pipeline Company 3390 Peachtree Road N.E. Lenox Towers
Atlanta, Georgia 30326
RE: Subaqueous Lands Lease SL-0203/87
Dear Mr. Arnold:
The supplemental blasting plan described in your letter of September 8, 1987 has been reviewed and has been approved subject to the following conditions:

1. In addition to the recovery vessel for picking up dead and stunned fish, a qualified fisheries biologist should identify and count all dead and dying fish. During October and November the result of these counts should be submitted on a weekly basis to Mr. Roy Miller of the Division of Fish and Wildife.
2. If any dead juvenile American shad are encountered, all blasting shall cease until all juvenile shad have emigrated past the area.
3. The Division of Fish and Wildlife shall be notified at least 24 hours before any blasting occurs or a schedule shall be submitted for our records prior to the blasting (Telephone 302-736-3441).
4. All blasting shall cease if high numbers of juvenile American shad are in the area as indicated by sonar, fish affected by blasting or reports received by the Division of Fish and Wildlife. The Division reserves the right to order all blasting stopped if there is a threat to the shad migration. Permission to resume blasting will be given by the Division of Fish and Wildife.

Mr. J. R. Arnold
September 24, 1987
Page Two
5. There shall be mitigation if any significant number of Striped bass are killed since Striped bass are in the recovery stage of a decimated population in the Delaware River.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact this office.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sincerely, } \\
& \text { William F. Moyer } \\
& \text { Supervisor } \\
& \text { Wetlands and Underwater Lands Branch } \\
& \text { (302) } 736-4691
\end{aligned}
$$

cc: Mr. Charles Lesser

WFM/kls

State of Delavare
Department of Natural Resources \& ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL
Division of Water resources
89 Kings highway. P.O. Box 1401
Surface water Management DOVER. DELAWARE 19903

March 8, 1988

Mr. J. R. Arnold
Colonial Pipeline Gompany
3390 Peachtree Road N.E.
Lenox Towers P. O. Box 18855
Atlanta, Georgia 30326
$\begin{aligned} \text { Re: } & \text { Subaqueous Lands Lease SL-0203/87 } \\ & \text { Plpeline Construction Permit WPCC 3023/87 }\end{aligned}$
Dear Mr. Arnold:
On March 4, 1988 a meeting was convened in the District Offices of the Corps of Engineers in order to discuss your request for an extension of time to conduct pipeline construction activity in the Delaware River under the above referenced permits. These permits restrict dredging and use of explosives during the months of April, May and June.

After a review and discussion of the methods available for predicting the movement of shad up the Delaware River and after consultation with experts in the field of shad migration it was agreed that the permits could be modified in accordance with the following conditions and still minimize any adverse impacts on the fishery:

1. Beginning immediately daily river water temperatures shall be taken and submitted to this office. When the river water temperature at the Delaware Memorial Bridge reaches $9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at middepth, all dredging, filling and blasting shall cease immediately until May 16, 1988.
2. In the event that shad sampling in the vicinity of Pea Patch Island indicates that shad have begun their migration, Colonial will cease all dredging, filling and blasting upon verbal notification from the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control until May 16, 1988. This condition shall preclude condition \#l above if the temperature has not reached $9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
.11 other terms and conditions of the above permits remain in place. If you have any questions, please feel free to call. Please indicate your acceptance of this plan by signing in the below designated space and returning this letter to this office.

## Sincerely,



[^1]J. R. Arnold<br>Assistant Manager - Engineering



STATE OF DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES Ex Environmental Control
DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES 89 Kinge highway, po. Box '1401

## SURFACEWATER MANAGEMENT SECTION

 Watersheo Agbessmeny Branci POLLITIIN CONTROL ERANCH FACILITY SUPPORT BRANCH Wethands \& aguatic protection branch

RENEWAL OF SUBRQUEOUS LANDS LEASE O $000 / \mathrm{Bl}$
ORANTED TO: SUN REFINING AND MARRETING COMP'ANK $\therefore$ TO MAINTAIN B PETROLEGM PIPELINES 6,100 FEEET ACROSS THE DELAWARE RIVER SOUTHEAST OF O.S. ROUTE 13 AND DELAWARE ROUTE '92; CEAYMONT, NEW CASTLE COUNTY , DELAWARE

Sun Refining and Karketing Company
1801 , Market Street
Philadelphza, PA 19.103-1699.
Pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del. C. S7203, and the Department's Requlations Governing the Use of subaqueous Lande, permission it hereby granted on this

15 day of CCloBER, A. D, 1991, to Sun Refining and Markating Company to malntain 8 petroleum pipelines 6,100 feet acrosi the Delaware River, southeast of U. S. Houte 13 and Delaware Route 92, Claymont, Néw Castle County, Delaware in accordance with plans titled. "Proposed Submarine pipeline", dated il/9/60, and received by this Division on $3 / 4 / 91$, and application received $3 / 4 / 91$.

WHEREAS, the state of Delaware is the owner of ungranted subagueous lands lying beneath the waters of the Delaware Riverj and

WHEREAS, Sun Refining and Marketing Company, owner of certain adjoining lands to the Delaware River has applied for permission to maintain a petroleum pipeline: and.

WHEREAS., the State of Delaware, by and through the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental control, certifies that the permitted activity will be conducted in manner which will not violate the applicable water guality standards of the State of Delaware; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del. C., $\$ 7203$, the secretary of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control through his duly authorized representative finds that it is not contrary to the public interest. if this project is approved aubject to the terms and conditions herein get forth.
4) Delaware's good mature depends on youl

This approval is in accordance with plans and application submitted to Department of Natural Resources and Environmental control, a copy of which is attached hereto. and made a part hereof.

This lease shall be continued for a period of ten (10) years or so long as the conditions attached to the lease are adhered to, whichever is the shorter in time. Upon the explration of the ten-year term, this lease shall expire and become null and void; unless prior thereto the lessee shall have applied for and received a renewal of this lease, A renewal may be denied if the sate determines that: the lease is no longer in the public interest.

THIS lease ig iqvued oubject to the following conditions:
SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. The conditions contained herein shall be included in the construction contract and other ancillary documents associated with earth disturbance and construction activitiea which may impact subaqueous lands associated with this project:
2. Construction shall be conducted so as not to violate the state of Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control: "Surface Water Quality Standards" dated February 2 。 1990.
3. The pipoline shall be conetructed in a manner so as not to impaic water access to the adjoining property and a minimum of five (5) feet from the adjacent properties.
4. A copy of this lease must be available on-site ouring all phases of construction activity.
5. The structure on subaqueous lands shall be for .the explicit purpose of transporting petroleum products as stated in section (6) six of the application.
6. Als construction debric, excavated material, brush, rocks and refuse incidental to such work shall be placed above the Influence of surface waters.
7. The pipeline shall at no time impair navigability in the Delaware River during the term of this lease.
mix. During the term of this loase (the Lessee) agrees to pay the State of Dolaware the gum of $\$ 36,600,00$ per annum for a total of $\$ 366,000.00$ for the 48,800 linear feet of public subaqueous lands utilized commencing on the .. 15 day of pefoher of 1991r and a payment of the same amount on the same day of each and every year thereafter for the term of this lease. This annual fee is subject to change at such time as the state of Delaware duly adopts amendments to its fee schedule.for public subaqueous lands.

SL-1203/91

## GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. The project is to be undertaken in accordance with the píana submitted and attached hereto. If changes are-necessary, revised plans mist be aubmitted and a supplemental approval insued prior to actual construction.
2. Representatives of the Department of Natural Reaources and Environmental control may inspect such work during any phases of the construction and may collect any amples or conduct any teats that are deemed necessary.
3. This lease does not cover the atructoral stability of the project units.

4: Prior to the expiration of this lease, the lessee shall remove all structures covered under thls lease uniegs the lease has beeñ renewed in accordance with its terms.
5.. Any action', operations or instaliations which are considered by the :Department to be contrary to the best interests of the public shall constitute reason for the discontinuance and/or removal of said action, operation or ingtallation.
6. The lessee shall: notify the Department of Natural Resources and Environnental Control within ten (10) daýs of the date work will be commenced.
7. The lessee shajl matntain any structure on public subaqueous lands in good and safe condition and will protect. and save.the State of Delaware harmless from any loss, cost or damage by reason of sald structures:
8. The lessee ohall at all times comply with such ruleg and regulations which are promulgated by the United states corps of Engineera.
9. The issuance of this lease does not impiy. approval of any other part, phase, or portion of any overall piroject the lessee may be contemplating.
10. This lease shall not be construed to grant or confer any right, title, easement, or interest in, to, or over any land belonging. to the state of Delaware other than that of a tenant.
=-: 11.: This lease is bubject to the term and conditions contained in any easement, license or lease that may have been granted by the ftate'or any political subdivision, board, commission or agency of the State in the vicinity of the leased premises.
12. Nothing contained herein shall in any manner affect the righte now existing of any riparian land owner under the law of the State of Delaware.
13. This lease and authorization are granted for the purposes as stated"herein. "Any other uge whthout jzior approval enäll constitute reavon for this lease being revoked.

# APPLICATION MASTER FILE <br> 03/20/01 <br> WETLANDS BRANCH 

NUMBER: SL-1203/9I

```
APPLICANT -> SUN REFINING & MARKETING CO.
    ADDRESS MANAGER,' REAL BSTATE 1801 MARKET STREET
    CITY/STATE/ZIP PHILADELPHIA PA 19103-1699
```

PROPERTY OWNER $-\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{S}$, ROE PRESIDENT
CONTRACTOR $\rightarrow$ - E.E. BAILEY,
DESCRIPTION:
NCC, CLAYMONT, DELAWARE RIVER SE U.S, RT' 13 \& DELAWARE RT. 92
RENEWAL-MAINTAIN 8 PETROJEUM PIPELINES'-6,100\%FT ACROSS
\$36;6.00.00: ANNUM DUE 10/15/91

APPLICATION RECEIVED: 03/0.4/91 DATE STTE INSPECTED: :04/12/91 BY WHOM? MB
STUP APPROVALT: $\because \because \cdot \quad \because$ SUP APPROVAL DATE: $/ / /$

CURRENT STATUS: A.
$\because$ HENTS: $\quad \because \because \because$ TIME IN DAYS: 57
WETLANDS \& SUBAQUEOUS
LANDSSECTON

State of Delaware
Department of Natural Resources \& ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL Division of Water Resources

# SUBAQUEOUS LANDS LEASE <br> GRANTED TO COLONIAL PIPELINE <br> TO RENEW A LEASE TO MAINTAIN 8000 FEET OF 30 INCH <br> DIAMETER PIPELINE ACROSS THE DELAWARE RIVER AT MARCUS HOOK, NEW CASTLE COUNTY, DE 

Colonial Pipeline Company
945 East of Paces Ferry Road
Atlanta, GA 30326-1125
Pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del. C. 7203, and the Department's Regulations Governing the Use of SubaqueousLands, permission is hereby granted on this 26\% day of Ganuery A.D. 199 240 construct the above-referenced project in accordance with plans titled "Delaware River Crossing", dated 8/31/65, and received by this Division on 9/26/94; and application dated $8 / 31 / 94$, and received by this Division on 9/26/94.

WHEREAS, the State of Delaware is the owner of ungranted subaqueous lands lying beneath the waters of Delaware River; and

WHEREAS, Colonial Pipeline, owner of certain adjoining lands to Delaware River has applied for permission to maintain a lease for a pipeline; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del. C., 7203, the Secretary of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control through his duly authorized representative finds that it is not contrary to the public interest if this project is approved subject to the terms and conditions herein set forth.

This approval is in accordance with plans and application submitted to Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

This lease shall be continued for a period of ten (10) years or so long as the conditions attached to the lease are adhered to, whichever is the shorter in time. Upon the expiration of the ten-year term, this lease shall expire and become null and void, unless prior thereto the lessee shall have applied for and received a renewal of this lease. A renewal may be denied if the State determines that the lease is no longer in the public interest.

THIS lease is issued subject to the following conditions:

## SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. The conditions contained herein shall be included as part of the main body of the construction contract and other ancillary documents associated with earth disturbance and any other activities directly or indirectly associated with construction which may impact subaqueous lands associated with this project. The permittee is responsible for ensuring that the contractor and/or workers executing the activities authorized by this permit have full knowledge of the terms and conditions of this permit.
2. Construction shall be conducted so as not to violate the State of Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, "Surface Water Quality Standards" dated February 26, 1993.
3. The pipeline shall be constructed in a manner so as not to impair water access to the adjoining property and a minimum of ten (10) feet from the adjacent properties.
4. There shall be no alteration or addition made to the pennitted structure without prior approval from the Department.
5. The structure on/or adjacent to subaqueous lands shall be for the explicit purpose of petroleum transport as stated in the lease renewal application.
6. Removal of wetlands and/or aquatic vegetation adjacent to the permitted construction by burning, cutting, herbicide treatments or other methods is prohibited. Removal of wetlands and/or aquatic vegetation in the path of construction shall be minimized.
7. All construction debris, excavated material, brush, rocks and refuse incidental to such work shall be placed above the influence of surface waters.

> SL-1310/94
8. During the ten-year term of this lease the lessee shall agree to pay the State of Delaware the sum of $\$ 16,000$ per annum for a total of $\$ 160,000$ for the 8000 linear feet of public subaqueous lands atilized for the above-referenced project. The first payment of this lease shall be $\$ 16,000$ for the remainder of this billing term (through September).

## GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. The project is to be undertaken in accordance with the plans submitted and attached hereto. Any activities not specifically authorized herein may require a supplemental approval from this office prior to the initiation of construction. A determination on the need for a supplemental approval will be made by this office pursuant to the permittee submitting written notification and revised plans indicating project changes to this office.
2. Representatives of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control may inspect such work during any phases of the construction and may collect any samples or conduct any tests that are deemed necessary.
3. This lease does not cover the structural stability of the project units.
4. Prior to the expiration of this lease, the lessee shall remove all structures covered under this lease unless the lease has been renewed in accordance with its terms.
5. Any actions, operations or installations which are considered by the Department to be contrary to the best interests of the public shall constitute reason for the discontinuance and/or removal of said action, operation or installation.
6. The lessee shall notify the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control within ten (10) days of the date work will be commenced.
7. The lessee shall maintain any structure on public subaqueous lands in good and safe condition and will protect and save the State of Delaware harmless from any loss, cost or damage by reason of said structures.
8. The issuance of this lease does not imply approval of any other part, phase, or portion of any overall project the lessee may be contemplating.
9. This lease shall not be construed to grant or confer any right, title, easement, or interest in, to, or over any land belonging to the State of Delaware other than that of a tenant.
10. This lease is subject to the terms and conditions contained in any easement, license or lease that may have been granted by the State or any political subdivision, board, commission or agency of the State in the vicinity of the leased premises.
11. This lease and authorization are granted for the purposes as stated herein. Any other use without prior approval shall constitute reason for this lease being revoked.
12. This lease shall expire if the project has not been completed within three (3) years from the date of issuance.
13. If the lessee considers three (3) years insufficient for completion of the project, the lessee may submit a project time schedule for consideration by the Department. If the time schedule is approved it shall be attached hereto and made a part hereof.
14. This lease is not assignable or transferable without the prior written consent of the Department. Prior to the transfer of the property, it is the responsibility of the lessee to provide the new owner with a copy of the lease or to remove all structures. Prior to property conveyance, the lessee must also notify the Department of the change in ownership.
15. The lessee shall at all times comply with all applicable laws and regulations of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control.
16. The issuance of this lease does not constitute approval for any of the activities as may be required by any other local, state or federal governmental agency.
17. This lease will be revoked upon violation of any of the above conditions.
18. Application for renewal must be submitted six (6) months prior to the expiration date of this lease.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, We, Colonial Pipeline, have caused this instrument to be executed on this $\qquad$ day of
 1995


SWORN and subscribed before me on this 20 day of fou. 19945


IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, Christophe A.G. Tulou, Secretary, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, have hereunto set my hand and seal this



State of Delaware Department of Natural Resources Q ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL

# Division of water resources 

B9 KINGS HIGHWAY, P.O. 日0X 1401

# Colonial Pipeline Company 

945 East of Paces Ferry Road
Atlanta, GA 30326-1125

Dear Sir/Madam:
Enclosed is the subaqueous lands lease which has been prepared in accordance with the recommendations of the Secretary of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental ControL

Please sign and have notarized the three originals on the lines indicated on the last page of the leases and return the entire package to this office within thirty (30) days.

Untess this office is notified, leases not returned to this office within thirty (30) days will be invalidated and the application returned ro the appllcam. Please sign thelast pages only. Be sure to return all copies of the lease. You will recelve the original after it has been fuly executed.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call this office.
Sincerely,

- . .


James T. Chaconas
Program Manager
Wetlands dr Subaqueous
Lands Section
JTCffr
Enclosure

## INDUSTRIAL WATER ALLOCATION

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { ALLOCATION NO: } & 91-0012 \mathrm{M} 2 \\
\text { EFFECTIVE DATE: October 17, } 1991 \\
\text { EXPIRATION DATE: October 17, } 2021 \\
\text { MODIFICATION DATE: January 13, } 1995
\end{array}
$$

Pursuant to the provisions of 6010f, 7 Del. C., an allocation of water is hereby granted to:
LOGAN GENERATING COMPANY, L.P.
BOX 169-C RT 130 SOUTH
SWEDESBORO, NJ 08085-9300
for the withdrawal and use of water from the following water facility:

| INTAKE | LOCATION | STREAM | LATITUDE | LONGITUDE | CAP. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM-P001A | R.M. 78.8 | Delaware <br> River | $38^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ | $75^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ | 3000 gpm |
| AM-P001B | R.M. 78.8 | Delaware <br> River | $38^{\circ} 47^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime}$ | $75^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ | 3000 gpm |

## OTHER APPROVALS

1. This approval is subject to review every five years from effective date by the Division of Water Resources.
2. Approval for discharge of this water must be obtained from the Division of Water Resources.
3. This approval is subject to all appropriate regulations and approvals of the Delaware River Basin Commission (DRBC)
4. Approval for use for this water for human consumption must be obtained from the Bureau of Environmental Health.

## EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS

1. Each of these intakes must be equipped with either flow integrators, or, where feasible, flow meters, to compute withdrawal rates and cumulative volume of pumpage to a design Delaware's good nature depends an you'

## ALLOCATION PERMIT 91-0012M2

LOGAN GENERATING COMPANY, L.P.
Page Two
precision of $+5 \%$.

## REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1. For each of these wells: readings of pumping rates and cumulative volume of purmpage must be made and recorded at least daily. Readings of pmping water-levels must be made and recorded at least weekly. This and other relevant information, such as water purchases and sales is to be recorded on a form provided by the Division of Water Resources and submitted by the permit holder to the Division annually by January 31 or more frequently if requested

## ALLOCATION

1. In any twenty-four (24) hour period: Total combined pumpage from these intakes must not exceed $4,320,000$ gallons.
2. In any thirty (30) day period: Total combined pumpage from these intakes must not exceed $105,00,000$ gallons.
3. In any twelve (12) month period: Total pumpage from these intakes must not exceed $990,000,000$ gallons.
4. Withdrawals for the sources listed below shall not exceed the following limits:

| INTAKE NO. | DNREC ID NO. | PUMPING RATE <br> (GPD) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AM-P001A | 8236 | $4,320,000$ |
| AM-P001B | 8237 | $4,320,000$ |

5. These intakes may be used only for the purpose of industrial supply and related uses.

Any change in the intended use, or in the physical characteristics of the above facilities must receive prior approval from the Division of Water Resources.
6. All laws and regulations governing the construction, operation, maintenance, and repair of water supply facilities in the State of Delaware must be obeyed.
7. Representatives of the Division of Water Resources, Delaware Geological Survey and the U.S. Geological Survey may inspect these facilities, conduct any tests, and collect any samples at any time deemed necessary.
8. This allocation is specifically subject to the requirements of 7 Del. C. $\S 6031$.
9. If the withdrawal of water pursuant to this permit has significant adverse affects

ALLOCATION PERMIT 91-0012M2
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including, but not limited to, reduction of streamflows, lowering of water levels, migration of pollutants, or encroachment of salt water, the Division of Water Resources may require action to rectify the problem.
10. This permit is transferable only if the requirements of the Regulations Governing the Allocation of Water are met and, written approval is obtained from the Division.
11. Violations of conditions of this permit are subject to penalties provided in 7 Del. C., Chapter 60.

## WATER CONSERVATION MEASURES

This approval is contingent on practice by the permit holder of reasonable efforts to minimize the unnecessary use and/or waste of water. The permit holder must:
A. Establish a program of periodic monitoring and evaluation of water usage,
B. Establish a systematic leak detection and control program which is responsive to high unaccounted for water usage rates, routine maintenance, or discovery of leaks,
C. Use the best practical methods and devices to conserve water,
D. Alert employees of the need to conserve water and reduce wasteful usage, including posting conservation suggestions, and
E. Develop a contingency plan to be implemented in the event of water shortage emergencies. This plan should include:

1. Identification of emergency water sources,
2. Priorities of water usage, and
3. Emergency measures to curtail water usage.

The permittee must demonstrate compliance with these conditions upon request by the Division of Water Resources.


Stewart Lovell, P.G.
Manager
Water Supply Section
Date:


## c: U.S. Geological Survey

Delaware River Basin Commission


State of Delaware

Paul Wagner, P.E.
be Kings Highway. P.O. mox 1401
U.S. Generating Company

Box 169 C, Route 130 South
Swedesboro, NJ 08085-9300
January 21, 1998
Dear Mr. Wagner,
Please find enclosed the modified allocation permit reflecting your change in name/ownership. In reference to our conversation upon your visit to our office, it has been decided by our office that both inlets at your facility will remain permitted as two surface water intakes, permit numbers 8237 and 8236 . Because they are considered individual intakes, our office requires that they be reported on annual water use reports separately and referred to on the reports by their intake permit numbers. Their individual pumpage totals should then be combined to determine the annual system summary. If you have any questions concerning this matter please do not hesitate to call my office (302) 739-4793.

Sennifer Cross
Environmental Scientist
Water Allocations
Water Supply

Delaware'd good nature depends on you'

|  | gtate of delaware departmint of natural rbsources a environmental control dIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES |
| :---: | :---: |
| YUELLANDSASUBAQUROUS LANDS SBCTION |  |
|  |  |
|  | Subaqueous Lands Lease No.i SL-404/01 |
|  | Renewal of Subaqueous Lands Lease No.: SL-1203/91 |
|  | Date of Issuance: 5115 O2 |
|  | Lease Expiration Date: October 15;2021 |
|  | Amended Dato: |
|  | $\therefore \therefore=$ |
|  | SUBAQUEOUS LANDS LEASE |
| GRANTED | OCO INC. R\& MTO MAINTAIN EIGHT 6100 LINEAR |
| FOOT PI | WHTH DIAMETERS BETWEEN 6 INCHIES AND 12. |
| INCHES, | H'THE DELAWARE RIVER NEAR NEW CASTLLE, |
|  |  |

Joel H. Maness
Sumẹo Inc. R.\& M
1800 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA:19103-1699

Pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del. C. 7203, and the Department's Regulations-Governing the Uso of Subaqueous Lands, permission is hereby granted on this / 5th day of Marj A.D. 2002, to maintain the above-referenced project in accordance with the approved pland forthis Iease (2 sheets), as approved on 4/19/02, and received by this Division on 11/19/01; and application dated 11/13/01, and received by this Division on 11/19/01.

WHEREAS, the State of Delaware is the owner of ungranted subaqueous lands lying beneath the waters of the Delaware River; and

WHEREAS, Sunoco, Inc. R \& $M$, has applied for permission to maintain a submarine pipelines under the Delaware River; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del, C., 7203, the Secretaryo of Natural Resources and Environmental Control through his that it is not contrary to the public interest if this project is approverized representative finds conditions herein set forth.

Delacuare's good mature depende an youl

This approval is in accordance with plans and application submitted to Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, a copy of which is attached hereto and mado a part hereof,

This Lease shall be contimued for a period of twenty (20) years or so long as the conditions attached to the Lease are adhered to, whichever is the shorter in time. Upon the expiration of the twenty-year term, this Lease shall expire and become null and void, unless prior thereto the lessee shall have applied for and received a renewal of this Lease. A renewal may be denied if the State determines that the Lease is no longer in the public interest.

THIS Lease is issued subject to the following conditions:

## SPECLAL CONDITIONS

1. The conditions contained hercin shall be included as part of the main body of the construction contract and other ancillary documents associated with earth disturbanceand any other activities directly or indirectly associated with construction or maintenance which may impact subaqueous lands associated with this project.
2. This Lease authorizes the lessee to maintain the approved structures on publie subaqueous land from October $1^{\circ}$, 2001 through October 1.5, 2021,
3. The approved submarine pipelines include 37,760 linear feet on public subaqueous lands. During the twenty-year term of this lease, the lessee shall agree to pay the State of Delaware the sum of $\$ 75,520: 00$ per annum, for a total of $\$ 1,510,400.00$ for the 37,760 linear feet of public subaquenus lands utilized for the above-referericed project. Annual billing will continue with the adjusted fee.
4. This Lease represents' a renewal of Subaqueous Lands Lease No. SL-1203/91 granted to the Sun Refining and Marketing Company. All special and general conditions contained in this Lease . shall supersede conditions set forth in Subaqueous Lands Lease No. SL-1203/91, which shall become nall and void.
5. Maintenance shall be conducted so as not to violate the State of Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control's "Surface Water Quality Standards," as amended August 11,1999.
6. The leased structure shall be maintained in a manher so as not to impair water access.
7. This Lease does not authorize any repairs, additions, or modifications to the existing structures authorized herein. Such activities require separate written authorization from the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control.
8. The structures on subaqueous lands shall be for the explicit puriose of:conveyance of chemicals.
-. -- 9.- All debris, excavate đ materiat; brüsh; rocks̃, and réfuse incidental to maintenance of the leased structure shall be placed above the influence of surface waters.

## GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. The project is to be undertaken in accordance with the plans submitted and attached hereto. Any activities not specifically authorized herein may require a supplemental approval from this office prior to the initiation of construction: A determination on the rieed for a supplemental approval will be made by this office pursuant to the lessee submitting written notification and revised plans indicating project changes to this office.
2. Representatiyes of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control may inspect the leased structure and may collect any samples or conduct any tests that are deemed necessary.
3. This. Lease does not cover the structural stability of the project units;
4. Prior to the expiration of this Lease, the lessee shall remove all structures covered under this Lease unless the Lease has been renewed in accordance with its ferms.
5. Any actions, operations or installations which are considered by the Department to be contrary to the best interests of the public shall constitute reason for the discontimiance and/or removal of said action, operation or installation.
6. The lessee shall maintain any structare on public subaqueous lands in good and safe condition and will protect and save the State of Delaware harmless froni any loss, cost or damage by reason of said structures.
7. The issuance of this Lease does not imply approval of any other part, phase, or portion of any overall project the lessee may be contemplating.
8. This Lease shall not be construed to grant or confer any right, title, easement, or interest in, to, or over any land belonging to the State of Delaware other than that of a tenant.
9. This Lease is subject to the terms and conditions contained in any easement, license or lease that may have been granted by the State or any political subdivision, board, commission or agency of the State in the vicinity of the leased premises.
10. This Lease and authorization aye granted for the pupposes as stated herein. Any other iuse without prior approval shall constitute reason for this Lease being revoked.
11. This Lease is personal and may not be trañferied without the prior written consent of the Department. Prior to the transfer of the utility line, the lessee shall obtain the written consent of the Department to transfer this Lease to the new utility line owner. Failure to obtain such written consent may result in the revocation of this Lease and the removal of all structures authorized by this Lease at the expense of the lessee.
12. The lessee shail at all times comply with all applicable liws and regulations of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control.
13. The issuance of this Lease does not constitute approval for any of the activities as may be required by any other local, state or federal governmental agency.
14. Application for renewal must be submitted six (6) months prior to the expiration date of this Lease:
15. This Lease may be revoked upon violation of any of the above conditions.

## SL-404/01
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IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, Joel. Maness, Senior Vice-president of Refining gad Supply, Sungco Inc. R \& M, have caused this instrument to be executed on this day of
 2002.


## $\frac{\text { Anita Andre }}{\text { Notary }}$

(Notary Seal)
By the authority invested in me under 7 Del.C. $\$ 7203$ (a), I hereby grant this Lease for the use of the. State owned subaqueous lands to the Lessees) by setting my hand on this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ ; 2002.




STATE OF DELAWARE
DEPARTMENT OF NATL RU. RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL
Dower. Delaware 19901

Austin \&. Heller,
January 29, 1971
Division of Earitonmonal Come:
Scowling

Mr. P. H. Collins
Engineering site Division
E. I. duPont de Nemorms E Company

Chambers Works
Deepwater, New Jersey 08023
Dear Mr. Collins:
Please note the attached copy of a letter to Colonel Strider, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, regarding your company's application for an Army permit to construct an oil storage tank in the Delaware River at your Chambers Works facility. The Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control has taken this action because of the necessity for your company to first obtain a permit from the State of Delaware and also to obtain a waiver of the moratorium on such projects in the Delaware River and Bay.

For your information and use, I am enclosing a copy of the declaration of the moratorium and also an application form for state approval of subaqueous lands projects. Please submit complete engineering plans for the proposed project with the application.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact this office.

Sincerely,

B. E. Lane, Staff Geohydrologist Water Resources $\varepsilon$ Subaqueous Lands

BEL: gr
cc: The Honorable Austin N. Heller Corps of Engineers

Enclosures

Januaxy 29, 2971

Colonel Carroll D. Strider
ulstrict ingincen
Department of the firmy
Philadulphia pistrict
Corps of Engineons
Custom fiouge
2nd and Chestrut streots
Philadelphla, Pennsylvania 19106
RE: :APOP-H-1広, Public yotica dated a January 1971.
Dear Colonel Stridar:
This letter is in meiereme to the application subaitted by E. I. dupont do jeroung 6 Company, for a Depanturnt of the Aray prernit to comatruct a bulk~ heak, to fill, and to constrkict a sual oil storape tank in the Delasame Niver at the Cospany's Chambers Works facility, Deepmater, Hew Jorsey.

With thls letter the Department of iletural Resoumces amd Environmental coatrol requests that a perait for this project ie withiwld, for the followin?. reasona:

1. A pereit must $£$ inut be obtained from the State of irelaware. As of this date, $n o$ application has been mubsitted to tiis Departrant.
2. This proposal falls umient the juristiction of tice roratorimm that was placed on shingueous lanas mrojacts in the jelaware river and nay by the Delahare Le-islature (see attackeri copy). 'ihis momtorium must be waived binfore a pereit can be isgued.
$\wedge^{\prime}$

Colonel Carroll D. Strider
Faje 2
January 29, 2971

We are writing, a letter to idr. P. H. Colling at Chambers. Horks to inform the Company of our action in this matter. Ho will provide State application Fozns anel a copy of the monatorisen, In onder that the Company may suomit an application to this Dopartrient.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please feel free to contact this office.

Sincorely,
B. E. Lane, Stafi Geohydrologist Water Resources \&' Subaqueous Lands

BEL:g
ce: The Honorable Austin N. Heller Mr. P. H. Colifins, Chembers Works

The Honorable Laimd Btabler
Attorney Gonaral
Department of Justice
Dover, Delamare 19901
RE: E. I. duPont de Memours E Company, Chambers Works, Deophater, How Jersey, application for Corpe of Englseers permit to contruct fuel ofl btorage tank on indorwater landa within the State of Delaware at Deepwater Point, New Jemay.

Dear Mr. Stabler:
In reference to the above project, I an enclosing the following material:

1. Public Notice, dated 8 January 1971, from Corpa of Enginoarn containing a description and genoral plens of the projact proposed by the dupont Company at its Chanbers Horks facility in Hew Jorrey.
2. Letter dated 10 Fabruary 2071 addressed to Mr. Jobn C. Bryson, Diractax of the Diviaion of Enfironabental Control, by Mr. Alan Skinagr; of the duPont Legal Department, and inclading seven letters attached therato.
3. Copy of the Dolaware RIver and Bay momatorium.

In responen to the recelpt of the Fublic Notice, the Department of Netural Resources and Enviromental Control, on behalf of the State of jolawaro; requented that the Cosps of Engtneern withbold a paruit until tho dafont company shall have receivod approval of the project from the state of Delaware, in accondance with Sections 6451 and 6452 of the Vater and hir Regcuances Act of 1966, Fitle 7, Part VII, Delaware Code, and the moratorfum that mas daclared by the Delaware Legialature.

Mr. Sklaner ban stated that the dupont Company need only racolve permiaaion from the State of Naw Jamey and the Corps of Engineeps.

The Honorable Laird Stabler
Page 2
Februaxy 19, 1971

Please note on the plans that area I bave marked in red. This area lies below the mean low water (MLW) Lne, which places approximately one-half of the profect into Deldware watere.

It ia our position that if uo are to discharge our dution as they are mandated in sections 645i and 6452 of the Delaware Code, then it will be necessary for the dupont corpany to subsit an application and receive Dolaware's approval of this project. However, if the legal language of the 1905 Treaty and the Supreme Court decision of 1939 nagates or supersedes the provistons of the Hatex and Air Resouroas Act of 196 , then it is not our intent to unnecestarily delay this project.

It is respeatfully requested, therefore, that you revien thls matter to determine whetber the State of Delaware hia any juplediction over projects of this nature that iovalve underwater lands at or balow the MLy line on the New Jensey alde of the Dalaware River within the 12-mile afc.

If you have any further questions. I would be pleawed to answor then.
Respectfully yours,


Mr. James Kelly
Departwent of the drwy
Philadelphia pistrict
Corps of Engipeers
Custon House
2nd and Chestmut Streets
Philadelphla, Penncylvania 19106
Dear Mr. Kolly:
This letter is to inform you of the status of the following two profacts that are being proposed for constametion on the New lemsey side of the Delaware River.

1. E. I. duPong Company, Chambers Horks, Heh Jersey - construction of an ofl storege tank in the Delawame River, ne : public notice Mapop-18-184, 8 January 1871. The company's attorney requested that wa obtain an opinion of Delaware's Attomey Gemenal. In that opinion, the Attomay General stated that Delavare has no furisdiction between the mean high and mand low hater marks on the Now Jemey ahore and that the moratorium declared by the Delaware liegislature does not apply to the New Jersey shore.

Howevar, any proposed work in the Delaware River which will axtend below the mean low water mark is withio Delaware watem and will require a perroft from the Stata of Diblaware.

The Company's attorney has been notifled of this decision and they ware again notified that the Coimpany would have to make applioation to this Deparkment.
2. Hiquified patural gas tempinal near Oldmans Creak, New Jerrey - this is a proposal to perform teat borlngs in tha Dolaware River for a proposed pier facility to serve the LPPG plant, which will be loaatad wholly within New Jarzey.

## Mx. James Kelly

Page 2
April 5, 1971
. Naturally, Delaware does not have jurisdiction over the LPG plamt but, as in Project \|l, above, any work within Delaware waters will require a permitt from Delaware. I have enclosed a copy of the letter sent to the Company's attorney.

If you have any questions regarding this infomation, please feel free to contact this office.
.
Sinoerely,
B. E. Lane, Staff Geohydrologist Water Resources and Subaqueous Lands

BEL: G
Enclosure

LEASE GRANTED TO
E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY

To
DREDGE, CONSTRUCT A BULKHEAD, FILL BEKIND SAID BULKHEAD, CONSTRUCT A DOCK, AND CONSTRUCT A FUEL OIL STORAGE TANK AT ITS "CHAMBERS WORKS" PACILITY ALONG THE DELAWARE RIVER

AND NOW, to wit, this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ A.D. 2971 , the state of Delaware (horeinafter referred to as Lessor) doea hereby accept the June 21.1971 , resolution of the Water and Air Resources Commission approving the application of E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company (herainaftex referred to ab Leasee) mubmitted to the Water and Air Respurcon Commisaion and dated June 7, 1971, a copy of which 18 attached heroto and made a part hereof, and

WHEREAS, Lessor claims title to certain subaqueous lands lying beneath the waters of the Delaware River, the subject matter of this lease: and

WHEREAS, Lesisee also claims title to the same subaqueous Lands

WHEREAS, the granting of thig laase ia necessary for the construction of certain storage facilities which are necegsary for the use of low sulphur fuel, an envirommentally dosirable goaly

It $1 s$ understood by both parties to this agreoment that both the application for this lease and the granting of this lease are flled and granted without prejudice to the title clajm of elther party, and

WHEREAS, Leasee, owner of certain lands referred to ag the "Chambers Korks" and adjacent to the Dolaware River, has applied for pormission to dredge, construct a bulkhoad, $E 111$ behind

```
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said bulkhead, construct a dock, and construct a fual 011 storage tank on the subaqueous lands adjacent to the "Chambers Works"। and WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of Titia 7, part VII. Section 6451, Delaware Code, the Hater and Mr Resources Comme sion inds that it is not contrary to the pubisc interest, and that the granting of this lease ds heroby approved aubject to the terms and conditions hereln set forth.

NOW, THEREFORE, Lassor hareby gxants permigaion to the
Wessae to dredge from the Delaware Rivar approximatoly sixty thou- sand ( 60,000$)$ cubic yards of subaqueous matarial,

AND, to congtruct approximately nine hundred (900) feet
of bulkhead,
AND, to fill approximataly thirty thougand six hundred
Iorty-seven ( 30,647 ) square feet of subaqueoun land behind said bulkhead,

MND, to construct a six (6) foot by ten (20) foot docky AND, to conitruct a two hundrad thougand $(200,000)$
barrel fuel oil storage tank, part of which will be constructed on the aforementioned subaqueous lands, all in accordance with the plans subnitted, drawings DWM-1655, DW-22779. DW-22780, and DW-23045, copies of which are attachad hereto and become a part heroof:

AND, hereby loasos to Lossee the approximatoly thirty thouaand six hundred forty-sevan ( 30.647 ) square feet of subaqueous Iand to be Iilied and the approximately sixty (60) squaxe feet of subaqueous land upon which the $61 x$ (6) soot by ten (20) foot dock is to be constructed.

THIS lease and authorization thall be continued for a period of ten $\{10\rangle$ years beginning the $\qquad$ day of


> SL-558/1971
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2. The bulkhead is to be completad prior to any filing of lands contained by said bulkhead.
3. The project 1s to be undertaken in accordance with the plans submitted. If changes are neceseary, revised plans must be submitted and a supplamental approval 1ssuad prior to actual construction.
4. Represontativas of the Water and Aly Resouricas Commission may inspact such work during the term of this Lease, including construction and may collect any samples or conduct any tests that are deemed nacesaary. 5. This instrument does not purport to constitute an approval of the deaign or otructural itabllity and safety of tho proposed installation and Lessee agrees to save harmlese Legsor in the event of design or gtrictural fallures which cause infuxy to person(s) or property.
6. Lassee.shall maintain any structures on subaquoous Lands in a good and safo condition and will protect and save Lessor hammlest from any lons, cost or damage by reason of gaid gtructural condition.
7. A11 construction debris, axcavated material, brush, rocks, and refuse incldental to guch work shall be placed either on shora above the influance of flood waters or on some suleable and approved dumping ground. 8. Any actions, operations or installations associated with the subjact matter of this instrumant, which are considered by the hater and $x 1 y$ Resouraem Commission to be contrary to the best interests of the public shall constituts reason for the dimcontinuance of, and/or

$$
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removal of, said action, operation or installation.
9. Approval from the Corps of Enginears, where its Juxisdiction is in effect, ahall be obtained within one (1) year of the date of execution of thia lease. 10. Lessee shall at all times comply with such male and regulationa relating to navigation as may from timo to time be promulgated by the United Etates Corps of Enginears as the same may affect such structuran and the activity related to said otructurea.
11. The issuance of thig lease does not imply approval of any other part, phase, or portion of any overall project which Lassee may be contemplating. 12. Th1s laase shall not be construed to grant or confer any right, title, easement, or interest in, to, or over the aforementioned subaqueous lands (the subject matter of this lease) other than that of a tenant.
13. Lessce hereby agrees to waive and to release forever any incroased cialm to titio andfor jurisdiction over the landa prosently Iylng below the mean low vater ine Which, because of the rights granted by this instrument, w111 be raised above the mean low watex line.
14. This lease is subject to the terms and conditiona contained in any oasement, licence or loase that may have been granted by the State to iny person (s) , politLcal subdivision, Board, Commission or Agency of the State in the vicinlty of the leased premises.
15. This lease and authorlzation are granted molaly for the purposen as stated herein. Any other use without priox approval shali congtitute reason for thia laase being yevoked.

```
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```
16. This lease is void If the project has not been
Inltiated by one (1) year from the date of Imuance.
17. This laage will be revoked upon violation of any of
```

the above conditions.
IN WI2NESS WHEREOF, E. I. du Font do Nomours and Company
has caused thin instrument to be executed and its corporate seal affixed by ito respective proper officers thereunto duly authors led on this the day and year first above written,
E. I. DU PUNT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY

## TEST:

 .

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I


Chairman of the Delaware Water and Ale Resources Commission have heraunto set my hand and sal this $\qquad$ day of Septenben, 1971.

By Chairman of Delaware Water and Xix Resources Commission

IN WITNESS WIEREOF, I, RUSSELL W. PETERSON, GOVamor of the state of Delaware, have hereunto sot my hand and the Groat Seal of the state of Delaware has been hereunto affixed by the Secretary of state, at Dover, on this 29 th day of Sopterbere In the year of Our Lord One Thousand Nine Hundred and Seventy-ona.

I. I. du Font de Nemours \& Companiy

CHAMBEFS WORKS.

Municipali Clerk
90 Narth Broadway
Hunicipal Bldg.
Pennsville, N: J. 08070

Hmen Code sug-298-50bo

$\qquad$

Juty 13, 1977
-bce: P F. Brown- F-IO404.
E., E: Lorenz, $4-1040$ !
P.M.Humanick/R.A.SHim/D.F.Gilbert
W., F. Lippincott; Admin. Bidg.
E. T. Foggis Admin. Blóg,

James R. Johnson, Superintendent
J. Curry 1094. Building
H. H. Heath, "oto 4 Bldg.
D.:S. Dunágan, 1094 Bidg.

Division of Pariné Services
Department of Environmenta7. Protection
P: O. Box 1889
Trienton, New Jersey 08625
Dear Mr. Johnson:
We would 1ike to request approval of a revision to our Ice Repair Permit No. T-25 for our waste water outfall line in the Delaware River.

- The ice-daniaged portion of the line has now been dismantled and removed. The design for the repair of the line has been completed. In order to avoid future ice damage, the abpve-water; portion of the Tine which was damaged will not be replaced. Instead, a transition section will be installed to carry the discharge under the water to four $30^{*}$ diameter steel lines to disperse the waste water finto the river (see attached Figures 1 \& 2 2).

Since our new Waste. Water Treatment facilities are now operating satisfactorily, the quality of the wastevater has improyed to attain the limits established by our KPDES'Pemit. No. NJ-D005100. Thus, the original problems of acidity, color and foam which required dispersion further out in- the river no longer exist.

This repair work can be accomplished within our existing riparian rights and thie total cost will be the same as originally estimated; $\$ 500,000$. The shorter above-water portion of the outfall line will aiso reduce the navigational hazard in the river.

The construction of the new section, which will require two months, is expected to start in July, 1977 and can be completed by October 1, 1977. We would appreciate your expediting the approval of this permit revision so that construction can proceed on schedule to insure completion before the coming winter.

bjs
GEITER THINGS FOR DETTER LVING .... THROUGH CHEMISTRY




STATE OF IRE TTETEY
DEPAPT: ETT OF ENIFONETAS PCGIECTIO:

 P. O. EOX 18 CO

TREETOK, ME: JERSEY 06625
Gentlemen:
W.F.Lippincott,Ad.Bldg. G.L.Porter, Ad, B]äg.
E.T. Fogo, Ad, Bldg. ECD File -13.04]
spalication is hereby racie for issuance of a revocentle remit to carry out the following worl.

1. APPLICATM/GTIE
(INTE)
E. I. DU PONT DE HEHOURS \& CO: INC.
(ADPEESS) CHAMBERS HORKS, DEEPWATER, Ni, J. $08023^{\circ}$
2. LOCATIOI OF ! ORT:
(2DIICLPALITY)
PEHISVILLE TOMNSHIP
(EXT:TY) $\qquad$



REMOYAL OF ICE DAMAEED PORTION OF VIASTE:HATER OUTFALL LINE (OO1) AVO REPAIR(2) OR
-replacement (2) of the line for bhich-desigh is being developed.

3. fittached hercto is a survey or sletch shming structure to te fabaren or relacee
4. Attached is a ricture of structure to be treiren or ranisur.


 - RPMRLAT STRTITS.
( ${ }^{1}$ Assumes repair of existing line
${ }^{(2)}$ Assumes complete replacement

Respectinuly yours:

E. 1. du Pont de Nemours ¿ Company

TELCPHONR

## CHAMBERS WORKS

## BEEFWATEF, MEW AEROCY ONZ

October 11, 1977

Mr. William Rogers
Division of Water Resources
P.0. Box 2809

Trenton, New Jersey 08625

Dear Mr. Rogers:
As requested, we are submitting the application forms for a stream encroachment permit for the repair of our ice damaged waste water outfail line. This will supplement the construction permit we submitted to the Office of Riparian Lands Management on August 25, 1977.

We would appreciate prompt approval so that the work can be completed beiore the remaining portion of the outfall line is further damaged by ice this winter. Could we have your acquiesence to Eegin the work by November 1, 1977, pending final issuance of the permit?

Very truly yours,
G. H. Cassedy, Sup't. Environmental Control Div.

JC:gt
attachments

better things for better living . . .through chemistry

## APPLICATION FORM FOR PERMIT

Seate of New Jersey
Departeret of Erviromental Procection
(See Instruccions Eor Appropriate Adëress)
Division of Water Resources
P.0. Box 2809

Trenton, New Jersey - 08625
TLEASE TYPE OR PDTMI

## OEFICE OSE ONRT

```
Agency Irojece Mo.
    Daie Aosigned.
    Appl1eatica Fee
Date Supplemental
    Information Flled
```

$\qquad$
$\qquad$

1. Appiicant E. I. du Pont de Nemours \& Co., Inc. Telephone No. (609) 299-5000, Ext. 445

|  |  | Permanent Legal hidress | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3undeipality | Deppwater | State New Jersey | 08023 |

2. Tyoe of Permit Apolled zor: (Check only one block - Separate application form and fee is required for each permit.)

Attached is a ecroplete $\qquad$ permit application.

B. Brig fainer's Name

William F. Lippincott

9. Brief Description of Proposed Project: arid che Iarended Use: The effluent
from the Wastewater Treatment plant was conducted through an Outfall Tine on a bier in to the Delaware River and oiscnarned below the surface, inge river and nf this line and pu er was damaged on february 9 , 1977 , ana ias ranpyeduncer ice permit T-25. Desion has now been comalatad to provide a transition section frith under water discharge pions at the river end of the remaining line and nipper. io existing dike on to s north sics of the pier will be extended for fitting ice ruatsetion. all as shown on tho attached skoteles. The quality of the effluantiactcitater rets the requirements of fifes Permit ic. 0,30005100
10. I hereby authorize:

Name Same as applicant . Telephone No.
Street $\qquad$
Municipality $\qquad$ County $\qquad$ State $\qquad$ Zip Code $\qquad$
to act as my agent or representative in 211 matters pertaining to my application.
I hereby certify that the information furnished on this application and the attackwants are true and have been offered in order to 17cuce the Department to issue the permit wifich is the subject of same. I aw aware that false swearing is a crime in this State and subject to prosecution.


Notary Pubic

Signature of Agent


STATE OF NEW JERSET
DPRARTRNT OF ENTROMTEAL PROEECTION
DIVISION OF WATER RESCOKCES
Trencon, Nets Jersey 08625
APPLICAITON FOR PERYIT FOR STREAN ENCROACRIEYT

To the Diviston of मatar Resources

## Genclemer:

: In ccapllance with the pzofigions of Iftle 5B:I-26 of the Revised Statutes, applica-
rica is hereby made by E. I. du Pont de Nemours \& Company, Inc.
(Applicapr's Name \& Permanent Legal Addresa)
Chambers Works, Desumater, Hew Jersey 08023
Lor approval of plans and the tasuzace of a permit for repairing ice damaged outfall line and extending existing dike to orotect from future ice damane.

## (Descriperon ori Encrachment)

In, aloog, across or under Delaware River (Name of Straam or Oriar 3ody of haraz) at a point Pennsville Twp., Salem County, about 1 mile north of Delaware Memorial Bridge.
(Give Locardon oy County, \}unicipalizy and Nearest Streat or Eighnay)
This application must be accompaniad by appropelate drawings, specifications and data which should be prepared by a New Jersey licensed engineer or land survepor (as provided by 1aw).

- I hereby cerctify that
E, I. du Pont de Nemours \& Ca., Inc:
(Omer of Froperty)
is the owner of the properto upor ohich the proposed work is to de done. (If work is to be done within an easement, this siould be indicated). Please certify whether any pa=r of the encire project (1.e. pipeline, soacray, cable, transuission lice, etc.) hill be located witinin property belonging to the Stare or New Jersey. Yea —— No x

(This application, with signed and sealed drawigs and data filed in comection there Uith will remain or file in the ofitice of the Division of Hater Resources).

This sheet must be completed by the Engiveer and be attached to the application.

 Faluze to tingsi cemplete fotomaticn rill result la the application being returned and no revier rill be made.
(a) Key map shorlag Iocation of proposed work. USGS quadrangie map required. n-
 "mist be appropryate and swall scale drawdegs are discouzaged. tint=u
 Smaller scales will roc be acceprot.

See Sheet $\because 2$ attached.
(c) Drawlags must be folded with titie block showing. Vaxtum size 818" $\times 14^{\prime \prime}$.
(d) All drawlags must bave title bloct and be signed, sealed and dated.
(e) All property lines must be clearly shom and onmers fncent土fled.
(f) Plan, profille and cross-sections of strena within the Ifntts of the proposed work and for a rinizum or 500 Eeet bosh upstrean and dowastreen from the linits of the proposed work.

Ref: U.S. C.\&GS Chart $\frac{H}{H} 294$

1. Stream bottom elevaticns zust be shown on the stream proffle,

See Sheet \#2 attached.
2. Cross-sections oí the stream must siow invert, berton of bank and C00 of bank eiveraticns. Cross-sectacns must be sujariesed it 100 foot scatlons and excand so as to faclude the antize momal channel and prinary Elocd plata but no less than 50 feet beyond zop of benk on each stae of screan ani be on g=ogs-section japer to a nintur scale of $I^{\prime \prime}=10^{\prime}$ horxrocral and $1^{\prime \prime}=5^{\prime \prime}$ verticai, hoere grade cianges or ifil is Involyed, proposed secitions should be stper-imposed on existing sections. Small scale dratiggs are macceptable.
(g) Concour map of area along gerean is required ard where Eill, is troolved, proposed and extatiag cociouzs and gracies aust be shown. Mate a suicdivision is furolved the tuanngs تuse also sicw the lot layout and in-

 at least one (i) Koot acove iOQ year Ziood level and be moced as "lowest Eloor or basement of dratisgs.

Not Applicable
(h) All fill acd othar earch work must be stabllized aceordsez to "Standards for Soll Erosion and Sedfeat Contaol in New Jersey" and derails suse je shown on drawingg together with a schedule Ear constciceson and removal.

Calculations must also be supplied. A Ietter from the local Sofl Conservation Serrice office indicating their acceptance of proposed soil erosion methods may be substitured. See Item (e) uncer Ripelines/ cables. Not Applicable.
(1) Encroachment lizes mist be degcibed by metes and bounds, labeled and described on the drawtogs.

See Sheet \#3 attached.
(d) Wate ziprap is to be used, a detafl drawisg must indicate spectificatiocs of stone. Rifrap on siopes uusr have a $3^{\prime}$ z $3^{\prime}$ toe wall and $3^{\prime} x 3^{\prime}$ cutoff walls on both upstrean and dorrstrean ends. If ciamel botion fa to be riprapped $3^{\prime} \times 3^{\prime}$ cutofín walls are required on both upstrax ace downstrean ends. لngzouted riprap must be on a slope of 2 horizontai to 1 vertical or flatter.
(k) Profila of road where a nes or replacement bridge or eulvert is frolved. . . . . . . . . Not:Applicable.
$\qquad$ CnむersIearanca elevation*_ _
2. Replacenent $=$ R1sex ___ taderclearance elevetion= $\qquad$
(1) Pertinent detafls of all proposed work, (Note thas too of footings for
 stub abutents are used with appropriate slope protection).
(in) Kails - Stability anaigsis zust be subaitred.

> Not Applicable.
(a) ghevations based on the New Jersey Gzodetic Control sumey datum. Use of any other datur wust be supported by an explanatory statement and an equation to N.J.G.C.S. datm.
(o) 'Dratnage area to a Point to Quesetone Main Stream of Delaware River. $\ddots$
(p) Cost of that portion of project that constitures the encroachentit $\$ 275,000$. $\rightarrow$
(q) Eydrology - Actached form must be complerad. Not Applicable.
( (x) Dimensions of waterway opening of nearest brieges upstream and dowistream of the proposed project. Span siould be measured normal to steen fyow and shoula zot be skew distance.

Not Applicable.

1. Dpstrear Distancem___ Spana__ Rise__ Uederclearance Ele.____
2. Downcrest Distancen_ Spare__ Disem__ Diderciearance Ele. = $\qquad$
(s) Photographs lookigs upstream and downstacam from proposed project.

See Sheet 74 Attached.
(t) Is stracture new or replaseneat of an existing ercroarfment?

Replacement - repair of ice damaged outfall line.
(u) Channel relozation ard mafor ifll projects requiza that two (2) coples of an EIVi=omental Ingact Statement be subničed with application. Not Applicable.




$r:$ Chambers Works cutfall lin looking down river from

* New Jerisey side toward Delaware Memorial Bridge

Upstream, north, side of outfall line showing $500^{\prime}$
long dike to prosect from ice damage

Downstream, south side of outfall line

万L,





#  <br> DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION <br> DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES <br> POST OFFICE BOX 2809 TRENTON. NEW jERSEY OB625 

STREAM ENCROACHMENT APPLICATION NO. TY 48
STREAM ENCROACHMENT YEAR NO. 77-585
An application has been received by this office for approval of a project along a stream as follows:
applicant: E.I. du Pone de Nemovis : Conan.

PROJECT:


STREAM:
LOCATION:


COUNTY: $\qquad$
The application will be reviewed by the engineering staff as soon as the workload permits. All inquiries should be addressed to Bill WEaver , the engineer assigned to this project. Reference should always be made to Application No. $\frac{754}{48}$ and year No. $77-585$ so as to facilitate locating the file and obtaining a proper answer.


WFR/JRM:me
DATE $\ldots$ 10-25:71



RE: Repair and replace existing 36 -pile cluster with a filled steel sheet structure ( 20 -foot diameter), E. I. du Font DeNemours Co., Inc., Deepwater, New Jersey
Dear Mr. Caccese:
Please be advised that the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control hereby authorizes the reconstruction of the above-referenced subaqueous lands structure to the extent that the dimensions or intended use are not changed:

 Resources and Public Subaqueous Lands

You may still meed a permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers . For information, please contact Mr. Frank Clanfranl Permit Branch, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{M}}$ Philadelphia, District.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact this office,
Sincerely,


William F. Mayer
Manager
WFM:CNM:jb
cc: Mr. Frank Cianfrani
Mr. Curry
Mr. Charles McNally


State of Delaware
Department of Natural resources
\& ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL
DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL

> WETLANDS SECTION
> BLUE HON MALL ROOM 203

Dover Delaware 19901
TELEPHONE (302) 736 -4691
October 15, 1982
E.L. DuPont de Nemours \& Co. c/o J. Guricy Environmental Odintrol Div.:. Chambers Works
Deepwater, New Jersey 08023


BR: Maintenance dredging of 85,000 square yards of material" from Delaware River at Deeptater, New Jersey

Dear Sirs:
Your October 12, 1982 request to conduct the above-referenced maintenance dredging of the material from the Delaware River has been reviewed by the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control. The Department hereby authorizes the maintenance dredging in accordance with the plans submitted subject to the following conditions:

1. Sediment and erosion controls shall be implemented so as not to violate the State of Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Water Quality Standards for Streams dated March 25, 1979.
2. No dredge spoils shall be placed on tidal wetlands at any time.
3. This Section shall be notified 24 hours prior to any dredging.

If you have any further questions, please feel free to call this office.


H2M: CNMEAm1 Manager
ce: Charles Campbell
Charles McNaily


DUP0001021

Mr. Willam N. Maline Frgineer<br>G. T. Hodsoy engineers, Inc.<br>Widener Building<br>139 Chestnut Street<br>Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 1.9107

RE: Repair and Replace timber cooling



Dear Mr. Mallin;
Please be advised that the Deparment of Natural Resources and Envixonmental Control herebry authorizes the reconstruction of the abovereferenced subaqueous lands structure to the extent that the dimensions or intended use are not changed. Changes in either the dimensions or use shall require a permit pursuant to 7 Del. C., Chapter 72 , and section 2.01 of Delaware's Regulations Governing the Use of Water Resources and Public subaqueous: Lands.

1. Sediment and erosion controls shall be implemented, so as not to violate the State of Delaware Department of Natural kesources and Environmental Control, Water Quality Standares for Streams dated December 23, 1985.
2. This authorization shall be valid for a period of one (1) year beyond this letter date.
3. A copy of this Repair and Replace must be ayailoble on-site during all phases of construction activity.

If you have any questions regarding this authorization please feel free to contact this office,



STATE Of DELAWARE DSPARTBCENT OF MATURTA RESOURCES a ENMINONAENTAL COMTROL DIVISHON OF WATER RIGSOURCES


$$
M D-0002 / 88
$$ Stion

February 9, 1988

Relnhold Betschel
Aree Consultant/Environaental Affairs

Chambers Morks
Deepwater, HJ 08023
RE: Maintenance dredging of 80,000 cubic yards of material from the Delamare River at the Chambers Works, Ceepwater, New Jersey

Dear Mr. Betschel,
Your January 21, 1988 request to conduct the abovereferenced maintenance dredging of the material from the Delawaxe River has been reviewed by the Department of Hatural Resources and Environmental Control. The Departaent hereby authorizes the maintenance dredging in accordance with the plans subintted subject to the folloving conditiens:

1. Sediment and erosion controls shall be laplemented so As not to violate the State of Delavare Department of Natural Resources and Environmentes Control, Mater Quality Standards for Streams dated December 23, 1985.
2. No dredge spoils shall be piaced on tidal zetlands at any tiae.
3. This Section shall be notified 24 hours prior to any
dredging.

Be advised that this letter of Authorization for maintenance dredging of a previously dredged site is one time authorization for the above work only. Any subsequent maintenance dredging at this site will require a nev letter of Authorization.

Please call ae if you have any questions or if 1 can be of any further help.


UFA: 9 g
cc: Dick Base?
Charles MANually

## SKETCH !



LOEATION PLAN
mort mon mand.

WILLIAM F. LIPPINCOTT P.E. HCENSE NE 11223
 DATE .... $4 / 16 / 87$


#  <br> gtate of DKLaware <br> Department of Natumal Resources \& <br> ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL <br> TDEEMONE (302) 739-4691 <br> DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES FACSMMLE (302) 739.63.04 <br> 89 Kinet Highwar <br> DOVER, DELAWARE 19901 <br> Subaqueous Lands Permit: SP-071/01 <br> Date of Issuance: 5-4.01 <br> Construction Expiration Date: $5-4 \times 34$ <br> Amended Date: <br> SUBAQUEOUS LANDS PERMTT <br> GRANTED TO DUPONT CILAMBERS WORKS <br> TO DREDGE APPROXIMATELY 4,650 CUBIC YARDS OF MATERIAL AND TO <br> BACKFILL TO EXISTING ELEVATIONS IN A 0.71 ACRE SITE IN THE DELAWARE RIVER; AND TO INSTALL A TEMPORARY SHEET PILE WALL SURROUNDING THE EXCAVATION IN THE DELAWARE RIVER, NEAR DEEPWATER, NEW JERSEY, IN NEW CASTLE COUNTY, DELAWARE 

Mr. Norman Merkosky
DuPont Chambers Works
Route 130
Deepwater, N,J. 08023
Pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del. C. 7203 and the Department's Regulations Goyerning the Use of Subaqueous Lands, pennission is hereby granted on this 4th day of Masy_A.D. 2001, to construct the above-referenced project in accordance with the approved plan for this permit ( 1 Sheet), as approved on May 2, 2001, and titled "Final Grading Plan Sheet 1 of 2, DuPont Chambers Works Solid Waste Management, Units 5 \& 43," Drawing No. 5, dated October 2000; and application dated February 8, 2001 and received by this Division on February 14, 2001.

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del. C. 7203, the Secretary of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control through his duly authorized representative finds that it is not contrary to the public interest if this project is approved subject to the terms and conditions herein set forth.

This permit is issued subject to the following conditions:

## SPECLAL CONDITIONS

1. The conditions contained herein shall be incorporated into any and all construction contracts and other ancillary documents associated with earth disturbance and any other activities directly or indirectly associated with construction which may impact subaqueous lands associated with this project. The permittee and contractor are responsible to ensure that the workers executing the activities authorized by this Pernit have full knowledge of, and abide by, the terms and conditions of this Permit.
2. The construction schectule for this project shail be arranged so as to minimize the impact on anadromous fish passage. Specifically, the authorized activities shall not be undertaken between March 15 and June 1 annually.
3. Construction shall be conducted so as not to violate the State of Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, "Surface Water Quality Standards," as amended August 11, 1999.
4. The work authorized by this permit is part of a larger contaminated materials clean-up for the site, most of which is being undertaken in the State of New Jersey. The work in the State of Delaware subaqueous lands is authorized for the explicit purpose of removing contaminated material as stated in the permit application.
5. In order to contain the dredged material and to minimize the turbidity from the excavation and fill operation, a steel sheet pile wall shall be constructed in the Deloware River around the excavation site prior to the start of the excavation activities. The sheet pile wall shall be removed after the restored riverbed is stabilized.
6. Turbidity shall be monitored in the Delaware River during excavation and restoration. Details of the proposed turbidity monitoring program shall be submitted to this office for approval within 10 days of the issuance of this Permit.
7. All dredged materials shall be de-watered and then disposed on-site at the A-Basin Vault, a NJDEP approved disposal facility. The disposal area shall be contained in a manner that prevents the release of the contaminants into the environment.
8. Water shall be collected during de-watering of the contaminants and shall be treated at the DuPont Chambers Works Waste Water Treatment Plant.
9. The dredged area shall be returned to pre-dredging conditions, including streambed elevations and substrate. A post-dredging bathymetry survey of the disturbed area shall be submitted within 90 days of completion of the restoration.
10. All dredging and filling is to be conducted in a manner consistent with sound conservation and water pollution control practices.
11. There shall be no movernent of equipment within state regulated subaqueous lands not specifically authorized by this permit.
12. All construction debris and refuse incidental to this activity shall be appropriately contained at all times to prevent its entry into surface waters or wetlands.
13. All fill material associated with the proposed project and included in the above-referenced plans shall be clean and free from oils, grease, asphalt and other contaminants.
14. A copy of this pernit must be available on-site during all phases of construction activity.

## GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. The project is to be undertaken in accordance with the plans submitted and attached hereto. Any activities not specifically authorized herein may require a supplemental approval from this office prior to the initlation of construction. A determination on the need for a supplemental approval will be made by this office pursuant to the permittec submitting written notification and revised plans indicating project changes to this office.
2. Representatives of the Department of Natura! Resources and Environmental Control may inspect such work during any phase of the construction and may collect any samples or conduct any tests that are deemed necessary.
3. This permit does not cover the structural stability of the project units.
4. Any actions, operations or installations which are considered by the Department to be contrary to the best interests of the public shall constitute reason for the discontinuance and/or removal of said action, operation or installation.
5. The isstance of this permit does not imply approval of any other part, phase, or portion of any overall project the permittee may be contemplating.
6. This permit is subject to the terms and conditions contained in any easement, license or lease that may have been granted by the State or any political subdivision, board, commission or agency of the State in the vicinity of the project.
7. This permit and authorization are granted for the purposes as stated herein. Any other use without prior approval shall constitute reason for this permit being revoked.
8. This permit shall expire if the project has not been completed within three (3) years from the date of issuance.
9. If the permitee considers three (3) years insufficient for completion of the project, the permitted may submit a project time schedule for consideration by the Department. If the time schedule is approved it shall be attached hereto and made a part hereof.
10. The permitter shall notify the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control within ten (10) days of the date work will be commenced.
11. The permitter shall at all times comply with all applicable laws and regulations of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control.
12. This Permit is personal and may not be transferred without the prior written consent of the Department. Prior to the transfer of the adjacent upland property, the permitee shall obtain the written consent of the Department to transfer this Permit to the new upland property owner. Failure to obtain such written consent may result in the revocation of this Permit and the removal of all structures authorized by this Permit at the expense of the permitter.
13. The issuance of this permit does not constitute approval for any of the activities as may be required by any other local, state or federal governmental agency.
14. This permit may be revoked upon violation of any of the above conditions.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, 1, Laura M. Herr, the duly authorized representative of Nicholas A. DiPasquale, Secretary, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, have hereunto set my hand and seal this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ May $\qquad$ 2001.


By Laura M. Herr, ute duly authorized
representative of the Secretary of the
Department of Natural Resources and
Environmental Control

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, William F. Moyer, the duly authorized representative of Nicholas A. DiPasquale, Secretary, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, have hereunto set my hand and seal this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2001.


DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND
ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL

## NOTICE OF AUTHORIZATION

Permit/Lease No. and Description: SP-071/01 To dredge approximately 4,650 cubic yards of material and to backill to exlsting elevations in a 0.71 acre site in the Delaware Riverg and to install a temporary sheet pile wall surrounding the excavation in the Delaware River, near Deepwater, New Jersey, in New Castle Conty, Delaware

## Issued to: DuPont Chambers Works <br> Location of Work

## Date of Explration:

## May 4, 2004

DISPLAY THIS CERTIFICATE IN A
HIGHLY VISIBLE LOCATION ON THE JOB SITE.

# AGENDA <br> Technical Briefing Meeting <br> Treatment and Disposal of Neutralized VX at DuPont Chambers Works Location: Delaware DNREC Office, 391 Lukens Drive, New Castle, DE <br> Date: March 10, 2004 <br> Time: 9:30 a.m. $-1: 00$ p.m. 

Purpose: To discuss the results of the treatability studies, toxicity assessment, and fate and effects evaluation for the Delaware River

Expected Attendance: Technical staff from EPA, DRBC, NPDEP, DE DNREC, the U.S. Army, and the DuPont Co.

- Welcome and Introductions
- Overview
- Results of Treatability Studics
- Toxicity of Treated Wastewater
- Fate and Transport in the Delaware River
- Questions and Answers
- Follow-up Actions
- Adjourn



EXHIBIT
I
$\frac{(A \operatorname{stag} a-11}{-310.2406}$

Techucal Meetiog


Aprial 7,2004

TheiHonorable Les Brownlec<br>Acting Secretary of the Army<br>The Deparment of Defense<br>The Pentagon<br>Washington, DC 20310

## Dear Secretary Brownlee:

As Governors of states having vital military facilities, we recognize che importance of meeting the United States' commitment to eliminate stockpiles of the nerve agent VX now stored at Newport, Indiana. This commitment must be met, however, in a manner chat comports with catrent law and with full protection of . human health and the environment.

As Governors, we share a responsibility for stewardship of the natural resources of the Delaware River, as well. as for the public health and safety of the communities in our two stares potentially affected by the shipmient and treatment of this waste. As Governors, we share the responsibility for the protection and health of the:-Delaware-Estuary. Our citizens swim and fish in it, our businesses conduct commerce in it. Thie D, elewarée. River and Bay combine to forri the Delaware Estuary, which is a pare of the national estuary progranis Thits program, and the pertinent requirements of the federal Clean Water Act, ditect our states to reduce; inor increase, the amounts and types of pollutants discharged into the Delaware River and Delaware. Bay; Didete: coordinated effort by our two states, we can report that Delaware Bay is an estuary on the road top fecoveriyt

It is from these perspectives that we must share our concerns and questions about the proposal, as wititen, , transport and dispose of neitralized VX nerve agent from the United States Army's Newport, Indiana depoé at che DuPont's Chambers Works Secure Environmencal Treatment Plant. Scientists and engineers from's Delaware, New Jersey and the Delaware River Basin Commission have spent the last month reviewing the technical information provided by the Army and DuPont on March 5, 2004. Our state experts have met and discussed the proposed project with the rechnical experes from the Army and DuPont. Numerous related reports and rechnical information, provided in some cases by the Army; have been evaluated.

As propiosed, the discharge will include ethyl-methyl phosphonic acid (EMPA) and methyl phosphonic acid (MPA); which have been identified in the Chemical Weapons Convention as posing significant risk. We are concerned that. DuPont's treatability report indicates an inappropriare method of handling these phosphorusbased acids. If approved, this proposal would result in the discharge of 2.3 tons per day of EMPA and MPA into the Delaware River. DuPont's treatment process dilutes, but does not substantally treat, the levels of EMPA and MPA that arrive by tanker truck from Indiana. There is litte, if any, published information about
the environmental effects of these organic acids and we are concerned abour using the Delaware River and Bay as the testing grounds.

The treatability report failed to akei into account the proximity of United Water. Delaware's drinking water intake to the Chambers Works discharge. This facility provides drinking water to over 100,000 customers per day and it is located within the periphery of the 12 mile daily tidal movement in this region of the river.

We are concerned that this proposal, if approved, is projected to increase substancially the concentration of phosphorus in portions of the Delaware Estuary. DuPont's treatability report has failed, in our opinion, to charaterize correctly the downstream effects of this plant nutriens. There has been no evaluation of the potential for the phosphorus discharged from Chambers Works to stimulate algal blooms in the phosphoruslimited reaches of the Delaware Bay. Such blooms diminish dissolved oxygen levels in the water and therefore may affect fish and other aquatic organisms.

In addition, the Army has failed $m$ provide adequate assurances regarding the levels of residual VX. in the waste stream that will be transported to Chambers Works. This is of concern because the discharge is projected to contain VX at levels at or near the detection limit, a level at which lethal effects in striped bass have been identified. There is a major juvenile striped bass breeding ares in the Cherry Islands Flats area of the Delaware River and we cannot expose this recovering and sensitive fishery to the potencial risks associated with this discharge.

Finally, given the absence of any technical basis on which to conclude that the Chambers Works facility offers treatment capacity that is unique or particularly suited to this material, we question the safety and wisdom of transporting this material, which will require daily shipments of several chousand gallons of waste for up to two years, from Indiana to Chambers Works. The, attendant risks, and the impacts to roads and communities, simply have not been justified.

We believe that the Department of the Army and its contractors should reconsider their proposed plan to neutralize 1,269 tons of the VX nerve agent stored in Newport, Indiana and truck it to. DuPont's Chamber's Works wastewater plant. We believe that it is in the best interests of the citizens and natural resources of the States of Delaware and New Jersey that the ultimate compliance with the requirements of the Chemical Weapons Convention takes place in close proximity to the Newport, Indiana depot. The assessment of the porential impacts of a discharge to the Delaware Estuary is, in our opinion, neither complete in its execution not conservative in its assumptions. We are concemed that if this project goes forward it would result in additional roxicity, nutrients, salt, and metals being added to the Delaware Estuary. Consistent with the goal of our state water quality regulations, as well as the requirements of the federal Clean Water Act, we waint to reduce rather than increase the levels of pollutants being discharged into the Delaware River and Bay.

Thank you for this opportunity to comment on this very important project.


[^2]
state of Delaware

## DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOUṘCES

AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL

## OFFIEE OF THE

B9 KINGS Highway
PHONE: (302) 730-4403
secretary
DOVER, DELAWARE !日901.
April 19, 2004

Colonel Jesse L. Barber c/o
Newport Chemical Stockpile Outreach Office
P.O. Box 279

Newport, Indiana 47966-0517
Dear Colonel Barber: •
This letter is in response to the U.S. Army Chemical Materials Agency's (Army) proposal to ship wastewater ( $V x$ hydrolysate) resulting from the destruction of $V x$ nerve agent from its Newport, Indiana Chemical Depot to the DuPont Secure Environmental Treatment Facility (SET) at the Chambers Works site in Deepwater, New Jersey.

This letter is also in response to the draft Environmental Assessment Statement (EAS) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) issued in support of the proposal. The Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control has worked closely with the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) and the Delaware River Basin Commission (DRBC) to review and assess the technical data and information forwarded to us by the Army and DuPont. Based upon this review, we conclude that the information presented does not support a "Finding of No Significant Impact".

Our basis for this conclusion is based on the following:

- The failure to provide any technical justification for transporting several thousands of gallons of hydrolysate waste every day for up to two years from Indiana to Now Jersey.
- An unacceptably low level of treatment (overall reduction of EMPA plus MPA) of only $21.4 \%$ by the DuPont's Chambers Works Secure Environmental Treatment facility.
- The unknown environmental effects of discharging 2.3 tons per day of EMPA and MPA into. the Delaware River for as long as two years.
- The treatability study conducted by DuPont focused exclusively on ethylmethylphosphonic acid (EMPA), methylphosphonic acid (MPA), Total Organic Carbon (TOC) and oxygen demand while failing to quantify the numerous other compounds, metals and elements in the hydrolysate.
- No documentation or analysis to support the claims that the level of Vx residual in the hydrolysate will be at or below 20 parts per billion. We are concerned about the possible effects' of a discharge containing residual amounts of $V x$ on the area of the Delaware River known ás Cherry Island Flats; the "Flats" is considered to be an important striped bass spawning area.
- The Army and DuPont failed to assess the possible impact of thie proposal on the public water supply intake owned and operated by United Water Delaware.
- The information provided by the Army and DuPont failed to assess the effects of discharging 1,316 pounds of phosphorus per day into the Delaware River and Bay during seasonal low flow
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conditions. This loading represents a $42 \%$ increase in the concentration of Total Phosphorus during critical summer conditions and we believe it will result in algal blooms and disription of the normal oxygen balance downstream of the discharge.

- The information provided by the Army and DuPont failed to assess the effects of the brine discharge on the ion balance in the Delaware River.
- The proposal is inconsistent with the DuPont's Delaware River Basin Commission's Docket No. 88-85 which does not provide for the acceptance of materials for treatment and disposal from the Department of Army weapons destruction operations.
- The proposal should demonstrate the ability to comply with the NJDEP National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit that will be in effect when the operations are proposed rather than with the existing expired, but administratively extended permit.
- The DRBC has determined that the acute toxicity tests conducted by DuPont's consultants to evaluate the toxicity of the final effluent during hydrolysate treatment were not performed in accordance with U.U. EPA guidelines, NELAC 1999 standards, and NJDEP testing requirements for whole effluent toxicity testing. DRBC's analysis suggests that the final effluent will not meet the current NJDEP permit limit on an LC50 greater than $50 \%$ effluent by volume.
- No documentation in the treatability study that the proposed project will break the carbonphosphorus bonds in the EMPA and MPA leading us to question if the project, as proposed, is in compliance with the requirements of the Chemical Weapons Convention.

Finally, attached is the letter to Aoting Secretary of the Army Les Brownlee signed by the Governors of New Jersey and Delaware. This letter states their concerns with and opposition to, many aspects of the proposal, identifies its shortcomings, and concludes by stating that the Governors' "believe that it is in the best interests of the citizens and nataral resources of the States of Delaware and New Jersey that the ultimate compliance with the requirements of the Chemical Weapons Convention takes place in close proximity to the Newport, Indiana depot." The Chambers Works facility is not "in close proximity" to the Newport depot.


Attachments
pc: Governor Ruth Ann Minner
Bradley M. Campbell, Commissioner, NJ DEP
Carol R. Collier, Executive Director, DRBC
Delaware Congressional Delegation

Discussion Points

- The failure to provide any technical justification for transporting several thousands of gallons of hydrolysate waste every day for up to two years from Indiana to New Jersey.
- An unacceptably low level of treatment (overall reduction of EMPA plus MPA) of only 21.4\% by the DuPont's Chambers Works Secure Environmental Treatment facility.
- The unknown environmental effects of discharging 2.3 tons per day of EMPA and MPA into the Delaware River for as long as two years.
- The treatability study conducted by DuPont focused exclusively on ethylmethylphosphonic acid (EMPA), methylphosphonic acid (MPA), Total Organic Carbon (TOC) and oxygen demand while failing to quantify the numerous other compounds, metals and elements in the hydrolysate.
- No documentation or analysis to support the claims that the level of $V_{x}$ residual in the hydrolysate will be at or below 20 parts per billion. We are concerned about the possible effects of a discharge containing residual amounts of $V x$ on the area of the Delaware River known as Cherry lsland Flats; the "Flats" is considered to be an important striped bass spawning area.
- The Army and DuPont failed to assess the possible impact of the proposal on the public water supply intake owned and operated by United Water Delaware.
- The information provided by the Army and DuPont failed to assess the effects of discharging 1,316 pounds of phosphorus per day into the Delaware River and Bay during seasonal low flow conditions. This loading represents a $42 \%$ increase in the concentration of Total Phosphorus during critical summer conditions and we believe it will result in algal blooms and disruption of the normal oxygen balance downstream of the discharge.
- The information provided by the Army and DuPont failed to assess the effects of the brine discharge on the ion balance in the Delaware River.
- The proposal is inconsistent with the DuPont's Delaware River Basin Commission's Docket No. $88-85$ which does not provide for the acceptance of materials. for treatment and disposal from the Department of Army weapons destruction operations.
- The proposal should demonstrate the ability to comply with the NJDEP National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit that will be in effect when the operations are proposed rather than with the existing expired, but administratively extended permit.
- The DRBC has determined that the acute toxicity tests conducted by DuPont's consultants to evaluate the toxicity of the final effluent during hydrolysate treatment were not performed in accordance with U.S. EPA guidelines, NELAC 1999 standards, and NJDEP testing requirements for whole effluent toxicity testing. DRBC's analysis suggests that the final effluent will not meet the current NJDEP permit limit on an LC50 greater than $50 \%$ effluent by volume.
- No documentation in the treatability study that the proposed project will break the carbon-phosphorus bonds in the EMPA and MPA leading us to question if the project, as : proposed, is in compliance with the requirements of the Chemical Weapons Convention.
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May 19, 2004

RECEIVED
MAY 202064
LEgAL.

Mr. Bernard J. Reilly, Corporate Counsel
Legal Environmental Group, D-7082A
1007 Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19808
RE: Chambers Works S.E.T. Outfall Structure
Dear Mr. Reilly:
This letter is in response to your, April 19, 2004 request regarding Delaware's Subaqueous Lands Act (SL.A) requirements for the Chambers Works Secure Environmental Treatment outfall structure.

Your assessment that the construction of the outfall in 1958 below the mean low water (MLW) line of the Delaware River precluded the enactment of the 1969 SLA is correct. However, the extensive documentation which you provided to me on April $20^{\text {th }}$ leads my staff and me to believe that the 1977 repairs to the outfall structure that included the removal of the original outfall pipe and the installation of new one required a lease from the State of Delaware for that portion of the outfall located below MLW. I am enclosing an application for a lease which should be submitted to the Wetlands and Subaqueous Lands Section of the Division of Water Resources.

If you have any further questions regarding this matter, please feel free to call me or William Moyer, manager of the Wetlands and Subaqueous Lands Section at 739-4691.

Sincerely,


Kevin C. Donnelly
Director of Water Resources
Finclosures.
cc: William Mayer wo Enclosures
REDACTED
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gTATE OP DELAWARE DEPARTMEMT OF NATURAL RESOUACEG \&

ENVIRONMENTAL, CONTROL

Q9 KINES HIGभwAY
DOVER, DELAWARE 19901
March 1, 2006
Mr. John D. Strait
E.I DuPont de Nemours and Company

Route 130 and Canal Road
Deepwater, NJ 08023
Mr. Albert Boettler
E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company

4417 Lancaster Pike, BMP-19 Room 1166
Wilmington, DE 19805
MAR - 72006
Dear Sirs:

Enclosed is the Subaqueous Lands Permit granted by the State of Delaware. Please read carefully all Special and General Permit Conditions. The Pemit holder is responsible to ensurethat all conditions, responsibilities and requirements are strictly adhered to.

A copy of this approval and letter will be forwarded to the Army Corps of Engineers. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact this office.

Sincerely,


Laura M. Herr
Section Manager
Wellands \& Subaqueous
Lands Section


State of Delaware
DEPARTMENT' OF NATUFAL RHSOURCES \&
ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL
DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

WETLANDS a SUGAQUEOLS LANIDS SECTION

TELEPHONE (302) 799993 FACSIMILS (502) 739.5304

Subaqueous Lands Permit: SP-458/05
Date of Issuance: $3 / 7 / 36$
Expiration Date: 31 1/5?
Amended Date:
Tax Parcel No.: Block 185 Lot 1
SUBAQUEOUS LANDS PERMIT
GRANTED TO EI DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY, INC, TO DREDGE 1,800 CUBIC YARDS OF MATERIAI, DREDGING TO A MAXIMUM DEPITH OP 3 FEET BELOW MEAN LOW WATER, MMPACTING 4, 356 SQUARE FEET OP SUBAQUEOUS LANDS BEYOND THE MEAN LOW WATERHINE WITHIN THE STATE OF DELAWARE, IN THE DELAWARE RIVER ADJACENT TO DUPONT CHAMBERS WORKS, BLOCK 185, LOT 1 SHELL ROAD, CARNEY'S POINT, SALEM COUNTY, NEW JERSEY

## Mr. John D. Strait

EI DuPont de Nemnours and Company
Route 130 and Canal Road
Decpwater, NJ 08023

Mr. Albert Boettler
E.I DuPont de Nemours and Company 4417 Lancaster Pike, BMP-19 Room 1166
Wilmington, DE 19805

Pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del. C. 7203 and the Department's Regulations Governing the Use of Subaqueous Lands permission is hereby granted on this $1^{\text {th }}$ day of Divich A.D. 2006 to perform lhe above referenced project in accordance with the approved plans for this Permit ( 9 Sheets), as approved on February 24, 2006; and the application dated December 2, 2005 and received by this Division on December 15, 2005, with additional information received on December 27, 2005.

Whereas, pursuant to the provisions of $7 \mathrm{Del} \mathrm{C}_{2} 7203$, the Secretary of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control through his duly authorized representative finds that it is not contrary to the public interest if this project is approved subject to the terms and conditions herein get forth.

This Permit is issued subject to the following conditions:

ELL Duport de Nemours and Co , face.
SP-458/05
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## SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. The conditions contained herein shall be incorporated into any and all construction contracts and other ancillary documents associated with earth distorbance and any other activities directly or indirectly associated with construction which may impact subaqueous lands associated with this project. The permittee and contractor are responsible to ensure that the workers executing the activities authorized by this Permit have fill knowledge of, and abide by, the terms and conditions of this Permit.
2. Dredging shall be conducted so as not to viohate the State of Delaware Department of Natural Resonces and Envirommental Control Surface Water Quality Standards, as amended July 11, 2004.
3. The dredging shall be seheduled so its to minimize the Impact to anadromons fish species Dredging shall not be undertakten between the period of March. 1 to June 30, 2006. In-water activities shall be condincted to minimiza lmpacts duriog the fall migratory period between September 1 to November 30, 2006.
4. The dredged materials shall be contained, transported and disposed of as described in the permit application. The agglomerated material will be removed and disposed of at an approved off-site Transfer, Storage and Disposal Facility; and the remaining coutaninated material/soils will be disposod of at the designated A-Basin Vault on the adjacent upland facility owned by the permittee.
5. There shall be no excayation channelward of the pennitted alignment either before, during or after the installation of the 207 square feet of rip-rap beyond the mean high waterline.
6. In accorclance with the attached fee sheet, an additional payment of $\$ 2,700$, payable to the State of Delaware for dredging poblic subaqueous Jands, shall be submitted to the Wetlands and Sabaqueous Lands Section prior to the commencement of dredging
7. All iredging and filling is to be conducted in a mamer consistent with sound conservation and water pollution control practices. Spoits and fill areas are to be contained in a mamer that prevents the entranco of the dredged material into any sunface water or wetland.
8. This Permit does not authorize the movement of equipment or placement of structures in tidal wetlands.
9. There shall be no movement of equipment within State subaqueous lands not specifically authorized by this Permit.
10. Disturtance of wetlands and/or aquatic vegetation adjacent to the pernitted construction by burning, cutting, herbicide treatment, mechanical impacts or other methods is prohibited.
11. No dredged materials shall be deposited on wetlands or waters.

## E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Co., Inc:
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12. All construction debris, excavated materiab, bnush, rocks and refuse incidental to such wonk shall be placed above the influence of surface waters, so that such material does not enter into surface wraters or subequeous lands.
13. The permittee shall employ measures during dredging and construction activities to prevent spill of fuels or lubricants. If spill should occur, efforts shall be taken to prevent its ontry into wetlands and acquatic areas. Any spills entering wetlands and aquatic areas shall be removed immediately. This office shall be notified of any spoill(s) within six hows of their occurcence. This office will determine the effectiveness of spill and contamination removal and specify remediation efforts as necessary.
14. Frosion and seciment control measures shall be implemented in accordance with the specifications and criteria in the current Delaware Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook, so as to minimize entry and dispersal of sediment and other contaminsuts in surface waters.
15. The authorized activity in subaqueous lands shall be for the explicit purpose of cruvironmental remediation of contaminated materials/soils as indicated in the permit application.
16. This Permit shall be valid for a one ( 1 ) year period. One dredging event is authorized during that period.
17. A copry of this Pennit must be available on site during all phases of dredging activity.
18. The permittee must obtain appropriate permits from the Anny Corps of Engineers prior to commencement of dredging at the locations authorized by this Permit.

## GENERAL CONDYIIONS

1. The project is to be undertaken in accordance with the plans submitted and attached hereto. Any activities not specifically authorized herein may require a supplemental approval from this office prior to the initiation of dredging and construction. A determination on the need for a supplemental approval will be mado by this office prusuant to the permittee submitting written notification and revised plans indicating project changes to this office.
2. Representatives of the Department of Natural Resources amd Environmental Control may inspeet such work during any phase of the dredging and construction and may collect any samples or concuct any tests that ate deemed necessary.
3. This Permil does not cover the structural stability of the project units.
4. Any actions, operations or installations which are considered by the Department to be comitrary to the best interests of the public shall constitute reason for the discontinuance and/or removal of soid action, operation or installation.
E.L DuPont de Nemours and Co., mme.
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5. The issuance of this Permit does not imply approval of any other past, phase, or portion of any overall project the permitter may be contemplating.
6. This Permit is subject to the terms and conditions contained in any easement, license or lease that may have been granted by the State or any political subdivision, board, commission or agency of the State in the vicinity of the project.
7. This Permit and authorization are granted for the purposes as stated herein. Any other use without prior approval may constitute reason for this Permit being revoked.
8. The permitter shall notify the Departenenf of Natural Resources and Environmental Control within ten (10) days of the date work will be commenced.
9. This Permit shall expire if the project has not been completed within one (1) year from the date of issuance.
10. If the permitter considers one (1) year insufficient for completion of the project, the permitted may submit a project time schedule for consideration by the Department. If the time schedule is approved it shall be attached hereto and made a part hereof.
11. The permitee shall at all times comply with all applicable laws and regulations of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control.
12. The issuance of this Permit does not constinte approval for any of the activities as may be required by any other local, state or federal governmental agency.
13. This Permit is personal and may not be transferred without the prior written consent of the Department. Prior to the transfer of the adjacent upland property, the permitter shall obtain the written consent of the Department to transfer this Permit to the new upland property owner. Failure to obtain such written consent may result in the revocation of this Permit and the removal of all structures authorized by this Permit at the expense of the permitter.
14. This Permit may be revoked upon violation of any of the above conditions.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, Laura M. Herr, the duly authorized representative of John A. Hughes, Secretary, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, have hereunto set my hand this $\qquad$ day of march 2006.


By Laura M. Herr, the duly authorized
Representative of the Secretary of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control


DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL 09 kinab mighway DOVER, DELAWARE 19908

## Pronar (302) 739.9000

 FAZE (302) 700-6242
## Mr. Alàn Mutler

Executive Director, Green Delaware
P. O. Box 69

Port Pema, DE 19731
Dear Mr. Muller.
RE: DRBC Docket No. D-88-85-2, E. I du Pont de Nernours and Co.
DuPont Chambers Works' Indostrial Waste Water Treament Plant
Thank you for your contimuing interest in improving the onviromment in Delaware as woll as in the actions taken by the Delaware River Basin Commission (DRBC). The following responds to your extensive comments and questions which are indicated by italics and are printed as received by.us, including minor typographical errors:
"We have been loolding at the deciston of the Delaware Rther Basin Commsission on March 1, 2006, in the above matser. (All the following guotes are from the DRBC dooument.) it is frustrating that we were not made aware of it in time to comment to the Commission."

## ANSWER:

- The DRBC has consistently noticed its Commission meetings in the Delaware Register of Regulations, New Jersey Register, Now York Register, Pennsyivania Code and Bulletin, and Federal Register for more than a decade. Please refer to the Delaware Register of Regulations http://www,delregs.statede.ros/htm/register/html. With respect to the specific docket that interests you, the meeting was noticed in the Dolaware Registar of Regulations 2 (8) 1117 (02-01-06) and Delaware Register of Regulations 2 (8) 1282 (02-01-06): You can review DRBC dockets at the web site htte://wwwistatenius/drbe under "What's New " in advance of each Commission meeting.
"I appears that DuPont seeks to extend it's ouffall (discharge pipe) fromt approximately 50 feet offshore to 1800 feet offshore and install a diffiser. This outfall is used to dimp about 15 million gallons per day of industrial efluent into the already-impaired Delaware River. The actual permitted flow limit is much hilgher yet."'
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- Actual flows have been decreasing from DraPont Chambers Works (DPCW) steadily over the years.
- The Chainbers Works Industrial Waste Water Treatment Plant (XWTP) is designed for a 47.5 mgd discharge and is currently discharging between 9 and 11 mg . NJPDES Permit No. N30005100 and DRBCDocket No. D-88-85-2 are based on an average flow of 17 mgd rather than the design flow of 47.5 mg . Generally, the allowable effluent limits are based on 17 mgd . DuPont also has approximately 25 mgd of non-contact cooling water that merges with the effluent from the Chambers Works IWIP. The combined flows are discharged through the current outall, DSNOO1, which may be extended at 60 me point and modifled by the addition of a diffiser. DNREC has not received an application from Dupont to date to extend the outfall pipe or add a diffaser.
- Zone 5 of the Delaware River is currently listed as Impaired for PCBs. DuRont continues to be an active participant on both the DRBC Toxics Advisory Committee, which advised the Commission on the development of the Decemiber 15, 2003 TMDL for PCBs in the Estuary ("TMDL"), and the Implementation Adyisory Committee, whioh is doveloping recommendations for implementing the TMDL. Chambers Works is designated a Category IPCB discharger by the IMDL. As a cansequence, in the latest NJPDES permit, it is required to develop and implement a Pollutant Minimization Pian (PMP) for PCBs in accordance with Seotion $4,30.9$ of DRBC's Water Quality Regulations.
- The Delaware Estuary (Zones 2-5) is currentiy being studied to determine whether it is impaired for chronic toxicity. The Chambers Works facility, along with 45 other diachargers ( 30 in $\mathrm{NJ}_{r} 12$ in PA , and 3 in DE), is participating in an effluent monitoring study for chronic toxioity. Depending on the outcome of the study, recommendations to the Commission snay be made for chronic toxicity wasteload allocations por discharges to the Estuary.
"Since all this construction would be (and all the discharge is and would continue to be) into Delaware waters is appears to us that a subaqueous lands permit should be required under Delawarelaw"


## ANSWER:

- There bas been no construction to date on an extenston to the outfall pipe or the diffuser. We agree that DuPont will need to apply for and obtain all relevant and appropriate permits and approvals from DNREC should it decide to modify it outfall pipe. The Docket explicitly does not exempt DuPont from obtaining all State and local approvals, including those required by Delaware. Condition C.II.o in the Decision seation of the Docket reads: ${ }^{\text {"N }}$ Nothing herein shall be construed to wxempt the docket holder from obraining all necessary permits and/or approvals from other State, Federal or local government agencies having jurisdiction over this project."
- Moreover Condition CII.i of the docket provides: "Prior to the fintiation of construction of the ontfall extension and diffaser, the final plans for construction, confirming that such plans are consistent with the approved criteria, will be submitted to DRBC for review by the Executive Director. The Executive Director will review the plans promptly and furnish
an approval or list of concerns to DuPont in writing," For your information, a preliminary public hearing is not required in the case of an action by the Executive Director; however actions of the Executive Director are subject to appeal in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.
"Since the new outjall would be 36 - times as long as the original, it would not seem to.be reasonably "grandfarthered," regardless of the date of original construction. Please inform us of the Department's view of this matter."


## ANSWFR

- The DRBC xeguilations contain ne "grandfathering" provisions applicable to the DuPout discharge, and the docket reflects no allowance for grandfathering. The docket does not exempt DuPont from obtaining all State and local approvals, including those required by DNREC.

Is is stated that "The added depth and diffuser ports will increase the rate of diffistion and mixing of the effluent with the waters of the Delaware Rdver." Doubtless so, but there is no statement ass to why this is constdered necessary at this time. What is the view of the Department of the matter?"

## ANSWER:

- As indicated in the docket, an extended outfall and new difftuser are expected to increase the rate of diffusion and mixing of the effluent with the waters of the Delawaxe River. This will enable DuPont to achieve the water quality based effluent limitations (WQBELS) for copper, cyanide and zinc at DSNOOA A that are required to be met in accordance with the 59 -month schedule of coropliance contained in the NJPOES permit and incorporated into the DRBC docket by reference. The NIDEP would consider removing oi modifying the effluent limitations for copper, cyanide and zine if DuPont submitted any or all of the following information for reviev and consideration:
i. Addifional effluent data using an approved quantification level equal to or better than the Department's Recoramended Quantification Level (RQL)
ii. Acceptable site specific ambient data (e.g. hardness) collected in accordance with a ${ }_{4}$; NJDEP approved work plan.
iii. Acceptable site specific criteria or translators developed in accordance with a NJDEP approved work plan.
iv. Updated $1 \mathrm{Q} 10,7 \mathrm{Q} 10,75^{\text {dh }}$. pexcentile, and/or other appropriate stream flow values where applicable.
v. Updated regulatory mixing zone dilution factors where appllicable.
"Further, the Docket mentions a mechanism for the establishment of alternate efluent llmitations for certain parameters caloulated us a vessull of the operation of these new facilities." This appears to be a reference to authorization of additional discharges by the State of New Jersey contingent upon improvements in dilution."

ANSWER:

- Condition C.Ink of the docket reads: "The Executive Direction is authorized to approve revised eflluent limitations that reflect the installation of the extension and diffuser
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consistent with the Commission's Water Quality Regulations." This authority is intended to allow for effiuent limit adjustments that may be made to copper, cyanide and zino limits by NJDEP, consistent with the tems of the NJPDES permit. The docket limits the Executive Director's authority to adjustments to the effluent limitations that would be consistent with the Commission's Water Quallity Regulations (WQR). Thus, it explicitly precludes the Executive Dtrector fom making any adjustment that would result in a violation of the WQR.
"We are not fons of the "dilution is the solution to [water] pollution" theory."

## ANSWER:

- We agree; however, DRBC's' Water Quality Regulations (WQR) contain mixing zone provisions that allow exceedances of stream quality objectives established for the protection of aquatic life from acute effects in small aress near outfall struotures, provided that certain criteria are met. The resulting mixing zone is generally referred to as an Acute Mixing Zone (AMZ). The existing outfall has four discharge pipe outlets, and the current effluent dilution factoi is a minimum of 2.61 to 1 . The proposed outfall diffuser is projected to produce an effluent dilution factor of greater than 17 to 1 , In general, this will result in a smaller AMZ, which will benefit the Delaware Rlver. DNR'AC and NHDEP have similar requirements and defer to DRBC to define the AMZ in shared waters.
"Considering the magnitude of present discharges from Chamber Works (approximating one million pounds per year of 'TRI' taxins and many millions of pounds per year of other harmful pollutants) and the level of public concern about these discharges, we doubt that the Department should take any actions factitating additional discharges."


## ANSWER:

- Effluent limitations in the previous docket were based on an average discharge from the IWTP facility of 34 mgd, whereas the curtent docket effluent limitations are based on am average discharge of 17 mgd . The total discharge from the plant measured in pounds will continue to vary from day to day and year to year. However, the discharge is at all times required to comply with the effluent linitations contained in the permit and the docket. The overall effects of the new permit and docket are to reduce the discharge of pollutants.
- Docket No. D-1985-85-2 also contains requirements for TSS and BODS atDSN662A, an internal outfall at the conclusion of the Chambers Works treatment process but which is situated prior to the addition of millions of gallons of non-contact cooling water that dilute the plant's effluent before it is discharged to the river. The previous docket did not contain requirements for the listod parameters at the internal effluent monitoring location (DSN662A). In these respects, the docket again represents an updated and inpproved regulatory vehicle for controlling discharges from the Chambers Works facility,
"Most of these pollutants are known to be harmful, and DuPont Chambers Works is known to be one of the largest point sources of water pollutants in the US if not the world. Please advise us as to the Department's view on the above, particularly as to what additional discharges might
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resulf from the implementation of "alternate effluent limitations. We are concerned that DuPont apparently plans to begin treating approximately 2.75 million gallons per day of sanitary sewage from Penns Grove and Carney's Polnt. This plant was not designed to treat sanizary (domestlc) sewage, and we are concemed about the possible interactions of the constituents of this sewage with the industrial (chemical) effluents now being treated, as well as possible industrial discharges into the Peins Grove and Carneys Point collection systems, Has the Department evaluated this situation? If so, what conclustions have been reached?"

## ANSWER:

- Upon the construction of a new pump station by Penns Grove, wastewater will be conveyed to the Carneys Point wastewater treatment plant, where it will bs combined with the wastewater from the Cameys Point collection system. The combined wastewater streams will receive primery trearment at the Cameys Point wastewater plant, and will then be conveyed via a pumping station and force main to the Chambers Works IWIP for secondary and tertiary (or firthers) treatronent and disaharge.
- The Chambers. Works facility is designed to remove BOD, TSS, etc. at a higher percent removal than tha mumicipal pleats and should therefore resule in less loading to the river. The Chambers Works facility will not receive any additional loading allocation if it accepts the flows from these plarts. That is, the wasteload allocations currently assigned to the numicipal plants will not be transferred to Cbambers Woiks. Rather, efflvent from the plants will consume a portion of the Chambers Works IWIP's approved allocation. Thus, overall permifted and docketed loadings to the river will actually be reduced.
- Chambers.Works operates as a Centralized Waste Treatment (CWT) facility, as defined and certified by EPA. Chambers Works eccepts wastes in three CWT suboategories: metals, oils and organics. Included in the organics subcategory is non-hazardoos organic wastewater. This type of wastewater is introduced into the head of the Chamibers Work's mimary treatment system. Chambers Works has effectively treated varying quantties of on-site (e.g., employee-generated) sanitary sewage for several decades.
- Penns Grove and Carneys Point will still be required to maintain their Sigalficant Industrial User Pretreatment programs. If the Pemns Grove and Cameys Point municipal treatment wastes are Sent to DuPont's Chambers' Works for treatment and discharge, the wastes will receive primary treatment at the Carneys Point plant and then will be introduced into the Chambers Works treatment system after the primary treatment tanks.
"We are also concerned that "DuPont currently receives approximately 100,000 gpd [gallons per day] of out-of-basin commercial waste." DuPont is effectively using the Delaware River as a sewer for waste waters from all over the continent. (Aside from DuPont's relentless campaign to dump VX nerve poison residues into the Delaware....) What is the Department's view of this situation?"


## ANSWER:

- The Commission generally discouragos the importation of out-of-Basin wasteloads. Accordingly, it assigns no allocation for out-of-Basin wasteloads where it has established assimilative capacity limitations. The docket holder is required to indicate compliance
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with its DRBC wasteload allocation for BODS by demonstrating that 100 percent of the pollutant load attributable to out-0f-Sasin wastes has been removed (see Condition II.d. in the Decision section).

- In accordance with DRBC Resolution No. 91-9, codffied at Section 2.3.5A. 18 of the Cominission's Roles of Practice and Procedure, the docket holder must comply with its DRBC wasteload allocation for BODS by demonstrating that 100 percent of the BODS load attributable to out-of-Basin wastes and similar wastes from in-Basin sources (which have been removed by treatment processes regulated by discharge permits at other wastewater treatruent plants prior to transfer to the Dopont Chambers Works facility for treatment and dischargo) have been removed by applying the following formula: Calculate the total BOD5 removal actoss the IWTP and the woighted average BODS removal requirement based on the waste source ( $87.5 \%$ for ir-Basin and $100 \%$ for out-ofBasin). The docket holder shall then confirm that 100 percent removal of the BOD5 from out-of-Basin waste is achieved, reflecting the total BODS loading directly attributable to out-of-Basin sources and the specified percent removal requirements. The docket holder shall maintain records demonstrating compliance with its DRBC-issued BODS wasteload allocation and the percent removal for the project disoharge and shall produce such records upon the request of the Executive Director.
"We are concerned that the Department apparently did not make any attempt to advise the people of Delaware that this action was in progress. While we understand that this was a DRBC action, not a DNREC action, yet we receive fram DNREC announcements of many events of lesser importance such as fishing contests and political events supporting the Governor. Given the Department's expenditures for 'information and cducation' we think it could and should be doing,better in keeping the public informed about the full range of developments impacting Delaware's environment."

ANSWER:

- We try very hard to inform people bat it is impossible for DNREC to publish every single action in the Delaware River Basin that may be of interest to Delaware citizans. We have been advised that NJDEP received no xequests for a public hearing when it advertlsed the curreat NJPDES permit for the Chambers Works facility. The publio is able to review the schedule of DRBC meetings and dookets at the Commisslon's web site hitp://www.statenji,us/drbe under "What's New?" in advance of each Commission meeting. All meetings of the Commission are posted in the Delaware Register of Regulationis hitp://www,delregs, state,de,us/hton/kegister,html.
- Be advised that DRBC has added your organization to the "Interested Parties List" for the Dupont Chambers Work IWTP docket. In the futurt, you will receive notices associated with any Commission actions related to Docket No. D-1985-085-2 directly from the DRBC.
"Finally, we are concemed by reporis that Delaware's representative to the DRBC failed to vote, against or at all. on the approval of this docket."


## ANSWERE

Letter to Mr. Muller
i) March 29, 2006

Page 7

- DNREC's representative Kevin Donnell voted to abstain on the docket in response to concerns raised at the docket hearing by John Flaherty, Maryann McGonegal; and the Delaware River Keeper Network's representative Mary Ellen Noble. Mr. Donnelly's comments are a part of the hearing record for this Docket. DNREC's Wetlands and Subaqueous Lands Section has not received an application for installation of the outfall extension with the new diffuser referenced in DRBC Docket No. D-1985-085-2, It is . the Department's position that DuPont must apply for and obtain a Wetlands and Subaqueous permit before the installation of a new outfall and/or diffuse would be allowed to proceed. Also, please recognize that the existing outfall at the DuPont Chambers Works facility consists of a 78 -inch diameter barrel stave pipe extending 50 feet out into the Delaware River. This existing outfall was constructed prior to enactment of Delaware's Subaqueous Lands Law and is "grandfathered" in its present dimensions under. the current permit system.

For your information DRBC Docket No. D-1985-085-2, Condition C.I.v., specifically . states, "This docket does not authorize the acceptance of VX Hydrolysate for treatment and discharge at the DuPont Chambers Works Facility."

If there are any specific questions regarding the NJPDES permit, you may want to contact Susan Rosenwinkle at 609-292-4860 at the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection.

pc: Aldona Shankie NCC Civic League
c: /Kevin C. Densely, DNREC
Harry Otto, DNREC
Carol Collier, DRBC
Pamela Bush, DRBC
William Muszynski, DRBC








State of Delaware<br>Dephatmpay of Justice<br>Wilmington, Delaware
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ATrowery pemmal
January 20, 1972

Mr. David R. Keifer, Director
Planning Office
Executive Department
State of Delaware
Dover, Delaware 19901

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Re: } \text { Coastal Zone Act - Bulk } \\
& \text { Transfer Facility } \\
& \text { (E1 Paso Easeern Company) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Dear Dave:
I have reviewed the material submitted to you with regard to the liquid natural gas (LNG) terminal which El Paso Eastern Company proposes to built in New Jersey with docking facilities extending into the Delaware River. I agree with your determination that this facility is an offshore buik procuct transfer facility as that term is defined by the Coastal zone Act. However, there may be some question as to whether or not the terminal is excepted from 7 Del. C. $\$ 7002$ ( $f$ ) by virtue of the fact that it is "a docking facility or pier for a single industrilal or manufacturing facility for which a permit is granted".

It is my opinion that the El Paso Eastern terminal does not fir within the "single industrial or manufacturing facility" exception. The Delaware courts have uniformly held that the meaning of a statute depends on che intent of the legislature and that such intent must be ascertained from an interpretation of the act as a whole. The facts contained in the lefter from the E1 Paso Eastern Company indicate that the LNG terminal in question is merely a way station in the natural gas cransportation system which El Paso Eastern is endeavoring to develop. It is quite clear that the legislative intent was to permit docking facilities where such facilities would benefit such industries as would be granted permits to operate in the Coastal Zone. Here the situation is reversed. The terminal will only exist as an adjunct to the docking facility. In other words, the important part of the project to: El Paso Eastern is not the "industrial factitty" but the dacking facllity, Further, I assume that the faciat ty propoosed by py paso Eastern is not the type of "single industrial or minuffote ind facility" fox which your office would graine a permituinder, fond 37004. The statute specifically mandates that suoh sppirovoly
necesoary,

Mr. David R , zelfer
Page 2
January 25,1472

Wth syecific referense to Hituations similax to the one here in issue, it is my recommendation that your office more clearly define strgie industriel of panufactining faclulcy". The defintion bhoutd explicite the legis lative intent to dilow un exception for docking or plex Facilitites only where the faclicies ase to be used in conjunction with lidustrites of the type permitted under 7 Del. C. 7003 . The defintion I entisics Will pemit youz office to evaluate appllcations for construction onthe New Jersey shone as if they Mere applicatious for construction on the Delaware shore. Sach a standaid would negate clainis that applications which requite the appooval of pioze thin one goverumental agency are acted upon by Delavare in an arbeyrery or capticlous niemer. However, it must be clear that Delaware Is not attempting to regilate development beyond the state boundary. Therefore, any reference to potential developnent in New Jersey should be avoided.

If you should wish to discuss this matter fuxther, plesase do not heritate to contact me. Also at this time is would like to stress that this is an informal advisory opinion. Please advise me if a formal opinion becomes necessary.

W. Laird Stabler, 3r. Attorney General
WLSJr:is
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STATE COASTAL ZONE INDUSTRIAL CONTROL BOARD AND OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT BUDGET AND PLANNING FORMERLY DELAWARE STATE PLANNING OFFICE

NOVEMBER, 1977

## 

In a letter to the State Planner, December 21, 1971, the vicepresident of the El Paso Eastern Company described a project for a liquified natural gas (LNG) terminal in New Jersey opposite Claymont involving a pier extending into Delaware waters bevond mean low waier on the New Jersey side of the Delaware River. The project involved importation of North African liquified natural gas by tanker, storage and regassification at this terminal, and shipment by pipeline to customers in the Northeast. The letter suggested that the State Planner examine the project in the context of the Coastal Zone Act.

Prior to his status decision, the State Planner sought the Attorney General's legal advice on this project. On January 20, 1972, the Attorney General adyised that the pier would be a (prohibited) off-shore bulk product triansfer facility and that it was not exempt from prohibition by reason of the clause in Section 7002(f) of the Law providing for piers or docking facilities to be used solely by a single industrial or manufacturing user. (See Appendix 3.)

On February 23, 1972, the State Planner informed the vice-president of El Paso Eastern Compnay that the pier for the LNG terminal would be a prohibited off-shore bulk proouct transfer facility. On March 3, 1972, the Company vice-president replied that El Paso had abandoned the project a few days prior to the State Planner's decision and requested a withdrawal of the status decision saying that he had merely sought information advice on the status of the project. The State Plannar rafused to withdraw his status decision on March 17, 1972. No appeal was filed, and since the project had apparently previosuly been dropped by the Company, no appeal could logically have been expected.

## Project Number 6 - Sun Olin Chemical Company

This project consisted of construction of a Stretford Sulfur Recovery Unit at the Sun 01 in Chemical Plant in Claymont. This unit would remove hydrogen sulfied from a by-product stream and convert it to elemental sulfur thus removing sulfur dioxide as an emission to the atmosphere. Sun Olin was under orders by the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control to remove suifur dioxide emissions.in order to meet State air quality requirements by January 1973.

The status decision request was received on January 26,1972 , and the decision was made on March 9, 1972; the decision was that as expansion or extension of a non-conforming use, this project did not require a coastal zone penmit because it had no significant effect on land use area; plant production, or (negative) environmental impact. There was no appeal.

1. Deny a request by the Defense Fuel Supply Center to withdraw its status decision applicatient and
2. Approve a request to withdraw the appeal application.

There was no further appeal or other action on this project. The proposed facility off Pickering Beach was not built.

## Project Number 69 - Feralloy Corporation

On April 3 , 1975, Feralloy Corporation asked the State Planner if a coastal zone permit would be required for a 40,000 square foot addition to its sheet steel cutting plant in the New Castle Industrial Park near Pigeon Point. Prior to this a coastal zone permit had been granted to construct the original 80,000 square foot plant. The State Planner informed the company on April 4, 1975, that a coastal zone penmit would be required for this major plant expansion. On April 14 the permit application was filed.

After the required public hearing the State Planner granted the coastal zone permit on May 12, 1975.

No appeal was filed.

Project Number 70 - Townsend's Inc:
Townsend's Inc. requested a status decision on a proposed structure alteration from $80^{\prime} \times 62^{\prime}$ to $96^{\prime} \times 62^{\prime}$ at its soybean processing plant near Millsboro. The State Planner's decision of April 23, 1975, stipulated that the project was not a significant expansion; that it was not prohibited; and that it needed no coastal zone permit.

No appeal was received on this decision.

## Project Number 7] - Captain Gray Smith

Application for a status decision was made on May 8, 1975 by Captain Gray 5mith for a project to manufacture a fish product at an existing facility on the banks of the Lewes-Rehoboth Canal in Rehoboth Beach west of Route 14. The buildings had formerly been occupied by an oyster shucking operation.

Specifically, the applicant proposed to bring fresh fish by truck to the plant and to wash and box some of the fish and ship it out and to wash, filet, and ship out the remainder of the fish. Fish byproducts would be resold for pet food and fertilizer.

The State Planner on May 9 decided that this would be a new manufacturing use requiring a coastal zone permit because some of the fish would be processed In a way that met the definftion of "manufacturing" in the law.

Captain Gray Smith never applied for a coastal zone permit and did not appeal this decision.

## Project Number 72 - Standard Chlorine of Delaware, Inc.

A status decision application was filed on September 10, 1975, by Standard Chlorine of Delaware, Inc. for a project involving modification of its distillation system for prodection of benzenes at its De?aware City plant by inserting a continous crystallization train system within the production process. Also involved was the construction of six storage tanks, a benzene tank, and a cooling tower. The project would improve production of certain benzene products and decrease the quantity of fuel oil used. The State planner decided that a permit would be required and on September 30, 1975, a pernit application was filed.

After a public hearing, the State Planner granted the coastal zone permit on October 29, 1975.

There was no appeal.

## Project Number 73 - Amoco Chemicals Corporation

On October 22, 1975, Amoco Chemicals Corporation requested a status decision on a proposed project :c recover vent gas then flared to the atmosphere and to separate the gas into hexane for use in the manufacturing process and utilize the remainder as a boiler fuel at its plant south of New Castle. Atmospheric emissions would be reduced due to burning sulfurfree flare gas in lieu of fuel oil and less potential for unburned hydrocarbon emissions.

After checking with the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, the State Planner decided on October 27 that the project was not regulated by the Coastal Zone Act, that it was not an expansion or extension of a nonconforming use due to its not being significant in terms of negative environmental impacts, land use impact, or effect on production capacity.

No appeal was filed.

Project Number 74 - Energy Transporters, Inc.
Energy Transporters, Inc. on January 12, 1976 applied for a status decision on a proposal to install a ten inch petroleum pipeline from the Wilmington Marine Terminal petroleum dock to the nearby oil tank farm of Energy Transporters. The project was a modification of Project Number 23 previously given a status decision that was appealed and then decided by mutual agreement between the parties involved.

The State Planner's status decision was that the new pipeline was not regulated by the Coastal Zone Act. The pipeline was neither a heavy industry use or manufacturing use and the docking facilities at the Marine Teminal were exempt from regulation by Section 7002(f) of the Act.

No appeal was made.

New facilities for the manufacture of medical nitrous oxide were proposed by Air Products and Chemicals Company at its Delaware City plant in a status decision application of February 10, 1976.

The status decision of February 12, 1976, required application for a coastal zone permit for new manufacturing. On April 14, 1976; the permit application was made.

The Division of Environmental Cotnrol advised that environmental impacts would not be significant.

A coastal zone pernit was granted on May 7, 1976.
There was no appeal.
On August 2, 1977, this new nitrous oxide facility was destroyed by an explosion.

Project Number 16 - Díamond Shamrock Chemical Company
A status decision application was filed on June 28, 19.76, by Diamond Shamrock for expansion of its PYC (polyvinyl chloride) paste production facilities near Delaware Cfty.

Environmental impacts involved vinyl chloride monomer emissions to the air.a smail amount of additional PVC solid waste, and increased wastewater flow. New pollution control equipment would reduce emissions from previous levels, the solid wastes would go to a licensed solld waste landfill, and the wastewater increase was minor according to the advice of the Division of Environmental Control.

The State Planner's status dec:1sion of July 15, 1976, was that this was not new manufacturing, and that it was not a regulated expansion or extension of a nonconforming use due to the instgnificant land use, environmental, and production capacity effects. No coastal zone permit was required and the project was not prohibited.

No appeal was filed.

## Project Number 77 -. Delaware Terminal Company

On September 17, 1976, Delaware Terminal Company filed an application for a coastal zone status decision on a project involving a new railroad loading rack and petroleum storage tank and pipeline betiveen the two at a petroleum tank farm adjacent to the Wilmington Marine Terminal. Port facilities of the Marine Teminal were not involved.

The status decision of September 22 was that this project was not regulated by the Coastal Zone Act.

There was no appeal.

## Project Number 78 - Hoover Ball and Bearing Company

Hoover Ball and Bearing Company applied for a status decion on October 28, 1976, to move into the former.American Can Company plant in southwest New Castle, install new plastic melting and bottle forming machinery, ana produce plastic botiles.

Between 1966 and March 1976 American Can had produced plastic bottles there. From March 1976 to October 1976 the plant had been idle. Hoover planned to buy the 46 acre site from American Can.

The State Planner's'status decision of October 29, 1976, was that a coastal zone permit for a new manufacturing use would be required. The fact that American Can manufactured plastic bottles at the site prior to and on the date of enactment of the coastal zone law did not carry forward its legal nonconforming status to the new Hoover operation.

On November 8; 1976, Hoover Ball and Bearing Company applied for a permit and on December 6, after a public hearing, was granted the permit:

No appeal was filed.

## Project Number 79 - Delval Asphalt Corporation

Delval Asphalt appiled for a status decision on December 15, 1976, for a project at its Edgemoor asphalt tile plant to improve materials. handling equipment; build a new warehouse to increase indoor storage capacity, and improve lighting and fencing for 24-hour-a-day operations. Asphalt roofing production capacity would not increase, but actual production would increase because of the change from one shift to three daily shifts. The company would comply with all area air quality regulations.

The status decision of January 6, 1977, was that this project did not require a coastal zone permit and was not. prohibited.

No one filed an appeal.

On December 10, 1976, Airco Inc. requested a status decision on a project to erect a liquid carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ plant at the Sun 01in Chemical refinery in Claymont to take $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ vented to the atmosphere by Sun 0lin, liquify it and ship it as chemical feedstock by tank truck and railroad tank car.

The 5 tatus decision of December 13, 1976, was that this would be a new manufacturing use requiring a coastal zone permit.

There was no appeal and nothing further was heard from Airco Inc.

Getty 0il Company on December 20, 1976, requested the State Planner's advisory opinion on a project at its Delaware City refinery to modify a production unit so as to produce high purity benzene. Overall refinery production capacity would not increase.

The State Planner advised on December 23 that the project WCiuid not be regulated by the Coastal Zonee Act. Getty had not made a formal application, so no formal decision was made and no legal notice was pubitshed.

Project Number 82 - Standard Chlorine of Delaware, Inc.
Modification and expansion of waste water treatment facilities to improve water pollution control at the Delaware City plant of Standard Chlorine of Delaware, Inc. was the subject of a status decision on January 31, $197 \%$.

The March 3 . status decision was that the project was not regulated by the Coastal Zone Act, plant production capacity was not involved and the project was solely for pollution control purposes to meet State and federal requirements.

No appeal was filed.

Project Number 83 - E.I. duPont deNemours and Company, Inc.
The status decision appication of February 1, 1977, was for a project at duPont's Edgemoor. titanium dioxide plant to remove carbon monoxide oxidation units from the chloride plant tail gas system; No significant adverse air quality effects would result.

The March 3 status decision was that this project was not regulated by the Coastal Zone Act.

There was no appeal.

## Project Number 84 - Diamond Shamrock Corporation

Diamond Shamrock Corporation on February 18, 1977, applied for a status decision on a project at its plant near Delaware city to increase PVC (polyviny1 chloride) production by more than loo\%. This project was a change of plans from Project Number 76 given a status decision in July 1976 by increasing the proposed PVC production expansion. The previous project was not required to obtain a permit. New controls over VCM (vinyl chloride monomer) emissions would be part of Project Number 84.

Air emissions and wastewater flow would not be significant, but PVC solid waste disposal would be environmentally significant. Two types of PVC solid wastes - grits and sludge - would result from this project.

A status decision of April 25, 1977, stated that a coastal zone permit would be required due to the significance of the amount of PVC production capacity increase and the potential for significant adverse environmental impact from PVC solid waste disposal and the lack of a company plan for disposal of the time of this deciston.

At Diamond Shamrock's request the State Planner agreed on April 28 to reconsider this status decision if: (1) a complete description of alternatives for disposal of PVC solid wastes with a schedule for implementation was provided; (2) assurance that PYC wastes would be disposed of out-of-State. If there was no feasible Delaware alternative; and (3) if additional information on new PVC Plant facilities was provided.

On May 9, 1977, Diamend Shamrock and the State Planner reached a legal agreement to the effect that:

1. All new PVC salid wastes would be disposed of at an out-ofstate landfill pending the Company's decision on alternative disposal methods;
2. Prior to choosing any alternaitve disposal methods or sites in Delaware, Diamond Shaurock would notify the State Planner of the alternative chosen and would request the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) approval:
3. The State Planner would have the right of approval of any disposal. site in the coastal zone that was not a DNREC approved landfill; and
4. Diamond Shamrock would not dispute in legal proceedings of the State Planner's right to approve any disposal site in the coastal zone per terms of this agreement.

As part of the agreement Diamond Shamrock provided additional information on equipment changes due to the change of PVC expansion plans since Project Number 76.

On May 17 a reconsidered status decision, subject to the above agreement, wass issued. No coastal $20 n e$ permit was required.

There was no appeal.
Diamond Shamrock on August 12 notified the State Planner that the PVC solid waste would be disposed of within the coastal zone at one of the two DNREC approved Tandfill sites.

## Project Number: 85 - Ludwig Honold Manufacturing Company

A status decision application was made by Ludwig Honold Manufacturing Company for transfer of metalworking operations from its Folcroft, Pennsylvania plant to its plant at Edgemoor and for renovation and repair of buildings at the Edgemoor site to be used for the transferred operations.

The principal products made by the Company are large covers for turbines and bus-like vehtcles called P7ane Mates for transporting passengers from airline terminals to parked aircraft. At Folcroft, Pennsylvania
metal cutting and fabricating operations were conducted, while assembly of metal panels and welding or-riveting was carried on at Edgemoor. The applicant proposed to move its Folcroft operations to Edgemoor so that all Ludwig Honold metalwork would be done at one site. No overall production capacity increase would result from the move.

The applicant also proposed to construct a new pier on the Delaware River for occasional barge shipping of finizhed turbilie covers äd Plane Mates and for docking of hydrofoil boats at some future time if these were produced by Ludwig Honold Manufacturing as planned. .

The status decision of June 29, 1977, was that this transfer of operations would be a new manufacturing use in Delaware's coastal zone requiring application for a coastar zone permit.

Ludwig Honold Manufacturing applied for a permit which was granted on July 27 after the required pubiic hearing. A condition of the permit was that the pier would be used exclusively by Ludwig Honoid Manufacturing for its own purposes in accordance with applicable provisions of the Coastal Zone Act. This was expressed in the property deed agreed. to by Ludwig Honold Mannufacturing. In this way. the pier could be allowed under the singe user exemption in Section $7002(f)$ of the Act avoiding the prohibition of an offshore bulk product transfer facility in Section 7003 of the Coastal Zone Act.

No-appeal was filed.

Project Number 86 - Carbonaire Company; Inc:
In a status decision application of April 29, 1977, Carbonaire Company proposed to lease a small site from Sun 01 in Chemical Company at its Claymont plant and install machinery to purify, compress and refrigerate carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ gas and to liquefy it for shipment to industrial customers. Carbon dioxide gas is vented to the atmosphere from Sun 01 in chemical plant operations. Carbonaire would capture this ga's for processing and commercial sale. The nature and site of this project was the same as the Airco proposal in Project Number 80, which apparently was never carried out.

The status decision of May 26, 1977, was that a permit would be requifred for this new manufacturing use in the coastal zone.

A permit application was filed on May 26, 1977.
No negative environmental effects were anticipated from the project by the Division of Environmental'Control; in fact, carbon dioxide emissions in the Claymont area would be considerably reduced.

On July 7 a coastal zone permit was granted to Carbonaire Company, Inc.
There was no appeal.

## Project Number 87 - Allied Chemical Corporation

The Industrial Chemicals Division of Allied Chemical Corporation applied on April 27, 1977, for a status decision on a project at its Claymont plant to produce a small amount of electrical energy for in-plant use by means of a Rankine Cycle Jow level waste heat recovery system. That is, waste heat lost from operations of the sulfuric acid plant would be captured to produce electrical energy.

No production capacity increase was involved, the land area involved was small, and negative environmental effects were not expected.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, no status decision had been made on this application.

## Project Number 88 - Allied Chemical Corporation

On May 2, 1977, Allied Chemical Corporation, Industrial Chemicals Division, applied for a coastal zone status decision to relocate an aqeous hydroflouric acid operation within its Claymont plant site for the purpose of more efficient operation. There would be no change of aqeous hydroflouric acid production capacity, no negative environmental impact - in fact, reduced emissions - and an insignificant land use impact.

The May 23 status decision was that this project was not regulated by the Coastal Zone Act, based on the definition of expansion or extension of a nonconforming use.

Project Number 89 - Townsends, Inc.
Townsends proposed to construct two large silos for soybean meal storage at its soybean plant near Millsboro. The silos would increase storage capacity and allow. the soybean processing plant to operate continuously without shutdowns during the eleven month operating season. Insufficient on-site soybean meal storage capacity had required some plant shutdowns that were costly to Townsends.

Capacity of equipment to process soybeans would not be increased due to these two new storage silos. Environmental impacts were insignificant. The silos would be bullt well within the present plant operating area.

The status decision. of June 20, 1977, was that this project was not regulated by the Coastal Zone Act; it was not an expansion or extension of a nonconforming use as defined.

There was no appeal.
, Nixp staplem, an. nitocortr oxpment

Wilmingrom, Delaware
January 20, 1972
Mx. David R. Reifer, Director

Planning Office
Executive Department
State of Delaware
Dover, Delaware 19901
Re: Coastal Zone Act - Bulk
Transfer Facility
(E1 Paso Eastern Company)

## Dear Dave:

I have reviewed the material submitted to you with regard to the Iiquid natural gas (LNG) terminal which El Paso Eastern Company proposes to built in New Jersey with docking facilities extending into the nelaware Rlver. I agree with your determination that this facility is an offshore buik product, transfer facility as that term is defined by the Coastal Zone Act. However, there may be some question as to whether or not the terminal is excepted from 7 De1. C. $\$ 7002(f)$ by vintue of the fact that it is "a docking facility or pier for a single industrial or manufacturing facility for which a permit is granted".

It is my opinion that the El. Paso Eastern terminal does. not fit within the "single industrial or manufacturing facility" exception. The Delaware courts have uniformly held thaterthe
 that such. $2 n t e n t$ must be ascertained fiom an intexpretarion of the anct as whole. The facts contained in the letter from the E1 Paso Eastern Company indicate that the LNG terminal in question is merely a way station in the natural gas transportation system which El Paso Eastern is endeavoring to develop. It is quite clear that the legislative intent was to permit docking facilities where such facilities would benefit such industries as would be granced permits to operate in the Coastal Zone. Here the situation is reversed. The temminal will only exist as an adjunct to the dockin facility. In other words; the important part of the project to EL Yaso Eastern is not the "industrial facility" but the docking facility. Further, I assume that the facility proposed by El Paso Eastern is not the type of "single industrial or manufacturing facility" for which your office would grant a permit under 7 Del. C §7004. The statute specifically mandates chat such approval is necessary.

Mr. David R. Keifer
Page 2
Januaxy 20, 1972

With specific reference to situations similer to the one here in issue, it is my recomendation that your office more clearly define "single industrial or manifacturing facility". The definition should explicate the legislative intent to ellow an exception for docking or pier Eacilities only where the facilities are to be used in conjunction with industries of the type permitted under 7. Del. C. § 3003 . The definition I envision will permit your office to evaluate applications for construction on the New Jersey shore as if they were applications for construction on the Delaware.shore. Suct a standard would negare claims that applications which require the approval of more than or governmental agency are acted upon by Delaware in an arbitrazy or capricious manner. However, it must be clear that Delaware is not attempting to Ieguiate development beyond the state boundary. Therefore, any reierence to potential development in New Jersey should be avoided.

If you should wish to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to contact me. Also at this time 1 would like to stress that this is en infomal advisory opinion. please advise me if a formal opinion becomes necessary.


W: Laird Stabler, Jr. Actorney General

WLSJr: 1s.

State of Delaware
Department of natural resources \& ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL

89 kings highway
P.O. Box 1401

OFFICE OF THE
DOVER. DELAWARE 19903
TELEPHONE: (302) $736 \cdot 4403$

George Franklin
Sr. Real Estate Representative
Sun Refining and Marketing Company
1801 Market Street
Philadelphía, PA 19103
Dear Mr. Franklin:
My decision on your Coastal Zone Status Decision application of December 15, 1989 to construct and use a pier from the New Jersey shoreline into the Delaware River is that the proposed use is a prohibited Offshore Bulk Product Transfer Facility in accordance with 7 Del, Code 7003.

The proposed pler cannot be considered as "a docking facility or pier for a single industrial or manufacturing facility for which a permit is granted or which is a nonconforming use," and, therefore; exempted from the definition of Bulk Product Transfer Facility (7002F), because the industrial or manufacturing facility (which the pier will serve) is not a facility "for which a permit is granted or which is a nonconforming use" (second phrase of the 7002(f) exemption clause). Inasmuch as the industrial or manufacturing facility is in the State of New Jersey and not Delaware, it can never meet those conditions. Therefore, your proposed pier does not fit either condition for exemption and must be considered as a prohibited bulk product transfar facility.

You have the right to appeal this decision to the state's Coastal Zone Industrial Control Board within fourteen (14) days following the date of the legal notice.

There will be a newspaper legal notice of this decision within a few days of this letter. If no appeal is received within fourteen (14) days following the date of the legal notice, this decision becomes final and you will receive a notice to that effect. Your company will be billed for the legal notice.

If you have any questions about this decision or the appeal process, please call Dennis Brown at 736-5409.

```
                    Sincerely,
```

John A. Hughes
a -r:-- Mughes


State of Delaware

## DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

\& ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL
09 kure htarway.
PO. Box 1401
DOWER. DELAWARE 1 OO
TLLEPMAE (302) 736.4403

November 19, 1990

Richard V. Giliberti, Vice President Keystone Cogeneration Systems Inc.
313 Chestnut Street
Philadelphia, PA 19106
Dear Mr. Giliborti:
Please find enclosed my decision on your coastal Zone Act statusmacis.ionn application of July 13. 1990.

My decision is that the proposed pier is not prohibited offshore bulk product transfer facility provided a Coastal Zone Act permit is granted for the Cogeneration plant (including the intake and outfall). Obtaining such a permit is neoesaary for the pier to qualify for the single. industrial use exemption found in the Delaware Coastal Zone Act's definition of bulk product transfer facility.

You have the right to appeal this decision to che stats's Coastal Zone Industrial Control Board within fourteen (14) days following the date of the legal notice.

There will be a newspaper legal notice of this decision within a few days of this letter. If no appeal is received within fourteen (14) days following the date of the legal notice, this decision becomes final and you will receive a notice to that effect. Your company will be billed for the legal notice.

If you have any questions about this decision or the appeal process, please call Dennis Brown at 739-5409.

Sincerely,


EHC: RHM: Dh

Coantal Zone fencus Docision CZ Project No, zjisD

## the projegt

1. The proposed projees da an adjunar to a pouey gonaration Eacility to bo conseructad in Now Jariay. Koystone Cogenaration Syotams. Inc., praporss to
 Gioucester County, Nay Jarsey, and an illeo foot plar in the Dulausize rivei
 to nolghboring Monsanto Chanleal Company (Dilaware Rlvor Plant).
2. The povar genazation plant facilltios aro those normally ausocisted uith powor plente such as a cugbine busiaing, a bosior building, an admanistration laoblity. masneonanca ahog ary wirehouso building, watar Ereatpanc building, transfotmars. cooilng sever, ¥aphosea. tarka, purs, flun gat desulfurisation aystens, chisnoy or scack fly ash sllo, swltchyard,

3. The etatus decision applicastion soeks a xuling on (1) a pler which will oxtend inte she delavare givar. (2) raw water intake ayptam, condeting 0! Cuo vortionl zurbina pumpa located on eris pier niatform, and (i) one


4. The plot will be owned and usot by the eeplicant for the single purpose of oftiosding eosi and lime from veaneln docised at the pior and for onlosding afh. The applieant acknouledges that no other ontity will ufe the pior yok the offloadinglonloading of any bu?k praduce and that tha carl. Itho ond ash will be utlilsed/ganeyated soleiy by sid ponat piait.

## RROJRCT HISTORX

5. On Diogabar 15, 1988, Bun Refining and Markuting Company applied for a Constal zone act tatue deciaion to doternine. prioct to kinel asla, whother of not a piet axtonding Eram the Now Jareay moreline inta the Delaware Rivar beyond the gean low water mnok, is regulazed hy itn att
6. Aceing Seorneary Hughen' May 5, 1989. ocacus duciacon atated that the propesed pler la a prohibleed offinore bulk frodues teanafar facilley in acoordance wien seotion 7003 of the ace.
7. On Kay 17, 1939, the applicant appoalpo cio sooision. and a haring
 1989. Sy sespuleston of phe pareios, dated Juic 13, 1989, che sucrotary's decialon was withdram and vacised, tivo hoering was eanceled, the applicent whthdrew his appsel, and the acatus deciaion applicasion wae ramanded to the Secretary for curchor somaldegation of additional informasion te be provided by the applieant. On Septombar 13. 2990 , the appli=tst angplamented ita applicasion with addibionab information, and rho roviow procebl was rainicttured.
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## yeoar Findotige

1. The piar constitutes a prohibitad bulk product trarafn: Ejsisixy. undeas de will garve a aingle induetrial or menufacturing fecillty for which a petmit de granted by the Deportione.
2. Tha plant. including the incak: and tha outialls. is manufucturing fasilify uhieh could raceive censcal zone paralt from the Dopazenene if 15 suthases the requlreanti of 7 Dele section 7004.

## DRDKA

10. The Departant heroby granta applsaent a condicionsi coastal gona arate dealaion thet the pior does not cometeute prohibited bulk product tranefor facllity.
11. This etceus decision is exprasely concicionad on the applacent avancually racalylng a cosital teno parmit lor the plane. The Eallure to prooure sweh paraite for the plant widi render afyitennt'a condieionm coasfas cor etatus daciaion null and vold.
12. The Dapartment has the further underatanding regarding tha Daparemont'n Suture seastal zom parnit decialon for che plant:
(a) That any Daparcaent permet oncoxpaids the entirery
of the plant, not only the zotacal zont oomponante: ard
(b) Thate tho Doparemane will consider the planc's impase on the coastal sone only for the purpoese of sondering ite coditch some parmit defiotion.


Cate:

```
    Lagal Netice Corcurni::%
Cosstal Zons Ace Stafus Dacis!on
```

Undar authority of the lagulations ocioused fursuant ratho joastal zone act ITArle 7, Chapeer 70, palayare Cged), cho socrecary of the Dopartmene of Naturad losourcea and Envlronmental Control has asde atasus decialor on an applicasion Erom Keystono Cogoneration Systers Ins.

The acaeus dectaion efplication secks a rulkets on (i) a plot which will oxtend into the Delavare Rivor, (2) a ras wnoor intake aystem, consiating of two vareical rurbine pumpe located on the piox platform, and (3) one wastewater outfall located in the pior area for the diachaxge of etormwster runoff, trested wantewiter, and coolfag towar blowdown.

The play will be ownad and uret by tina applicen: tio: the singis putpose of affioading coal and lime fron vesomb dockod as the piey and for onioading astr. The applicant acknowledges thes no othor antley alll aso the plet for the offlomding/onloading of any buik produce and thac tion cosi, 1 lime and ath vill be utilized/ganersted solely by the powax plant.

The Sucratery hae oforalined that:
 unless de will serve singlo indurteral or manufacturding facility $20 t$ which a cosstad zone sot parmit is granted by the Depertrent.
8. The plane, including the intake and the outfalla, ia a manufaturing Eacsilty which could recatwe a sensead sone porinit from the Departante :: is sacssêlos tha zequitienents of 7 Del. C. $\$ 1004$.

## DECXSTON ORDEB

The socxerary hereby grenta applicene a condieional Coastal Zone status dasision that the oler does not consticute a prohibited bulk produce eransfar facility, Tha scaeus decision is nxp:ct:s: cons:ianat in the applicame -uatrually recosving a coascal zone permit for tha plent the fallury to procura auch parmit for the pianc vild render upplicant's conditional coaszal sone aeatua deciaion null and vala.

The Deparemant has the further undorotanding regerding the Departmane'a Eucure coapel zona parmit deciston for tho piant:
(1) That any Departaene permit encompass the aneirery of the plan:. not only the coastel zone componenes; and
(2) That the Departement uill conoider the plant'a impact on the coanesl zons only for the purposes of rendoring lea Coastal Zone pormbt dectston.
Coplea of thls Status Decision and app:eation nea avallabie for publlc Inapaction the Now Castlo County Capareatene of Planning loestad in the County kuginesring suilding on Capleal Iresl and at the offices of the Department of Warursi Redouresa and Envirormental Control in Dovpr and near Hav Castla at 715 oranthan Lane.
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Anyone wishlng to appeal enis deciaion to tho seste Cosate：Zone Induatrial fanteol soard mute do oo within fourteen（14）dayo Eollowing the date of this lagel notice．Appeal applisāion Eaym sco ovailebie by calling cho Jepartant
 hundrat dollay（ $\$ 100.00$ ）appanl appiscabion fen be no appael ls racolved whin the allored fime，this SEatue Decigion will zoconc flizdi．


Edwin k，CLark，II，Secrocaty Eepartronit of NaEuyol Rosources and Envixonmental Control

Instruatlons：
1．Please publish in Whinington Morning Nevs on Hoysmbsr 3k＿＿1990．
2．Sand bill zo：Richayd V．Clliberti，Vice Fioyidont Rayerane Cogeneration syseems Inc． 313 Chostnut Street PhsladolphLa，8A 19106

3．Sond affedevit，with elipped legal notice，tf： Dannds Byem DMREC
Diviaden af varer Resocrize
Planint a support Saction 89 Kinge Huy．，P．O．Dox 1402, Dover．DE 19901


# State of Prem Terse <br> DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION trenton 

Division of Coastal Resources

Please address reply to:
ON 401
Trenton. NJ. 08625-0401

March 14, 1991
Anthony F. Pratt - (302) 739-4411
Division of Gull arid water Conservation
Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control
© Kings Highway
Dover, Delaware 19903

## RE: Joint Review of Project within the States of Delaware and New Jersey <br> Keystone Cogeneration Systems

Dear Tony:
The division of coastal resources recently focelved an application for several coastal permits for a coal fired cogeneration facility with a coal handing pier. This mooring and offloading pier is sham in the appitiotionto' be located in the State of Delaware.

Considering our federal! Coastal Zone Management Grant task ta produce a tetter coordination effort for development of this kind, 1 em forwarding to you this application for your review. This application might te a good prototype for us to scape out some the details we will need to address.

The Division project reviewer is [ave Fain. He con be reached at the above andres or (609) 984-0266. He can provide you with details of this particular appheation. Any matters related te coordination should te addressed through me for the dire being while we proceed with the CZM grant task.

Delaware


Sincerely,

(302)
$139-5409$
Steven whitney Assistant Director
$\therefore$ Dave Fane


NJO7744

## State of Atru ㅍerbey

## DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

 TRENTONPlease address rephy to: CN 401 Trenton, N.J. 08625-0401

```
Mr. Richard A. Long
Manager, Environmental Services
S. 'T. Hudson Engineers, Inc.
800 Cooper Street
Camden NJ 08.102
    RE: REYSTONE COGENERATION SYSTEMS,
        INC,, Long-Term l,ease and In-
        terim License, Delaware River,
        Block 1, Lots 2.0 and 2.1, Logan
        Township, Gloucester Count:y
        Permits 0809-91-0010.1, - .2, -䊾3&-.4
    FILE: 91-0190-T
    Dear Mr. Long:
    Recejpt of your letter of July 30, 1991, enclosing
revised documents to allow Keystone Cogeneralion Systems,
Inc., to be the applicant in place of Sun Refining and
- Marketing Companv.
```

                                    Sincerely,
                                    OAMGINAL SIGNED BY
                                    CS/ JAMES R. JOHNSON
                                    James R. Johnson
                                    Assistant Director, Tidelands
                                    Division of Coastal Resources
    /jec
cc: bu of REVENUE


## MEMORANDUM



August 28, 1991

To: James Johnson

From: Robert Tudor
Subject: Keystone Cogeneration Facility
Logan Township. Gloucester County File *0809-91-0010.1

Please find attached a copy of the staff preliminary Analysis for this project that was prepared by my staff. That document recommends approval of the Keystone facility pending the resolution of several issues. As of this date the applicant has satisfied a majority of those issues and a permit for this facility will be issued within the statutory requirement of 90 days \{September 23, 1991\}. Those items that are not completely satisfied will become a condition to the permit.

The applicant has indicated that due to the nature of the facility it is not feasible to fully comply with the policy on Public Access to the Waterfront. As you are aware the Division has attempted to create "linear walkways" along certain waterfronts (Hudson River). I would like to suggest that you attempt to incorporate a deed restriction into the tidelands instrument that would allow for a 50 foot public access right-of-way adjacent to the Delaware River. That deed restriction would allow future public access should site conditions change.

If you should have any questions regarding the permit application please contact David Fan of my staff at 9840266.


STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND ENERGY
LAND USE REGULATION ELEMENT
BUREAU OF COASTAL REGULATION
Summary Analysis
September 24, 1991

```
Keystone Cogeneration Systems, Inc. 901 Market street, Suite 721
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
```

Waterfront Development Permit Application
0809-91-0010.1
Wetiands Permit "Type A"
0809-91-0010.2
Individual Freshwater Wetlands Permit Application 0809-91-0010.3

Stream Encroachment Permit Application
0809-91-0010.6

Decision of the Adminstrator conditionally approving a Waterfront Development Permit, a Wetlands Type A Permit, a Stream Encroachment Permit, an Individual rreshwater Wetlands Permit and a Water Quality Certificate.

Based upon the analysis which follows and subject to the conditions expressed herein, the Adminstrator is able to make the positive findings required by the waterfront Development Law as embodied by the Rules on Coastal Zone Management. This project also satisfies the requirements of the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act and the Flood Hazard Area Control Act. The permit is expressly contigent upon compliance with all permit conditions and failure to comply with any or all of the permit conditions may result in appropriate enforcement actions, or suspension or revocation of the permits.

This permit includes conditions to ensure compliance with the following policies; Intertidal and Subtidal Shallows (7:7E-3.16), Wetlands (7:7E-3.27), Historic and Archaeological Resources (7:7E-3.36), Endangered or Threatened Wildlife or Vegetation Species Habitats (7:7E-

3.38), Acceptability Conditions for Uses (7:7E-4.11), Dredge Spoil Disposal on Land (7:7E-7.11), Water Quality (7:7E-8.4) and Public Access to the Waterfront (7:7E-8.11).

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Waterfront Development Law of 1914 (N.J.S.A. 12:53), the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act of 1987 (N.J.S.A. 13:9B-1 et seq.), the Wetlands Act of 1970 (N.J.S.A. 13:9A-1 et seq.) and the Flood Hazard Area control Act (N.J.S.A. 58:16A-50); require that a permit be obtained from the Department of Environmental Protection and Energy-Land Use Regulation Element for: the filling or dredging of, or placement or construction of, structures, pilings or other obstructions in any tidal waterway, or in certain upland areas adjacent to tidal waterways and, the alteration or disturbance in and around freshwater wetland areas and the discharge of dredged or fill material into state open waters within the, State of New Jersey.

Section 401 of the Federal clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seg.) requires that a Water quality certificate be obtained from the state of New Jersey for all projects which require a federal permit or license and which propose to discharge fill or dredge material into waters of the United States. The purpose of the certification is to insure that all such activities are consistent with New Jersey water Quality standards (N.J.A.C. 7:9-4.1 et seq.), and the Federal clean Water Act. The Land Use Regulation Element is the agency of the state of New Jersey responsible for the issuance of Water Quality Certificates.

## II. SUMMARY ANALYBIB

Based upon the analysis that follows the Administrator is able to make a positive finding as required pursuant to the Rules on Coastal Zone Management. However this approval is contingent upon the permittee satisfying the conditions of the attached permit.

The Admimistrator is also able to make a positive finding as required by the Freshwater Wetlands protection Act Rules, the Flood Hazard Area Control Act and the Wetlands Act of 1970 subject to the conditions contained herewith. Furthermore, the Department, acting under Section 307 of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act (P.L. 92-583) as amended, certifies that this project is consistent with the approved New Jersey Coastal Management Program.

## III. ADMINISTRATIVE HISTORY

On March 20 , 1990 the Element received a Letter of Interpretation request from Keystone shipping company to
verify a wetland line on the subject property. The site was inspected on three separate occasions and after several revisions the wetland line was approved via letter dated October 17, 1990.

The Element received applications from Keystone Cogeneration Systems on February 28, 1991 for the proposed coal fired cogeneration facility and related structures. The applications submitted included an Individual Freshwater Wetlands Permit, a Waterfront Development Permit and a Wetlands Type A Permit.

On March 27, 1991 the Element requested additional information. The requested information was received on May 1, 1991 and the applications were determined complete for filing. A fact-finding Waterfront Development public hearing was held on June 26, 1991 at 7:00 p.m. at the Bridgeport Fire Hall, Steelman Avenue, Bridgeport New Jersey,

As specified under section 7:7E-4.6(c) of the coastal Permit Program Regulations, for non-CAFRA permit applications on which public hearings are held, the permit application is declared complete for review effective the day of the hearing. Accordingly, the statutory 90-day deadline for this permit application is September 24, 1991.

Keystone Cogeneration systems also applied for a stream Encroachment Permit on February 28, 1991. To facilitate a consistent review for the project, the Division suggested to the applicant that it may be prudent to withdraw the stream Encroachment permit application and to resubmit that application on the date of the public hearing. Consequently on May 22, 1991, Richard Ciliberti (Vice President, Keystone Cogeneration systems) requested that the stream Encroachment Permit application be withdrawn without prejudice. That withdrawal request was accepted by the Element. On June 26, 1991 that application was re-submitted to the Department.

The proposed cogeneration facility includes a barge delivery system that encroaches into the jurisdictions of both the state of New Jersey and the State of Delaware. In 1905 the State's of New Jersey and Delaware entered into a compact regarding riparian jurisdiction for this type of situation. Article VII of that compact authorizes each State, on its own side of the Delaware River to exercise riparian jurisdiction of every kind and nature, and to make grants, leases and conveyances of riparian lands and rights under the laws of the respective states.

As a result of that compact, the state of New Jersey required Keystone Congeneration Systems to obtain a riparian instrument for the barge unloading facility. An interim license and long term lease was issued on September 9, 1991 (File \#91-0190-T). The State of Delaware has also assumed
jurisdiction and required a Coastal zone Permit and a Subaqueous Lands Permit. Those permits were issued on September 13, 1991.

## IV. PROJBCT AND SITE DESCRIPTION

Keystone Cogeneration Systems proposes to construct a pulverized coal-fired cogeneration facility that will produce electrical energy for sale to Atlantic Electric Company and thermal energy for sale to Monsanto Company.

The Keystone cogeneration Project (KCP) will occupy a 105-acre site between Oldmans and Raccoon Creeks in Logan Township, Gloucester County, New Jersey. This site is situated at Delaware River Mile 78.8, about 3 miles downstream of the Commodore Barry Bridge. It extends from U.S. Route 130 to the Delaware River. It's easterly boundary is occupied by a Monsanto chemical plant.

The project site is basically composed of an assemblage of six agricultural fields separated by various drainage ditches, farm roads, and hedgerows. The site was once a dredge spoil disposal area and only the two fields (approximately 34 acres) closest to U.S. Route 130 remain in a natural condition.

## Project overview

Steam and electricity will be produced, or cogenerated, at the proposed plant. The maximum steam production rate will be 1,575,000 pounds per hour (pph), with up to 50,000 pph exported to Monsanto Company. The electrical output of the generator ( 224 MW gross, 202 MW ) will be sold to Monsanto and Atlantic Electric.

The congeneration facility will require approximately 2,000 tons of eastern bituminous coal per day (sulfur content reported to be $1.5 \%$ or less). Coal will be delivered to the facility via barge. The offshore pier will be equipped with a fixed clamshell unloader and conveyor system used to unload and convey the coal from the barge to the upland coal storage building. As fuel is required, the coal will be reclaimed from the enclosed storage pile, conveyed to an enclosed-crusher, pulverized and transported to the boiler.

The burned coal gases and ash will be filtered before discharging to the atmosphere through a 430 foot stack. Ash will be collected and pneumatically and mechanically conveyed to silos for storage. At intervals the accumulated ash will be removed by truck and returned to spent coal mines in Pennsylvania for use as reclamation.

Makeup water for both process and cooling at the plant will be withdrawn from the Delaware River via an offshore intake structure suspended from the pier. Water will be withdrawn through one of two water pumps, which will be
housed at the outshore end of the pier. Water withdrawals will range from 5 to 11.2 cubic feet per second (cfs) and average 7 cfs (5,000 gallons per minute).

Following the publication of the preliminary Staff Analysis (June 26, 1991), the facility was redesigned to incorporate a "Zero Discharge Treatment System". This system will continue to draw water from the Delaware River for processes in the plant but will not discharge any water back into the river other than stormwater runoff. All wastewater streams generated at the facility (including filter backwashes, softener blowdown, demineralizer regeneration wastes, reverse osmosis, brine, cooling tower blowdown, and floor drains), along with runoff from the emergency (inactive) coal pile will be treated and recycled within the facility.

## Plant Facility

The major plant facilities will consist of one pulverized coal-fired boiler, a steam turbine, material handling systems, pollution, stormwater, wastewater and sewage treatment control systems and related facilities.

## Pier

Coal will be delivered by barge from the Delaware River and unloaded on a pile supported coal handling barge facility consisting of a 1600' long, 22'-6" wide approachway, a 130'x75' platform, four (4) mono-pole breasting dolphins, two (2) mooring dolphins and a water intake. The majority of this structure is located in the State of Delaware.

## Dredging

Approximately 40,000 cubic yards of dredge material will be removed from the $910^{\prime} \times 150^{\prime}$ barge berth. This material will be disposed of on land at an approved upland dredge spoil site in Logan Township. The 1600 foot long pier does not require dredging.

## Wetland Impacts

The proposed project requires permanently disturbing approximately 1.6 acres of wetlands regulated pursuant to the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act. No permanent impact to wetlands regulated pursuant to the Wetlands Act of 1970 is proposed.

## v. ANALYEIS

Analysis of these pending permit applications is based upon the Rules on Coastal Zone Management (N.J.A.C. 7:7E-1.1 et seq.) adopted September 29, 1978 as amended to August 20, 1990 and the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act Rules (N.J.A.C. 7:7A) as amended July 17, 1989. This analysis
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refers to applicable policies by administrative code section.

Location Policies (7:7E-2.0)
Location Policies classify all land and water locations into a General Area and some into one or more Special Areas. Special Areas are areas that constitute a highly valued resource, serve important purposes of human use, or form a significant natural hazard. The special Areas policies supplement other Location Policies and take precedence in case of policy conflict.

## Subchapter 3 - Special Areas

Special Areas are so naturally valuable, or so important for human use, or so hazardous, or so sensitive to impact, or so particular in their planning requirements, as to merit focused attention. This project involves the following Special Areas:

Prime Fishing Areas (7:7E-3.4)
Prime fishing areas include tidal water areas and water's edge areas which have a demonstrable history of supporting a significant local quantity of recreational or commercial fishing activity. Prohibited uses include sand or gravel submarine mining which would alter existing bathymetry to a significant degree so as to reduce the high fishery productivity of these areas.

The applicant has indicated that during the sampling periods of the river, it was not unusual to observe several recreational fishermen in boats at the project site or in the vicinity. It was also noted that a small eel commercial fishing operation exists on the Delaware River. Due to the extensive mud flats at low tide (over $1000^{\prime}$ ) there does not appear to be any significant use of the shoreline for recreational fishing. The Division of Fish, Game and Wildlife (NJDEPE), in their comments to the Element, did not express any concern to indicate that this section of the Delaware River was considered to be a prime fishing area or that the proposed project would have any impact on fishing opportunities at all.

As the pier facility does not appear to significantly impact fishing from boats, either recreational or commercial and there does not appear to be substantial use (or any use) of the shoreline for recreational fishing, the project is in compliance with this policy.

Finfish Migratory Pathways (7:7E-3.5)

Finfish migratory pathways are waterways (rivers, streams, creeks, bays, inlets) which can be demonstrated to serve as passageways for diadromous fish to or from seasonal spawning areas, including juvenile anadromous fish which migrate in autumn and those listed by H.E. Zich (1977) "New Jersey Anadromous Fish Inventory" NJDEP Miscellaneous Report No. 41, and including those portions of the Hudson and Delaware Rivers within the coastal zone boundary are defined as Finfish Migratory Pathways.

Species of concern include river herring (Alosa pseudoharengus), blueback herring ' (Alosa aestivalis), American shad (Alosa sapidissima), striped bass (Morone saxatilis), Atlantic sturgeon (Acipenser oxyrhynchus), Shortnose sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum) and American eel (Anguilla rostrata).

The applicant has documented the occurrence of most species of concern in the vicinity of the project, particularly striped bass. The intent of this policy is to protect migratory fishery resources from activities including dams, dikes, intake pipes and from activities that would lower water quality to the extent that it would interfere with the movement of fish along finfish migratory pathways.

The KCP has the potential to impact migratory fisheries of the Delaware River in several ways:

1. The coal loading facility and pier has the potential to affect the route of migrating fish;
2. The intake system will cause entrainment and impingement of juvenile fish, fish larvae and fish eggs (Entrainment is the passage of small pelagic organisms such as fish eggs and larval through the water intake screen and impingement refers to the capture of aquatic organisms on the surfaces of the water intake screens);
3. Dredging associated with creating the barge berth has the potential to impact the fisheries resource by creating a localized plume of turbidity. This resuspension of sediments could also release toxicants into the water column should they be present (metallic and/or organic).

Impact 1. Migratory Fish Route
The Delaware River is approximately 4000 feet wide at the location of the proposed cogneration facility. The proposed coal unloading facility and the approachway pier have a combined length of approximately 1700 feet. The approachway pier will not impede migrating fish as it is constructed on piles and will not act as a barrier. Given
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the width of the river it is unlikely that the structures will impede or restrict the movement of migratory fishes.

## Impact 2. Intake structure

The project has an inherent need for large quantities of water for both processing and cooling purposes. To satisfy this demand it was necessary to site the KCP as close as possible to a large source of water. The applicant considered several alternatives for supplying water to the facility and decided on a system that utilized an offshore screened pump intake that would divert water to the facility via an elevated pipeline suspended from the pier.

To minimize impingement and entrainment the intakes will be fitted with 1 millimeter wedge-wire screens considered to be "state of the art". The intake velocity will not exceed 0.5 feet per second and intakes will be oriented parallel to the flow of the Delaware River. A parallel orientation to the river current, which averages 1.3 to 1.5 fps, reduces the pressure gradient across the screen and further reduces impingement. These design criteria were recommended by the $U$. $S$. Fish and Wildlfe Service and the New Jersey Division of Fish, Game and Wildlife who have found this design acceptable.

Item 3. Dredging
The National Marine Fisheries Service, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service and the New Jersey Division of Fish, Game and Wildlife have all expressed the concern that the proposed dredging of the barge berth has the potential to impact migrating fishes. All three agencies mutually agreed that dredging could be authorized if the permit were conditioned to allow dredging only during specific "windows". Therefore this permit will be conditioned as recommended by the NJDEPE, Division of Fish, Game and Wildlife to prohibit bucket dredging between the dates of March 15 and November 30 and hydraulic dredging between the dates of April 15 and June 30 .

The Bureau of Monitoring Management (NJDEPE) has reviewed the Dredge Spoil Analysis prepared by EMA laboratories and has concluded that there is no need for additional sampling nor does there appear to be any threat of the release of toxicants (metallic and/or organic) into the water column during dredging.

The permit is conditioned to prohibit bucket dredging between the dates of March 15 and November 30 and bydraulic dredging between the dates of April 15 and June 30 . Provided the permitted maintains compliance with the above referenced condition, the project is in compliance with this policy.

## Navigation Channels (7:7E-3.7)

Navigation channels include water areas in tidal rivers and bays presently maintained by NJDEPE or the Army Corps of Engineers and marked by the U.S. Coast Guard with bouys or stakes as shown on NOAA/National Ocean Survey charts. Navigation channels also include channels marked with buoys, dolphins, and stakes, and maintained by the state of New Jersey, and access channels and anchorages. New or maintenance dredging of existing navigation channels is conditionally acceptable providing that the condition under the new or maintenance dredging policy is met. Development which would cause terrestrial soil and shoreline erosion and siltation in navigation channels shall utilize appropriate mitigative measures. Development which would result in loss of navigability is prohibited.

The applicant has maintained that the dredging of the 910 foot by 150 foot barge berth will not affect the navigation channel of the Delaware River. The coal unloading pier is approximately 2300 feet from the shipping channel of the river and 150 feet from the the Marcus Hook Authorized Anchorage Line.

The permittee has received a U.S. Army corps of Engineers permit for the KCP (CENAP-OP-R-91-0347-11). That approval required the permittee to notify the Philadelphia captain of the Port with the dates of comencement and estimated completion of the authorized work. It also required the permittee to submit information of all proposed activites that could affect navigation to the United states Coast Guard at least two (2) weeks prior to commencement of such activities.

As the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has issued a permit pursuant to section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (33 U.S.C. 403), and that permit specifically approved the navigational aspects of this project, and no activity is within a channel or anchorage, the applicant has demonstrated compliance with this policy.

Submerged Infrastructure Routes (7:7E-3.12)
A submerged infrastructure route is the corridor in which a pipe or cable runs on or below a submerged land surface. Any activity which would increase the likelihood of infrastructure damage or breakage, or interfere with maintenance operations is prohibited.

The applicant has indicated that seven underground pipelines transect the project site and that no aspect of the project other than the proposed cleaning of a tidal ditch has the potential to impact those lines. To demonstrate compliance with this policy the applicant
supplied plans of the proposed ditch cleaning that depicted the location and elevations of the underground pipelines as well as the final elevation of the ditch following dredging. The proposed ditch cleaning will not interfere with the pipelines therefore the project is in compliance with this policy.

## Intertidal and Subdtidal Shallows (7:7E-3.15)

Intertidal and subtidal shallows means all permanently or twice-daily submerged areas extending from the mean high water line to a depth of 4 feet below mean low water.

Intertidal and subtidal shallows play a critical role in estuarine ecosystems. They are a land-water ecotone, or ecological edge where many material and energy exchanges between land and water take place. They are critical habitats for many benthic organisms and are critical forage areas for fishes and many migrant waterfowl.

Development, filling, new dredging or other disturbance is generally discouraged but may be permitted in accordance with the Use Policy for the applicable water body type. New dredging is conditionally acceptable for the Delaware River which is defined as a large river. If. destruction of intertidal and subtidal shallows takes place, mitigation shall be carried out at a ratio of one acre created to one acre lost. M1tigation sites shall be located within the same estuary. Dredging does not require mitigation.

The intertidal area of the KCP site is part of a continuous flat located between the mouths of Raccoon and oldmans Creeks. At the KCP site the intertidal area is some 1,200 feet wide. This expansive intertidal area is the justification for the 1600 foot approachway pier. The subtidal areas occur immediately offshore of the intertidal area (mean low water line) to a depth of -4.0 feet. Within the project area this includes a band generally ranging from 150 to 400 feet wide.

The total area of intertidal and subtidal habitats permanently destroyed by the installation of the steel pipe piles supporting the approachway pier and by the widening of the present access road is 0.139 acres. Approximately 0.1 acres of subtidal area will require dredging in order to accommodate the barge berth.

As previously stated, the Use Policy for Large Rivers (N.J.A.C. 7:7E-4), conditionally allows new dredging and the placement of piles provided any permanent disturbance to intertidal or subtidal areas (In this case the . 139 acres of fill) are mitigated for. The applicant has developed an acceptable draft mitigation proposal for the loss of the
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.139 acres and, as a condition to the permit, will be required to have a final mitigation plan approved prior to construction.

Provided the permittee maintaln's compliance with the above noted condition the project is in compliance with this policy.

Filled Water's Edge (7:7E-3.16)
Filled Water's Edge areas are existing filled areas lying between Wetlands or Water Areas, and either: (1) the upland limit of fill, or (2) the first public road or railroad landward of the adjacent Water Area, whichever is closer to the water. Some existing or former dredge spoil and excavation fill areas are Filled Water's Edge. The waterfront portion is defined as a contigous area at least equal' in size to the area within 100 feet of navigable water, measured from the Mean High Water Line (MHWL). This contiguous area must be accessible to a public road and occupy at least 30 percent of the navigable water's edge.

On Filled Nater's Edge sites without direct water access to navigable water, the area to be devoted to water related uses will be determined on a case-by-case basis. Along the Delaware River Region, where water dependent uses are deemed infeasible, some part of the waterfront portion of the site may be acceptable for non-water dependent development if the developed land uses closest to the water's edge are water oriented.

With the exception of the 10.8 and 23.5 acre agricultural fields closest to Route 130 , the entire project site is a filled water's edge created as a result of the deposition of dredge spoil material. The filled water's edge portion of this site is bounded along its Delaware River frontage by non-tidal wetlands, tidal emergent wetlands and expansive intertidal and subtidal zones. At normal low tide flats are exposed approximately 1000 feet channelward of the mean high water line.

This site does not have direct access to navigable waters and has limited potential for waterborne commerce or recreational use due to the mudflats that are exposed at low tide. Any development of the site for such projects would require extensive new dredging, frequent maintenance dredging and the filling of wetlands. A recreational marina or a commercial port facility is not suited for this site. Water dependent uses of this site appear not feasible.

The proposed plant utilizes a barge method for coal delivery that would not be feasible were the site not located at the waters edge. This mode of delivery was chosen after residents of Logan Township expressed their concern
and opposition to rail delivery. The facility also requires a high volume source of cooling and process water that can best be satisfied by a large surface water body such as the Delaware River.

The applicant has demonstrated that the site is not suitable for water dependent uses and that aspects of the facility are water oriented. The use of a barge delivery system promotes maritime support services and was instituted because of the opposition to rail delivery by local residents. If the applicant can demonstrate compliance with all other applicable policies, the project will be in compliance with this policy.

## Flood Hazard Areas (7:7E-3.25)

Flood hazard areas are the floodway and flood fringe around rivers, creeks and streams as delineated by NJDEPE under the Flood Hazard Area Control Act (N.J.S.A. 58:16A50), or by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA); or the flood hazard area around other coastal water bodies as defined by FEMA. They are areas subject to either tidal or fluvial flooding. Where flood hazard areas have been delineated by both DEP and FEMA, the DEP delineations shall be used. Where flood hazard areas have not been delineated by DEP or FEMA, limits of the 100 year floodplain will be established by computation on a case by case basis.

With the exception of the pier structure, 2 stormwater outfalls, the plant access road and the proposed wetland mitigation site, all development activities being part of the completed project, lie above the 100 year floodplain. The applicant withdrew the original stream Encroachment Permit application on May 22, 1991 and submitted a revised application on June 26,1991 . The project conforms with the standards Flood Hazard Area Control Act and the Stream Encroachment Permit is hereby issued. With the issuance of a Stream Encroachment Permit the project will be in compliance this policy.

Wetlands (7:7E-3.27)
Wetlands or wetland means an area that is inundated or saturated by surface water or groundwater at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances does support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions, commonly known as hydrophytic vegetation.

Wetlands are regulated and protected under the Wetlands Act of 1970 (N.J.S.A. 13:9A-1 et seg.) or the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act of 1987 (N.J.S.A. 13:9B-1 ett seg.).

The construction of the appproachway pier will cross in a perpendicular fashion, tidal wetlands regulated pursuant to the Wetlands Act of 1970. As a result a Wetlands "Type A" permit is required. In general, development of all kinds is prohibited in such coastal wetlands, unless the Division can find that the proposed development meets the following four conditions:

1. Requires water access or is water oriented as a central purpose of the basic function of the activity;
2. Has no prudent or feasible alternative on a nonwetland site;
3. Will result in minimum feasible alteration or impairment of natural tidal circulation or natural circulation; and
4. Will result in minimum feasible alteration or impairment of natural contour or the natural vegetation of the wetlands.

The permittee has determined that impacts to wetlands regulated pursuant to the Wetlands Act of 1970 will amount to 0.052 acres of shading due to the construction of the approachway pier. No fill is proposed within any tidal wetland. The permittee proposes to construct the approachway pier 14 feet above mean low water to minimize shading impacts. The proposed shading of 0.052 acres of wetlands for the installation of the approachway pier is in compliance with this policy.

## Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act

Development in wetlands regulated under the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act of 1987 is prohibited unless the development is found to be acceptable under the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act Rules. Construction of this facility will impact approximately 1.6 acres of "freshwater wetlands". It should be noted that while the drainage channels and ditches impacted by the plant access road are tidally influenced, these areas are not shown as regulated wetlands by the New Jersey Coastal Wetlands Maps and are therefore regulated pursuant to the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act. Those impacts are outlined below:

Freshwater Wetland Impacts

## Plant Access Road

Filling of tidal agricultural drainage ditch 0.13 acre
Filling of non-tidal wetlands 0.68 acre
Excavation of relocated drainage ditch 0.23 acre
ystone Cogeneration Facility

```
Vegetation disturbance
0.16 acre
Riprap for road culverts
Wetland encroachment subtotal
```

0.02 acre
1.22

```
acres
Steam Pipeline and Electrical Distribution Lines
Clearing and structural support construction 0.0838 acre
Pier
\begin{tabular}{lr}
\begin{tabular}{l} 
clearing of woody vegetation \\
acre
\end{tabular} & 0.2336 \\
Stormwater outfall culverts (2) & \\
clearing and riprap placement & 0.089
\end{tabular} acre
Total Freshwater Wetland Encroachment for project \(=1.64\) acres
```


## 7:JA-3.2 Requirements for Non-water Dependent Activities

Section $3.2(c)$ states that it shall be a rebuttable presumption that practicable alternatives exist to any nonwater dependent regulated activity which does not involve a freshwater wetland, and that such an alternative to any regulated activity would have less of an impact on the aquatic ecosystem. An alternative shall be practicable if it is available and capable of being carried out after taking into consideration cost, existing technology, and logistics in light of overall project purposes. An alternative shall not be excluded from consideration under this provision merely because it includes or requires an area not owned by the applicant which could reasonably have been, or be obtained, utilized, expanded, or managed in order to fulfill the basic purpose of the proposed activity.

## Plant Access Road

Alternative 11 . This is the preferred alternative and involves widening an existing farm road from one single lane to a two lane roadway. Wetland encroachments for this alternative cannot be avoided as there are existing wetlands on either side of the road. Total wetland disturbance is 1.2 acres with the majority of this area consisting of actively farmed agricultural fields and stands of phragmetes.

Alternative 2 . This alternative involves constructing a new access road that would involve disturbing forested wetlands as well as agricultural fields. The permittee has
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represented that this alternative would have a more significant impact to wildife habitat than alternative \#1 because of the currently undisturbed nature of the habitat.

Alternative 3 . This alternative considers the construction of a 800 foot briage to span the wetlands. Given the initial cost and maintenance of such a structure, this was never considered as a viable alternative by the permittee.

The Department recognizes the fact that in order to provide vehicular access to the site it is necessary to construct an access road. Alternative \#2 involves destroying forested wetland areas and will bisect wetland areas that have been undisturbed since the area was last used for depositing dredge spoils (approximately 1969). An elevated bridge (Alternative \#3) is not a viable alternative due to cost. The Department concurs with the finding that widening the existing farm road is the preferred alternative and that it will minimize wetland impacts. The wetland impacts of this alternative are limited to areas that are currently disturbed (mostly active agricultural fields).

## Stormwater Outfall Channels 0.08 acres

Alternative \#1. This represents the chosen alternative and involves disturbing 0.08 acres of wetlands for the installation of two starmwater outfall structures. The stormwater for KCP is managed by a series of water quality basins that have been designed to promote water guality and to reduce erosion and sedimentation.

Altexnative \#2. This represents the no-build alternative and is not acceptable to the Element.

The permittee has satisfied the Coastal Zone Management Policy for stormwater (7:7E-8.7) by constructing water quality basins. Detention basins require a discharge point that generally involves filling or disturbing wetlands. As a result, to minimize surface water impacts, and to prevent erosion and sedimentation, the applicant has demonstrated that there was no feasible alternative other than disturbing the 0.08 acres of wetlands for the installation of the outfall structures.

Steam Pipeline, Electrical Distribution Line and Approachway pier

Wetland impacts associated with these activities are linear in nature and involve clearing .31 acres. There will be no permanent disturbance to wetlands, only a change in the wetland type (i.e. forested wetland to scrub-shrub wetland). The permittee has proposed no other alternative for these activities.

The applicant has demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Element that there is no practicable alternative to these activities. If coal is to be delivered by barge it is necessary to cross the band of wetlands that are parallel to the Delaware River. The same situation applies to the steam pipeline and the electrical distribution line. As the entire northern boundary of the site is mapped as a wetland (ditch) it is impossible to provide a steam and electric connection without disturbing wetlands.

The permittee has demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Department that the wetland disturbances associated with this project have been minimized and are approvable pursuant to the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act (Subchapter 3). Therefore as a condition to this permit and prior to the initiation of any disturbance in freshwater wetlands, the permittee is required to submit a mitigation plan to the Department for review and approval. The permittee is obligated to mitigate for all permanent wetland disturbances (1.3 acres) at a ratio of $2: 1$ (gained/disturbance). Wetland impacts involving a change from one wetland type to another (. 3 acres) will require mitigation at a ratio of $1: 1$.

Wetlands Buffers (7:7E-3.28)
"Wetlands Buffer or transition area" means an area of land adjacent to a wetland which minimizes adverse impacts on the wetlands and serves as an integral component of the wetlands ecosystem.

Development is prohibited in a wetlands buffer around wetlands regulated pursuant to the Wetlands Act of 1970, unless it can be demonstrated that the proposed development will not have a significant adverse impact and will cause minimum feasible impact through the use of mitigation where appropriate on the wetlands, and the natural ecotone between the wetlands and the surrounding upland.

1. A wetlands buffer of up to 300 feet in width shall be established around wetlands regulated under the Wetlands Act of 1970 .
2. A wetlands buffer or transition area of up to 150 feet in width shall be established within all wetland regulated under the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act.

All wetlands buffers (that is, transition areas) associated with wetlands subject to the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act shall be regulated in accordance with the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act Rules, N.J.A.C. 7:7A.

In a Letter of Interpretation (File \#0809-90-0008.1-LI) dated October 17, 1990, the Department classified all wetlands on this site regulated pursuant to the Freshwater

Wetlands Protection Act as intermediate resource value and imposed a buffer of 50 feet. This buffer requirement will also be applicable to wetlands regulated pursuant to the Wetlands Act of 1970.

The permittee has provided at least a 50 foot buffer from all wetlands with the exception of those areas that are the subject of the Individual Freshwater Wetlands Permit and the coastal Wetland Type "A" permit application. The Individual Freshwater Wetlands Permit includes a includes a transition area waiver authorizing disturbance to the transition area for access. The project is in compliance with this policy.

Historic and Archaeological Resources (7:7E-3.36)
"Historic and Archaeological Resources" include objects, structures, neighborhoods, districts, and other features of the landscape and seascape, including archaeological sites, which either are on, or are eligible for inclusion on the state or National Register of Historic Places.

Development that detracts from, encroaches upon, damages, or destroys the value of historic and archaeological resources is discouraged. scientific recording and/or removal of historic and archaeological resources or other mitigation measures must take place, if the proposed development would irreversibly and/or adversely affect historic and archaeological resources."

The applicant has entered into a contract to have a Phase 1 Archeological Survey conducted at the Keystone site. That survey is being coordinated through the office of New Jersey Heritage. As a condition to the permit and prior to the start of any construction in the waterfront area, the applicant will forward the results of the Phase 1 survey to the Element for review and comment. Depending on the conclusions of that survey, the Element may require the permittee to conduct additional analysis and incorporate mitigative measures as directed.

Endangered or Threatened Wildlife or Veqetation Species Habitats (7:7E-3.38)

Areas known to be inhabited on a seasonal or permanent basis by any wildlife (fauna) or vegetation (flora) identified as "endangered" or "threatened" species on official Federal or state lists of endangered or threatened species, or under active consideration for Federal or state listing, are considered Special Areas. The definition also includes a sufficient buffer area to insure continued survival of the species. NJDEPE - Division of Fish, Game and Wildife intentionally restricts dissemination of data
showing the geographic distribution of these species habitats, in order to protect the habitats.

Development of this Special Area is prohibited unless it can be demonstrated that endangered or threatened wildife or vegetation species habitat would not be adversely affected either directly or through secondary impacts.

The applicant has indicated that the New Jersey Natural Heritage Program has no records of any endangered or threatened vertebrate species occurring at the project site. The Element also has issued a Letter of Interpretation classifying the freshwater wetlands as Intermediate Resource Value. That classification would indicate that the Element's review of the wetlands on-site revealed no documented habitat for threatened or endangered wildife species.

There are however three species of protected fish that are known to occur near the project site. They include American shad (Alosa sapidissima), Shortnose sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum) and Atlantic sturgeon (Acipenser oxyrhynchus). The potential impacts to these species were addressed in a report prepared by T. Lloyd Associates, "Impact Assessment of the Keystone Cogeneration Project on Nearby Aquatic Resources", January 30, 1991. In that report, T. Lloyd Associates concluded that none of the above listed species are believed to spawn in the project area. Therefore any impact to eggs, larvae or juvenile fishes of these species appears to be negligible. T. Lloyd's report also indicates that shad may be sensitive to turbid water that would occur as a result of dredging.

The NJDEPE, Division of Fish, Game and Wildlife recognized the potential for this project to impact the above noted species and consequently requested the Element to impose timing restrictions on dredging. With the exception of the dredging, the permittee has demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Division that the construction and operation of the coal-fired cogeneration facility will have a minimal impact to the above noted endangered and threatened fish species. However to protect the anadromous fishes during migration, and as a condition the Waterfront Development Permit, no bucket dredging will be permitted between the dates of March 15 and November 30 and hydraulic dredging will not be permitted between the dates of April 15 and June 30. With the inclusion of the above noted dredging windows the project is in compliance with this policy.

## Subchapter 4-General Water Areas

General Areas are first divided into Water and Land by the same definitions used for Special Areas, Section 7:7E3.1. Water and land are further subdivided into General

Area types. The water's edge has no General Area types since all water's edge areas are one or more Special Area types. In many cases, an area already identified as a Special Area will also fall within the definition of a General Area. In these cases, both General and Special Area policies will apply. In cases of conflict between General and Special Area policies, the more specific Special Area Policy shall apply.

General Water Areas are areas which lie below either the Mean High Water Line or the normal water level of nontidal waters. Except at time of drought or extreme low tide, these areas are permanently inundated. General Water Areas are divided by volume and flushing rate into, oceans; Open Bays; Semi Enclosed and Back Bays; Tldal Guts; Large Rivers; Medium Rivers, Creeks and Streams; and Lakes, Ponds and Reservoirs. Some of these types are further divided for policy purposes into different depths.

## Large Rivers (7:7E-4.7)

This channel type includes flowing waterways with watersheds greater than 1,000 square miles which means the Delaware, Hudson and Raritan Rivers. Large "rivers are the principal freshwater input to the open Bays, and the critical estuarine functions performed by these bays depends, in large part, on maintenance or improvement of water quality and flow patterns in tidal rivers.

Acceptability conditions for Uses (7:7E-4.11)
(d) Docks and Piers (for Cargo and Commercial Fisheries)

## Definition

1. Docks and piers are structures supported on pilings driven into the bottom substrate or floating on the water surface, used for loading and unloading passengers or cargos, including fluids, connected to or associated with a single industrial or manufacturing facility or to commerical fishing facilities. Docks and Piers for cargo are conditionally acceptable in most General Water Areas provided that the width and length of the piers are limited to only what is necessary, they will not pose a hazard to navigation and the associated land use meets all Coastal Zone Management Policies.

The proposed barge facility is not located within any navigational channel or anchorage in the Delaware River and will not pose a hazard to navigation. A 1600' approachway pier is required to reach deep water thereby reducing the amount of new and maintenance dredging. The project is in compliance with this policy.

## (g) predging - New

## Definition

1. New dredging is the removal of sediment from the bottom of a water body that has not been previously dredged, for the purpose of increasing water depth, or the widening or deepening of navigable channels to a newly authorized depth or width. In addition to conditions imposed by this policy, the project must also satisfy all conditions applicable under Dredging - Maintenance 7:7E-4.11(f)2 (i) through (vii).

The applicant is proposing to remove 40,000 cubic yards of material by clamshell method from the 910 foot by 150 foot berth area to a depth of 25 feet below mean low water with $3: 1$ side slopes. All of the dredged material would be disposed of at the American Dredging Company's approved Logan Township dredged material upland disposal site (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers permit NAPOP-N dated August 15 , 1973).

The permittee has indicated that the American Dredging Company's permit for the upland dredge spoil site is currently under review by the ACOE for an extension. The ACOE authorized the dredging for this project via permit dated June 20, 1991 (CENAP-OP-R-91-0347-11) and referenced American Dredging Company's site in that permit. The Department, however, has no documentation that the 1973 ACOE permit has been extended, and what, if any, conditions are imposed on that permit. Therefore, prior to conducting any dredging, and as a condition to the permit, the permittee shall provide the Department with a copy of the referenced ACOE dredge disposal permit. The permittee will also submit to the Department the anticipated dredging schedule noting how that schedule relates to the status of the ACOE dredge permit.

The Department has also determined that this dredging complies with the Acceptablility Conditions for maintenance dredging. However to protect migratory finfish from turbidity associated with dredging, the Department has imposed restrictions on when dredging is authorized to occur (Refer to page 8, Finfish Migratory Pathways).

Subchapter 5-General Land Areas

## 7:7E-5.1 Definition

(a) General Land Areas include all mainland features located upland of Special Water's Edge Areas.

With the exception of several small nodes and islands of land located in the two agricultural fields closest to Route 130, the entire project site is composed of Special Water's Edge Areas. Therefore this policy is not applicable.

## Subchapter 7 - Use Policies

## 7:7E-7.4 Enargy Use Policies

(a) General Definition of Energy Uses

Energy uses include facilities, plants or operations which produce, convert, distribute, or store energy.
(b) General Energy Facility Siting Procedures

1. The acceptability of all proposed new or expanded coastal energy facilities shall be determined by a review process that includes both the Department and the Board of Public Utilities (BPU), (N.J.S.A. 52:27F-6 and 52:27-11 et seq.) according to the procedures defined in the Memorandum of Understanding between NJDEP and the BPU on Coordination of Permit Review.
2. BPU will determine the need for future coastal energy facilities according to three basic standards. BPU will submit an Energy Report to DEP with its determination of the need for a coastal energy facility based on three required findings:
i. The existing sources of supply will not be adequate to meet future levels of demand, including careful consideration of the potential effects of conservation;
ii. That no better technological alternative exists to meet future levels of demand; and
iii. That no better locational alternative to the proposed site exists.
The public utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA) encouraged the development of cogeneration facilities which use fossil fuels more efficiently than traditional utility generating facilities. As a result, the BPU has encouraged the development of cogeneration facilities as an economic, efficient and environmentally sound alternative to traditional utility generating facilities.

In accordance with those policies, in 1987 BPU staff and Atlantic Electric entered into a stipulation which was ultimately approved by the BPU outlining the terms and conditions by which Atlantic Electric would purchase energy
from PURPA qualifying facilities. A part of the stipulation review required the BPU to consider the need for additional capacity including the potential effects of conservation. It was determined that an additional 700 MWs was needed. The stipulation also included a Standard Price Methodology that established a system for ranking projects that considered factors including location, dispatchability and whether or not the facility would use a renewable fuel. Based on this ranking, Keystone was awarded a pricing agreement and ultimately entered into a power purchase agreement with Atlantic Electric which was approved by the BPU on December 28, 1988.

With regards to site location, a cogeneration facility must be located at or near the site of its thermal user (Monsanto). This is required due to the economics related to the cost of running steam lines, and the fact that heat losses increase with the length of lines. Therefore, the site is appropriate given the location of the Monsanto facility. The proposed site is also located near both marine and rail facilities that have the potential to deliver coal.

The KCP has demonstrated conditional compliance with all applicable Coastal Zone Management policies. The BPU has documented that KCP is an approved facility and that is has been sited in an appropriate location based on transportation, energy host location and the need to satisfy regional energy and capacity requirements. Based on the above discussion the permittee has demonstrated compliance with the policy.

## (r) Electric Generating Stations

New or expanded electric generating facilities and related facilities are conditionally acceptable provided:
i. The construction and operation of the proposed facility shall comply with the Coastal Resource and Development Policies, with special reference to air and water quality standards and policies on marine resources and wildlife.
ii. NJDEPE and BPU shall find that the proposed location and design of the electric generating facility is the most reasonable alternative for the production of electrical power that BPU has determined is needed. The finding shall be based on a comparative evaluation by the applicant of alternative sites within the coastal zone and inland, and of alternative technologies for the transportation and conversion of energy as well as the productive use of plant residuals, including thermal discharges.

The permittee has demonstrated conditional compliance with all applicable Coastal Zone Management policies. The NJDEPE, Division of Envirommental Quality has issued all applicable air permits for this project. The KCP is designed to have zero discharge to the Delaware River which will eliminate the potential for any thermal plume impacts.

To meet the demand for energy in New Jersey, Atlantic Electric petitioned the BPU for additional energy producing facilities. As previously stated, the BPU, as a result of the public utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1979, is encouraging the use of cogeneration facilities. Cogeneration facilities are unique in that they have several operational, environmental and location requirements that must be satisfied in order for the facility to properly function. The KCP site was chosen based on those requirements which are discussed below.

The site provides a 110 acre industrial zoned location adjacent to a steam host along the Delaware River. It's remote location away from densely populated areas, provides easy access. to Route 295 and Route 130. The majority of the plant will be constructed on an existing 40 acre agricultural field (dredge spoils) which reduces impacts to envirommentally sensitive areas. The river location provides the plant with the water volume that is needed for operations.

Based on the above analysis the project is in compliance with this policy.

Dredge Spoil Disposal on Land (7:7E-7.12)
Dredge spoil disposal is the discharge of sediments, known as spoils removed during dredging operations. The criteria applicable to this policy govern Land and Water's Edge disposal only. The policies regulating dredge spoil disposal in Water Areas are found in N.J.A.C. 7:7E-4.11.

The dredge spoils will be disposed of at an approved upland disposal site authorized by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The Bureau of Monitoring Management has determined that the Dredge Spoil Analysis prepared by EMA laboratories do not indicate any contamination. The project is in compliance with this policy. (Please refer to page 29, Acceptability for Use (7:7E-4.11(g) Dredging, New) for a discussion regarding other compliance issues associated with dredge disposal).

## High Rise Structures (7:7E-7.14)

All high rise structures more than six stories or more than sixty feet from existing pre-construction ground level
to the mid-point of sloped roof or top of parapet wall on flat roofs. High rise structures are encouraged to locate in an area of existing high density, high-rise and/or intense settlements.

The proposed plant facility contains one structure defined as "high rise" within the waterfront development area. The coal storage enclosure is 80 feet tall and is located 380 feet from the mean high water line. The structure is oriented so that the longest lateral dimension is perpendicular to the Delaware River and it will not block any views of the waterfront currently enjoyed by the public. The Federal Aviation Administration has indicated that no structure in the plant is a hazard to navigation. The applicant has demonstrated compliance with this policy.

## SUBCHAPTER 8 - RESOURCE POLICIES

Water quality (7:7E-8.4)
As required by Section 307 (f) of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act, federal, state and local water quality requirements established under the clean Water Act shall be the. water resource standards of the coastal management program.

Coastal development which would violate the federal Clean water Act, or state laws, rules and regulations adopted pursuant thereto, is prohibited. In accordance with such rules as may be adopted by the Department concerning the Water quality Management Planning and Implementation process, coastal development that is inconsistent with an approved Water Quality Management (208) Plan under the New Jersey Water Quality Planning Act (N.J.S.A. 58:11A et seq.) is prohibited.

The Keystone Cogeneration Facility requires and has applied for the following permits or approvals that are applicable to this policy:

1. New Jersey Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NJDEPE):
2. Amendment to the Areawide Water Quality Mgm. Plan (NJDEPE) ;
3. Delaware River Basin Commission Approval;
4. Subaqueous Land and Coastal Zone Mgmt. (Delaware);
5. Section 10, Rivers and Harbors Act (ACOE);
6. Federal Water Pollution Control Act (ACOE).

The permittee, to demonstrate compliance with this policy and as a condition to this permit, must submit copies of all the above listed permits to the Element prior to conducting any work in the regulated Waterfront Area.

During the course of the application review the design of the project was modified to incorporate zero discharge to the Delaware River. However, there has not been any formal representation to the Department that this method has been adopted, nor have the plans been modified to reflect the change. Therefore, prior to any construction in the waterfront area, and as a condition to this permit, the permittee shall formerly notify the Department of it's intended design modification. In addition, the plans referenced below must be revised to remove all reference to any discharge structures.

1 - Coal Unloading Pier, Keystone Cogneration System Inc., Sections and Elevations, drawing 3 of 5, prepared by Alan L. Flinn, dated January 30, 1991 and last revised May 21, 1991.

2 - Coal Unloading Pier, Keystone Cogneration System Inc., Dredging Plan, drawing 4 of 5 , prepared by Alan L. Flinn, dated January 30, 1991, last revised May 24, 1991:

## Surface Water Use (7:7E-8.5)

Surface water is the water in lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, bogs, wetlands, bays and ocean that is visible on land. Coastal development shall demonstrate that the anticipated surface water demand of the facility will not exceed the capacity, including phased planned increases, of the local potable water supply system or reserve capacity and that construction of the facility will not cause unacceptable surface water disturbances, such as drawdown, bottom scour, or alteration of flow patterns.

The applicant has applied for a Water Allocation (operation) perfit from NJDEPE to divert a maximum of 223.2 million gallons of water during any month (mgm) at a maximum rate of 5,000 gallons per minute ( gpm ) from the Delaware River and from a low capacity groundwater well (2 gpm) to be screened in the Cape May formation. The surface water diversion is to be used as cooling and process water. The project also requires 3.8 Approval from the Delaware River Basin Commission (DRBC). That approval is contigent upon the applicant obtaining a NJPDES permit and the Water Allocation permit for withdrawal.

To demonstrate compliance with this policy, and as a condition to the permit, the permittee is not authorized to
begin construction within the Waterfront Area until the Water Allocation permit and the 3.8 Approval from the DRBC have been obtained and copies of those permits have been forwarded to eht Element.

Groundwater Use (7:7E-8.6)
Groundwater is all water within the soil and subsurface strata that is not at the surface of the land. It includes water that is within the earth that supplies wells and springs. Coastal development shall demonstrate, to the maximum extent practicable, that the anticipated groundwater withdrawal demand of the development will not cause salinity intrusions into the groundwaters of the zone, will not degrade groundwater quality, will not significantly lower water table or piezometric surface, or significantly decrease the base flow of adjacent water courses. Groundwatex withdrawals shall not exceed the aquifer's safe yield.

As previously stated, the permittee has applied for a Water Allocation permit for a low capacity groundwater well ( 2 gpm ) from the NJDEPE. The permittee must provide the Element with a copy of that permit to demonstrate compliance with this policy.

## Stormwater Runoff (7:7E-8.7)

Stormwater Runoff is flow on the surface of the ground, resulting from precipitation. Coastal development shall usé the best available technology to minimize off-site storm water runoff, increase on-site infiltration, simulate natural drainage systems, and minimize offsite discharge of pollutants to ground or surface water and encourage natural filtration functions. Best available technology may include measures such as retention basins, recharge trenches, porous paving and piping, contour terraces, and swales provided such techniques can be demonstrated to satisfy these policies. Provisions for elimination of curbs, reduction of roadway widths, and rooftop recharge basins are strongly encouraged.-

The project has been designed to incorporate drainage system that will discharge uncontaminated stormwater to the Delaware River via two (2) water quality basins. Stormwater that has contamination potential (coal pile runoff) will be routed through the wastewater treatment plant and reused in the operation of the power plant. Nearly all stormater runoff will pass through oil/water separating catch basins prior to entering the water quality detention basins. The project is in compliance with this policy.

Vegetation (7:7E-8.8)

Vegetation is the plant life or total plant cover that is found on a specific areas, whether indigenous or introduced by humans. Coastal development shall preserve, to the maximum extent practicable, existing vegetation within a development site. Coastal development shall plant new vegetation, particularly appropriate species, to the maximum extent practicable.

The permittee has provided a satisfactory landscaping plan for the waterfront area of this project and is in compliance with this policy.

Air Quality (7:7E-8.10)
The protection of air resources refers to the protection from air contaminants that injure human health, welfare or property, and to attainment and maintenance of State and Federal air quality goals and the prevention of deterioration of current levels of air quality. Coastal development shall conform to all applicable state and Federal regulations, standards and guidelines and be consistent with the strategies of New Jersey's state Implementation Plan (SIP).

The Department (Division of Environmental quality, Bureau of Air Quality Planning and Evaluation) issued a Federal Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permit and state Air Pollution Control permits on September 9, 1991. The project is in compliance with this policy.

Public Access to the Waterfront (7:7E-8.11)
Public access to the waterfront is the ability of all members of the community at large to pass physically and visually to, from and along the ocean shore and other waterfronts. Coastal development adjacent to all coastal water, including both natural and developed waterfront areas, shall provide perpendicular and linear access to the water front to the maximum extent practicable, including both visual and physical access. Development that limits public access and the diversity of waterfront experiences is discouraged.

The permittee has indicated that due to the nature of the facility it is not feasible to allow public access into the envelope of the site. The operation and safety plans of the proposed cogeneration facility cannot reasonably accommodate public access, restricted or otherwise, to the project location. However, the applicant has developed a plan that would allow the employees to access the waterfront via an observation deck. To demonstrate compliance with this policy, and as a condition to the permit, the permittee shall complete the observation platform prior to the plant becoming operational.
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## Buffers and Compatibility of Uses (7:7E-8.13)

Buffers are natural or man made areas, structures, or objects that serve to separate distinct uses or areas. Compatibility of uses is the ability for uses to exist together without aesthetic or functional conflicts.

Development shall be compatible with adjacent land and water uses to the maximum extent practicable. Development that is likely to adversely affect adjacent areas, particularly Special Areas (N.J.A.C. 7:7E-3.1 through 7:7E3.48) or residential or recreational uses, is prohibited unless the impact is mitigated by an adequate buffer. The purpose, width and type of the required buffer shall vary depending upon the type and degree of impact and the type of adjacent area to be affected by the development, and shall be determined on a case-by-case basis.

The facility is located on a former dredge spoid disposal area that is zoned industrial and adjacent to the Monsanto Chemical Company. The KCP will have a 2,100 foot set back from Route 130 and will be partially obstructed by existing hedgerows and proposed plantings.

Existing forested wetlands adjacent to the Delaware River will not be disturbed except for clearing in the area of the approachway pier and installation of the outfall structures. The project will maintain at least a 50 foot set back from all freshwater wetland areas.

The proposed pier and associated barge traffic will not adversely affect the present commercial or recreational uses of the Delaware River as this section of the river is already heavily industrialized.

The permittee has demonstrated through compliance with other policies that the KCP will not adversely affect adjacent areas, particularly Special Areas. The facility is designed to maintain adequate buffers and in some areas (uplands adjacent to the Delware River) additional vegetative plantings are proposed. The permittee has demonstrated compliance with this policy.

VI CONCLUSION
Based on information provided by the applicant, the preceding analysis, and the conditions expressed herein, the Adminstrator is able to make the positive findings required by the Waterfront Development Law as embodied by the Rules on Coastal Zone Management. This project also satisfies the requirements of the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act, the Wetlands Act of 1970 and the Flood Hazard Area Control Act. The permit is expressly contigent upon compliance with all
permit conditions and failure to comply with any or all of the permit conditions may result in appropriate enforcement actions, or suspension or revocation of the permit.

This permit includes conditions to ensure compliance with the following policies. Intertidal and subtidal Shallows (7:7E-3.16), Wetlands (7:7E-3.27), Historic and Archaeological Resources (7:7E-3.36), Endangered or Threatened Wildife or Veegetation Species Habitats (7:7E3.38), Acceptability Conditions for Uses (7:7E-4.11), Dredge Spoil Disposal on Land (7:7E-7.11), Water Quality (7:7E-8.4) and Public Access to the Waterfront (7:7E-8.11).

## VII. Administrative Conditions

The following conditions shall be met in addition to the standard conditions found in the Coastal Permit Program Rules, dated May 7, 1989 (N.J.A.C. 7:7), the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act Rules as amended to July 17, 1989 (N.J.A.C. 7:7A) and the Flood Hazard Area Regulations (N.J.A.C. 7:13-1.1).

This permit is issued subject to and provided the following conditions can be met to the satisfaction of the Land Use Regulation Element. All conditions must be met prior to construction unless otherwise specified. Compliance with all Administrative Conditions shall be determined once copies of all specified permits, certifications, plans agreements, etc. have been approved by the Land Use Regulation Element. All Physical Conditions are subject to on-site compliance inspection by the Bureau of Enforcement, PO Box 188, Duerer Road, Pomona, NJ 08240, in writing at least 5 days prior to commencement or site preparation.

## ADMINIBTRATIVE

1. The permittee is required to mitigate for the loss of .139 acres of intertidal area at a ratio of one to one. Prior to construction the permittee must submit a mitigation plan to the $\mathrm{Fl} \mathrm{em}^{2}$ for for fow and approval pursuant to section 7:7A-14.4 of the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act.
2. The permittee is required to mitigate for the filling and disturbance of 1.6 acres of freshwater wetlands at a ratio of $2: 1$ (gained:destroyed). Prior to construction, the permittee must submit to the Element, for review and approval, a wetlands mitigation plan developed pursuant to section 7:7A-14.4 of the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act Rules.
3. Prior to the start of any construction in the waterfront area, the applicant will forward the results of the phase 1 Archaeological survey to the Element for review and comment. Depending on the conclusions of that survey, the Element may
require the permittee to conduct additional analysis and incorporate mitigative measures as directed.
4. Prior to conducting any dredging the permittee shall provide the Department with a copy of the referenced ACOE dredge disposal permit. The permittee will also submit to the Department the anticipated dredge schedule, noting how that schedule relates to the status of the dredge permit.
5. Prior to construction the permittee must submit copies of all the permits or approvals listed below to the Element.
a. New Jersey Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NJDEPE) ;
b. Amendment to the Areawide Water Quality Mgm. Plan (NJDEPE):
c. Delaware River Basin Commission Approval;
d. Subaqueous Land and Coastal Zone Mgrt. (Delaware):
6. The permittee shall formally notify the Department of it's intended design modification to incorporate zero discharge. In addition, the plans referenced below must be revised to remove all reference to discharge structures;

1 - Coal Unloading Piex, Keystone Cogeneration System Inc., Sections and Elevations, drawing 3 of 5, prepared by Alan L. Flinn, dated January 30, 1991 and last revised May 21, 1991.

2 - Coal Unloading Pier, Keystone Cogneration System Inc., Dredging Plan, drawing 4 of 5 , prepared by Alan L. Flinn, dated January 30, 1991, last revised May 24, 1991;
7. The applicant must deliver a copy of a water Allocation permit to the Element prior to the start of construction.

## PHY8ICAL

1. The permittee shall complete the observation platform prior to the plant becoming operational.
2. This permit prohibits bucket dredging between the dates of March 15 and November 30 and hydraulic dredging between the dates of April 15 and June 30.
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STATE OF NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (See Issuing Division below)

## PERMIT*

The New Jersey Departurent of Environmental Proleccion grants this permit in accordance with your application, athachmenss accompanying same application, and applicable laws and regulations. This permit is also subject to the furthen conditions and stipulations enumerated in the supporting docurnents which are agreed to by the permittce upon acceptance of the permit


Type of Pchinream Encroachment, Individual Freshwater Wetlands, Wetlands Type $A$, Waterfront Development, Water Quality Certificate
This pennalt grants permission to:

1. Under Flood Hazard Area Control Act (58:16A-50): establish strean encroachment lines; construct a pier and coal conveyor to perforn associated dredging in the Delaware River and also to construct two stormater outfall structures, two roadway culverts an access roadway, a steam pipeline and trestle in the flood plain of the Delaware River at a location approximately 3500 feat westerly of the Intersection of Route 130 and Center Square Road within Lot No. 2 of Block No. 1 in Logan Township, Gloucester County. New Jersey;
2. Haterfront Dovolopझent and Fatiands Typo A permitg (12:5-3) (13:9R-1): This permit grants permission to construct a pulverized coal-fired cogeneration power plant consisting of one boiler; a streato turbine, material handing system, pollution, stormwater, wastewater and sewage treatment control systems and a pile supported coal handiing barge facility to receive the coal. The barge facility will consist of a 1600 foot long by 22.5 foot wide approachway pier and a 110 foot long by 70 foot wide deck platform supporting a fixed clamshell coal unloader, 2 water intake structures, 2 barge hauling dolphins and 6 breasting dolphins connected by a 900 foot long by 4 foot wide walkway. Approximately 40,000 cubic yards of dredge material will be removed from a 910 foot by 150 foot barge berth and disposed of at a dredge spoil site owned and operated by Amerfcan Dredging in Logan Township,


[^3](General Conditions are on the Reverse Sidel
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3. Individual Prashwater Wetlands Poxpit (NJSA 13:9B-1 et.seq.): This permit grants permission to fill 1.22 acres of freshwater wetlands for the purpose of widening an access road. It also authorizes the permittee to fill 0.08 acres of wetlands to install two stormater outfall structures and to disturbance 0.31 acres for the construction of the approachway pier and utility lines. The ! total wetiand disturbance authorized by this permit is 1.61 acres, 1.3 acres of filling and 0.31 acres of clearing.

The Waterfront Development permit included in this approval is authorized under and in compliance. With the Rules on coastal zone Management Policies for: (7:7E-3.4) Prime Fishing Areas, (7:7E-3.5) Finfish Migratory Pathways, (7:7E-3.7). Navigation Channels, (7:7E-3.12) Submerged Infrastructure Routes, (7:7E-3.15) Intertidal and Subtidal Shallows, (7:7E-3.23) Filled Water's Edge, (7:7E-3.25) Flood Hazard Areas, (7:E-3.27) Wetlands, (7:7E-3.28) Netlands Buffer, (7:7E-3.36) Historic and Archaeological Resources, (7:7E-3.38) Endangered or Threatened Wildlife or Vegetation Species Habitat, (7:7E-4.7) Large Rivers; (7:7E-4.11) Acceptability Conditions for Use (g) New Dredging, (7:7E-7.4) Energy Use Policies, (7:7E-7.13) Dredge Spoil Disposal on Land, (7:7E-8.4) Water Quality, (7:7E-8.5) Surface Water Use, (7:7E-8.7) Stormwater Runoff, (7:7E-8.8) Vegetation, (7:7E-8.10) Air Quality, (7:7E-8.11) Public Access to the Waterfront, (7:7E-8.13) Buffers and Compatibility of Uses.



## THIS PERMIT IE 8OEJECT TO THE POLLOWING TERMS KND COHDITIONB:

1. Any construction, grading, removal of vegetation, or other disturbance at this project site, within or affecting any regulated flood plain other than specifically approved by this perait or detailed on the approved drawings shall require additional approvals from the Land Use Regulation Element.
2. All construction and/or activities approved by this permit shall be performed under the supervision and direction of a Professional Engineer licensed in the State of New Jersey. Furthermore, all construction and/or activities on site shall be subject to inspection at any time by representatives of the Department.
3. Responsibilities of Applicant
a. The granting of this permit does not in any way relieve the applicant and/or its. designated agents fron the responsibility for damages caused by any construction or activities hereby approved; nor does the Department accept responsibility for the structural design.
b. This permit is NOT VALID until such time as the permit acceptance form has been signed by the applicant, accepting and agreeing to adhere to all permit conditions, and returned to the appropriate regional office within the Element. Furthermore, the Deparment reserves the right to declare this permit NULL and VOID should it be determined that adequate measures had not been taken by the applicant and/or its designated agents to ensure that all terms and conditions of this permit would be fulfilled or provided for at all times.
c. This permit, including all conditions listed herein, shall be recorded in the office of the County cllert (the Registrar of Deeds and Mortgages if applicable) in the county or counties wherein the lands included in the permit are located within ten (10) days after receipt of the permit by the applicant. Verified notice of this action shall be forwarded to the Land Use Regulation Element immediately thereafter.
d. A construction notice on a prescribed form shall be prepared by the applicant or its designated agent and submitted to the Land Use Regulation Element fourteen (14) days prior to the commencement of the regulated activities.

TERYS RND COMDITIONB (Continued)
e. A completion report on a prescribed form shall be prepared by a Professional Engineer licensed in the state of New Jersey and submitted to the Land Regulation Element within thirty (30) days after completion of the regulated activities.
4. All activities authorized by this permit shall be complete within five years of the issuance date; otherwise, this permit, if not previously revoked, shall automatically become null and void, and none of the activities referenced herein shall be commenced or continued until such time as this permit has been reinstated or until a new application has been submitted to and approved by the Department.
5. Erosion Control. and Maintenance of Stream corridor
a. Adequate precautions shall be taken to prevent and/or minimize the discharge of sediments into all streams within or adjacent to the project area. The Department reserves the right to order the suspension of the proposed activity if.unacceptable levels of turbidity result from the same.
b. All fill and other earth work on the lands encompassed within this permit shall be stabilized in accordance with "standards for Soil Erosion and Sediment Control in New Jersey" (obtainable from local Soil Conservation District offices), or equal engineering specifications, to prevent eroded soil from entering adjacent waterways at any time during and subsequent to construction.
c. Where any portion of the stream corridor is altered in the course of the construction of the herein approved activities, all affected areas shall be stabilized in such a manner as to prevent erosion and the subsequent silting of the subject strean channel. Furthermore, the applicant shall maintain the stream corridor as shown on the approved drawings(\#) for either such time as is required for the channel and/or banks
d. During the course of construction, neither the applicant nor its designated agents shall cause or permit any unreasonable interference with the free discharge of the stream by the placing or dumping of any materials, equipment, debris or structure in or about the streaw corridor. Upon completion or abandonment of the work, the applicant andfor its agents shall remove and dispose of in a lawful manner all excess materials, equipment, and debris from the stream corridor and adjacent lands.
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6. Preseryation of Stream Corrider - All those lands lying between the centerline of the stream and the lines labelled Stream Encroachment Lines, as shown on the approved drawings shall be reserved for the passage of flood waters and for the preservation of near stream vegetation and wildiife. No construction, grading, removal of vegetation, or other disturbance shall be permitted within this area unless specifically approved by this permit or detailed on the approved drawings.
7. The proposed water quality measures must be adequately maintained in proper working condition at all times. The applicant must make specific arrangements to insure continuous compliance with this condition.
8. All excavated material found unsuitable as backfill and/or all dredged spoils shall be removed from the project sita and disposed of outside any Flood Hazard Area, wetland, wetland transition area or open water in such a manner that it does not interfere with positive drainage of the receiving area.
9. Any activity within or adjacent to the stream channel which may introduce sediment to the stream or cause the stream to become turbid is prohibited during the period of April 1 to June 30 and September 1 to November 30 in order to protect the migratory fishery resource.
10. ADMINISTRATIVE: Waterfront and Individual Freshwater Wetlands
a. Prior to construction the permittee must submit to the Element for review and approval a mitigation plan to compensate for the loss of the . 139 acres of intertidal area. Mitigation must be completed in accordance with the approved plans.
b. Prior to construction the permittee must submit to the Element for review and approval a wetlands mitigation plan developed pursuant to section 7:7A-14.4 of the Freshwater Hetlands Protection Act Rules, to compensate for the filling and disturbance to 1.6 acres of freshwater wetlands. Mitigation must be completed in accordance with the approved plan.
c. Prior to the start of any construction in the waterfront area, the applicant will forward the results of the Phase 1 Archaeological survey to the Element for review and comment. Depending on the conclusions of that survey, the Element may require the pernittee to conduct additional analysis and incorporate mitigative measures as directed.
d. Prior to conducting any dredging the permittee shall provide the Department with a copy of the referenced ACOE dredge disposal permit. The permittee will also submit to the Department the anticipated dredge schedule, noting how that schedule relates to the status of the dredge permit.

PERHITS NO. 0809-91-0010.

## THPMS RND CONDITIONS (Continued)

e. Prior to construction the permittee must submit copies of all the permits or approvals listed below to the Element.

1. New Jersey Pollution Discharge Elimination system (NJDEPE);
2. Amendment to the Areawide Water Quality Mgm. Plan (NJOEPE):
3. Delaware River Basin Comission Approval:
4. Subaqueous Land and Coastal Zone Mgnt. (Delaware);
f. The permittee shall formerly notify the Department of it's intended design modification to incorporate zero discharge. In addition, the plans referenced below must be revised to remove all reference to discharge structures;

1 - Coal Unloading pler, Keystone Cogneration System Inc.. Sections and Elevations, drawing 3 of 5 , prepared by Alan L. Flinn, dated January 30, 1991 and last revised May 21, 1991.

2 - Coal Unloading Pier. Keystone Cogneration System Inc., Dredging plan, drawing 4 of 5 , prepared by Alan L. Flinn, dated January 30, 1991, last revised May 24, 1991;
g. The applicant must deliver a copy of a Water Allocation permit to the Element prior to the start of construction.
11. PHYBICAL: Watexfront and Individual Freshwater Wetlands
a. The permittee shall complete the observation platform prior to the plant becoming operational.
b. This permit prohibits bucket dredging between the dates of March 15 and November 30 and hydraulic dredging between the dates of April 15 and June 30.
12. The drawings hereby approved are seven (7) sheets prepared by Bechtel, entitled:
"KEYSTONE COGENERATION SYSTEMS, INC. KEYSTONE COGENERATION PROJECT LOGAN TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY",
"FINISH GRADING PLAN", drawing no.COLOLO1, dated April 20, 1990, last revised August 7, 1991
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## TBREB AND COMDITIOAS (Continued)

"ROUGH GRADING PLAN EAST OF PIANT", drawing no. COYOlO3, dated February 2, 1991,1ast revised July 29, 1991
"ROUGH GRADING DETAILS SHEET NO. 1", drawing no. COY0191, dated April 20, 1990, last revised June 28, 1991,
"ROUGH GRADING DETAILS SHEET NO. 2", drawing no. CoY0192, dated June 8, 1990, last revised July 30, 1991,
"EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN", drawing no. COAOOO3, dated June 8, 1990, last revised July 29, 199.1,
"KEYSTONE COGENERATION PROJECT"
"CONSTRUCTION FACILITIES PLAN", drawing no. COA0105, dated March 12, 1990, last revised July 29, 1991,
"DETENTION/SEDIMENT BASIN CROSS SECTIONS", drawing no. COL0192, dated June 8, 1990, last revised August 7, 1991,
and four (4) sheets prepared by S.T. Hudson Engineers, Inc., entitled:
"COAL UNLOADING PIER LOGAN TOWNSHIP NEW JERSEY AND BRANDYWINE HUNDRED DELAWARE COUNTY OF: GLOUCESTER, NEW JERSEY AND NEW CASTLE, DELAWARE"
"RIPARTAN PLAN", drawing no. 2 of 5, dated January 30, 1991, last revised May 20, 1991,
"SECTIONS AND ELEVATION", drawing no. 3 of 5, dated January 30, 1991, last revised May 21, 1991,
"DREDGING PLAN", drawing no. 4 of 5 , dated January 30, 1991, last revised May 24, 1991,
"SECTIONS", drawing no. 5 of 5 , dated January 30,1991 , unrevised.


Ruth Ehinger, Manager Bureau of Coastal Regulation
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Subaqueoun Landa Leqse: SL,-0907/91
Date of Issuance: $9 / 130 / 91$ Conntruction Explration Date Amended Date:

SUAAgUEOUE LMDE TEASE


 DGLANARE EIVEI AT LOANM TOHREWIP, GLOUCESESK COANTTI, MEM JEREEY, KOUTE 130, LOT MO. 2, BLOCK WO. 1 NHD


Reystone Cogeneration syutema, Inc.
901 Market street, Sulte 721
Nilmington, DE 19801
Purbuant to the provielona of 7 Del . C. 57203 , and the Department'a Regylationg Governing the Uge of subacyeoup Lande, permivalion is hereby granted on this
 to construct a cor $\boldsymbol{c}$ untoming pier consiating of the tollowingi main pier 22 faet by 450 feet, loading platiorm 70 feet by 105 feet, corner of loading platform approachway 10 teat by 10 feat, four (4) walkways feet by 109 feet, two (2) walkways feat by foet, ont (1) welkway feet by 105 faet, one (1) walkwy 4 feet by 100 feet, 1 ( 16 ) breasting dolphing 16 feat by 16 feet, two (2) mooring dolphina 25 feet by 25 teot for a total of 22,732 muare feat and to dredge 40,000 cublc yardir of material from the Delaware Rivar to creato 910 feet by 150 feet baxge berth in accordance with plang and rolared documenta aubmitted by the applicant titied and dated an followaz

Coal Unioading Pier: 1/30/91; reviated 5/30/91, wheeta 1 thry 9 of 11
Coal Unloading piar: 5/22/91; obeet 10 and 11 of 11
Drawing h-1 Orerhead View of Earge Unloading Facility: 7/10/91
Drawing A-2 side View of Earge Onloading Facillty, 7/10/91
Drawing A-3 Front View of Clambell Barge Unloader Eucket: 7/20/91
Drawing A-4 slde View of Clamahell Barge Unloader Bucket: 7/10/91
Drawing A-5 Detailed Vimw of sealed-Tipk of Clamehell Barge Onloter Bucket: 7/10/91
Drawing A-s side View of Barge Unloading Facility and Unloading Conveyor:
7/10/91
Drawing A-7 Croes section - Unloading Conveyor: 5/30/91
Spill Prevention, Control and Countermeaturea Plani 1/91
Safety Plan: 1/37/94
Section $316(a)$ and (b) of the rideral Heter Pollution Control Act, undated
and an application deted $3 / 4 / 91$.
Delaware'd sood nature debends on nour'

WHEREAS, the state of Delaware if the owner of ungranted gubaqueous landa lying beneath the watere of the Delawara River; and
whereas, keyetone cogeneration syeteme Inc., owner of certain adjoining landa to the Delaware Rivir has applied for pormiseion to congtruct an indugtrial pier and to conduct dredgingy and

Whereas, the state of Dalaware, by and through the Department of Matural Remources and Invironmental Control, cartifief that the permitted activity will b concucted in maner which will not violate the applicable water quality gtandards of the state of Delawares and

HHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of 7 Reh_e., 57203 , the secretary of the Department of Metural Raspuroen and Environmental Control through hif duly authorized reprementative ilide that it is not conerary to the public intereat if this project is approved eubject to the terme and conditions herain set forth.

This approval in in accordance with plang and application mubalteded to Department of Natural fesources and Environmental Control, a copy of which if attached hereto and made a part hereof.

This leage shall be continued for a period of ton (10) years or so long as the conditions attached to the lease are adhered to, whichever is the ahorter in time. Upon the expiration of the ten-year terw, thia lease ohall expire and becone null and void, unlens prior theseto the leseet hall have applied for and recieived a reneval of this laage. A renewal may be denied if the sate determines that the lease is no longer in the public intareat.

THIS lease is ispued mubjact to the following conditionsa

## EPECIAL COMDIsIONE

1. The conditiong contained hereln shall be included in the conetruction contract and other ancillary docuppnts amociated with earth diaturbance and construction activitian which may impect abequeons lande adeoclated with this project.
2. The conatruction schedule for this projeot shall be arranged so as to minimise the impact on madromous fish paesage. There mhall be no dredgling between March 1 and May 31 and betwean Sopt maber 1 through November 30.
3. Congtruction ghall be conducted so as not to violete the state of Delamare Department of Natural Resources and environmental Control, "Surface Water quality standarde" dated February 2, 1990.
4. The etructure on mbaqueous lands whall be for the explicit purpose of transferring coal an etated in Appendix $A$ of the application.
5. All dredging and filling is to be conducted in a manner coneletent with sound conservation and water pollution practicee. spoile and Fill frak are to be properly diked ao an to contain the dredged materind and prevent itw entrance into any muface water.
6. All pipelinee shall the kept in good condition at all timen and any laak or braake shall be promptly and properly ropaired.
7. During the ters of this leae (the lepsee) agreas to pey the State of Delaware the sur of $\$ 39,781$ par annum for the 22,732 square feet of public subagupous lande utilized comencing on the 18t day of Octobar of 1991, and a paywent of the mame amount on the same day of each and vory yoar thereafter for the term of this leare. Thie foe ohall remain in offect for mexiod of five yoara at which time the fae shall be amended in accordance with the duly adopter fae schadule for pablic aubaqueous lands.
B. The fee for the dredging whall be $540,000,00$ for the 40,000 cubic yarda of public subaqueoun lande to be dredged. This fee shall be puyable by the lelege on the 1at day of October, 1991.
8. The diacharge of any pollutant Lnto the Delaware Rivar ehall be reported immediately to the Departinent of Natural Regources and Enviromental Control in accordance with 7 pel. . . $^{\text {S }} 6028$.
9. There ghall be mitigation for the 0.8 soras of ohallow water impacted by dredging. "Themitigation ahall be in accordance with section VII.C. of thï subaqueaus Lande Application. Reports prepared by a qualifiod envirommental firm with experience in wetland ereation projecte ohall be subaitted at the beginning and end of each growing ceamon (May - Jun and Augut - 6 optomber). Konitoring shall be conducted for two tull growing seasona. If thers has not been 8os coverage of eaprgent wethand senes and cos survival of woody peciem titer two full growing esemonm monitoring shall continue until these percentages have been achieved. All reporte thall be mbmitted to the Wetlands and Aquatic Protection Branch of the Divimion of Mater Repources.
10. A copy of the Eimil sifll Prevention, control and Countermeasures Plan whall be aubmitted to the wetlands and Aquatic Protection Branch of the División of Water Resourcee Prior to January 1 , 1992.
11. A report outlining a ono-ypar tudy to be concucted to determine the number of etriped" base eggs and larvae entraines during the operation of the water intake ahall be subeitted by January 1 , 1992. The retulte of the etudy chall be submitted no later than three monthe after the plant has beth in operation for one year. Mitlgation shall be required if it is determined that there in damige to the etripedpass population.

## OENEAY COHDITIONS

1. The project is to bo undertaken in aecordanee with the plans gubmitted and attached hereto. If changes are necesaary, revised plans mumt be mubittod and a supplemental approval lesued prior to ectual conetruction.
2. Representativem of the Department of Natural Regources and EnviFommental Control may ineptet such work during any phages of the conptruction and may collect any famples or conduct any teats that ace demed neceresary.
3. This leape does not cover the structural gtability of the project unlta.
4. Friox to the explation of this laase, the lesege shall reprove all mtructures covered under thls lease uniess the leage has been Fenewed in mccordance with its terms.
5. Hny actions, opectations or lastallations whith are consjderad by the Dapartment to be contrary to the boot interesti of the public shall constituth reason tor the discontinuance and/or removal of eaid action, operation or inatallation.
6. The langee shall notify the Department of Watural Resources and Envifonmental Contzol within ten (10) dayn of the date work will be commenced.
7. The lessee shall malntain any structure on public aubaquaous lands in good and mafe condition and will protect and gave the state of Delaware harmiess from any loss, cost or damage by reason of said structurea.
B. The lesgee shall at all tives comply with meh rules and regulations which are promslgeted by the United statet corpe of Engineera.
8. Tho isauance of this lease doen not lmply approval of any other part, phase, of portion of any overall project the leseen may be contompleting.
9. Thls Leat shall not be conatruad to grant or confax any fight, title, easement, or interest in, to, or ovor any land belonging to she state of Delaware other than thac of a tenant.
10. This lease is subject to the terms and conditions contained in any fasement, license or leane that may have been grantad by the state or any political aubdivision, board, conuission or agency of the state in the vicinity of the leased premises.
11. Hothing containud hetein mall in any manner atfect the righte now exleting of any riparian land onmer undex the lawe of the state of Dulaware.
12. This lease and authorisation ase granted for the purposer at atited hareln. Hny other um without prior approval shall conetitute raamon for this laam being revokad.
13. Thin lease shall explut if the project has not been complated within three (3) yearg from the date of iamuance.
14. If the lapmet considers thre (3) yoara ingufiticient for completion of the project, the leasoc may mubmit a projact titit schedule for consideration by the pepertment. If tht time schedule in approved it shall be attachod hereto and made a part heraof.
15. The legsee mall remove all ntructuren prior to the male of the property unleme the purchamer or anller of the property mhali have notilied the state of the alal of the property.
16. The lesmee mhall at all timee comply with all mpplicable Iaw and regulations of the Departmont of Natural ragources and Environamenal controle.
17. The lequance of thie lease doee not constitute approval for any of the activities as may be requized by any other local, atate of federal goveramental legency.
18. This loase will De revoked upon violation of any of the above conditione.

20, Applictetion for renewlel muth be mubmitted mix (6) months prioz to the expiration data of this leate.


SWORN and mubscribed before op
this ISth day of veptemener
2991.


Notary public

IN withes whereof, 1 , Edwin h. Clark, II, secretary, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental control, have hereunto set my hand and meal this $30 . \mathrm{ch}$ d nay of deptomahen


## BASIC APPLICATION FORM

## ATTACH ADDITIONAL $812^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ SHEETS OF PAPER AS NEEDED

Applicaion Number.
(To be assigned by agencies)

1 XXMedrankX (Property Owner) Name
and complete address:
Sun Refining \& Marketing Co. Wort ( ): 215-977-3051
1801 Market Street
Philadelohia, PA 19103
Telephone Number
Home ( ):
$\qquad$

## ATTACHMENT A

IIST OF REOUIRED APPROVALS OR CERTIFICATIONS
I. State of Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control
A. Application for a Coastal zone Permit
B. Application Subaqueous Lands Permit
II. U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
A. Approval under Section 10 of the River and Harbors Act of 1899
B. Approval under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act
III. New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection
A. Division of Coastal Resources

1. Waterfront Development Permit
2. Stream Encroachment Permit
3. Wetlands Type A Permit
4. Freshwater Wetland Permit
5. Water Quality certificate
B. Division of Water Resources
6. Divert Surface Waters Permit
7. Well Drilling Permit
8. New Jersey Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NJPDES) Permit
C. Division of Solid Waste
9. Solid Waste Permit (Solid Waste Facility Reg.)

A - 1
D. Division of Air Quality

1. Air Quality Permit (PSD Permit)
E. Gloucester County Soil Conseryation District
2. Soil Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
IV. Logan Township, New Jersey
A. Site Plan Review

A - 2
10. Current land use is:

11. List the name and complete address of each adjacent property owner to the project. For wetlands and marina projects, include each waterfront property owner across the waterway from the project, within a 1,000 fee: radus. (Arach sheets as needed)

```
Monsanto Company Sun Refining & Marketing Co. Joseph E Eleanor Borrelli
800 N. Linbirg Blva. }1801\mathrm{ Market Street P.O. Box 169. RD N2
```


12. Will any public benefit be derived from the project?
x Yes No Uncerain
If yes, explain below: The plant will generate 200 Mw of electrical output which
will be sold to Atlantic Electric Company. The steam Elow of 35,000 lbs. per
hour and electricicy will be sold to Monsanto Corporation which is the steam
host for the qualified cogeneration facility.
13. Has any work commenced or has any porion of the project for which you are seebing a permit been completed?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
If yes, give details below. State when work was completed and who performed the work. Please indicate on amached drawings what is proposed.
14. Proposed staring date: Unknown at present time.
15. Contractor's name
and complere address:_y/a_______
and complere address: $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$

Telephone Number.
Home ( ): $\qquad$
Work ( ): $\qquad$

Please complete and attach all appropriate drawing and appendices to this section.

## APPLICANT SIGNATURE AND/OR AGENT AUTHORIZATION


#### Abstract

- All applicants must sign this page. Please complete the Agent Authorization only if applicable.


- If this authorization form is subaired with the application, all fume correspondence may be signed by the duly authorized agent.
I. Keystone Cogeneration Systems, Inc. hereby designate and authorize Richard V. Ciliberti to act on my behalf in the processing of this applica. ion and to furnish any information that is requested

I certify that the information on this form and the amached plans is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

I understand that DNREC may request information in addition to that set forth herein as may be deemed appropriate in considering this application.

I grant permission to the authorized agency representaive(s) to enter upon the premises for inspection purposes during working hours.

I will abide by the conditions of this approval if issued.
I also certify that the proposed works are not inconsistent with Delaware's Coastal Zone Management Plan.


Applicant Name (Printed/Typed) Keystone Cogeneration Systems, Inc.


Agent Name (Printed/Typed) Richard V. Ciliberti

## APPENDDX A BOAT DOCKING FACILITIES

- Any boat docking facility for more than four (4) slips or which is defined as a marina facility (see definitions and explanazions section) must complete Appendices $N$ and $O$, and must apply to the U.S. Amy Corps of Engineer's for approval.
* Please make sure answers to all of the questions in this appendix correspond to information on the application drawings.

1. What type of project do you propose? (Arach additional sheets as necessary)

See definizion section of Joint Application Form Reference Guide for clarificaion of "Dock" and "Pier." Please give total numbers of:
$\qquad$ Dock(s) Dimensions (Channeiward of mean high water line) ___fi. by ____f.
Dimensions (Channelward of mean low water line) ___fr by ___rit
$x \quad$ Pier(s) Dimensions (Channelward of mean high water line) $\qquad$ fth by $\qquad$ f Dimensions (Channeiward of mean low water line) $\qquad$ ft by $\qquad$ fr See At:achment 3
$\qquad$ Finger Pier(s): Dimensions (Channelward of mean high water line) ____fr by $\qquad$ fil Dimensions (Channelward of mean low water line) ___ft by $\qquad$ fit
$\qquad$ Buoy: What will be used for anchor(s) $\qquad$ type of device $\qquad$ weight
$\qquad$ Dry stack facilities: Total Dimensions $\qquad$ Number of boat storage spaces $\qquad$
$\qquad$ Other. Total Dimensions (Channelward of mean high water line) $\qquad$ A. by $\qquad$ fit
__Mooring 6_Dolphin Piling ___Other (explain):
2. Approximately how wide is the waterway al the project site? $5,000 \mathrm{ft}$
3. What will be the mean low whter depth at the most chnanelward end of the mocring facility? 25 fz
4. What type of material(s) will be used for construction of the mooring facility (es. salt treated wood, creosote pilings, Fiberglas flouks, etc.)?
Reinforced conczete deck and steel pipe piles.

## ATTACHMENT B

The proposed coal handling barge facilities will consist of a 1600' long by 22'-6" wide approachway, a $130^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{X} 75^{\prime}$ platform, four (4) 60\% mono-pile breasting dolphins and two (2) 20' X $20^{\prime \prime}$ mooring dolphins. The mooring and breasting dolphins will be connected by a 3' wide pile supported walkway.

The pier facility will extend approximately $1550^{\prime}$ from the mean high water line and approximately $550^{\prime}$ from the mean low water line.

## APPENDIX $F$ INTAKE OR OUTFALL STRUCTURES

*The appropriate Dredging and Fill appendices should also be completed if applicable.

- Please make sure answers to all of the questions in this appendix correspond to information on the application drawings.

1. How many feet will the intake or oufall soructure(s) be placed channelward of the:
A. idal waters:
meas high water line? 1550 ft
mean low water line? 550 ft
B. non-idal waters: ordinary high water line? $\qquad$ fi.
2. What rype of material(s) will be used to construct the intake or oufall sorverure(s)?
3. What is the approximate median stream flow rate at the:
A. intake site 11.320 cfs
B. ouffall site 11,320 cfs
(df this informarion is unknown check here $\qquad$
4. What will be the daily rate of withdrawal at the inate site? 7.2 mgd
5. What will be the inake velociry? 2.5 fps
6. What will be the mesh size of the screen used on the inuike stucture?

1 nrixgtacor $\qquad$ ocher (explain)
7. What will be the daily rate of renra ar the ouffall site? _ 3 ___gd
8. Have you applied for the Naiona Pollutant Discharge Eliminaion System (APDES) permit for this project? $X$ Yes _No nJpdes If your answer is "No", contact the Pollution Control Branch, DNREC.
9. Will a splash apron be employed at the ouffll site? $\qquad$ Yes x No
If your answer is "Yes" complete Appendix 1
If your answer is "No'", explain your proposed method of prevenaing bank erosion.
Outfall is sited approximazely 1550 feet outshore of the mean high water
:ine; therefore, no erosion con zrol is necessary.

## APPENDDX S NEW DREDGING PROJECTS

- Please make sure that answers to all of the questions in this appendix correspond to the information on the application drawings.
* See Joint Application Form Reference Guide - "How wo Calculate Square Feen, Cubic Feet and Cubic Yards."


## 1. CLASSIFICATION OF CREEK TO BE DREDGED

How is the creek classified acconding to the State dredging program's classification system? Is it open to dredging, open to dredging but requiring further study, or restricted due to envirommenal sensitiviry? See example "Classification System" on page 54 of this applicarion. For further explanarion, refer to Section 2.0 of the "Goals and Objectives - Creek Evaluarion Dredging Criteria'" dated April. 1986.
open to टredging.
Step One: If the creek to be dredged is "restricted", an applicarion cannor be socepted
Step Two: If the creek is "open" 10 dredging, the applicable pars of this application mast be completed.
Step Taree: If the creek is "open" to dredging but requiring further analysis, submit informarion requested as part of procedure outlined on page 4 and further explained in Section 2.4 of the Dredging Swdy.

## 2. SIIE LOCATION OF DREDGING PROJECT

Locate the project site with respect to the county, creck, mibutary (enclose 8-1/2" $\times 11^{\prime \prime}$ map). Locatea approximatley 1550 feet outshore of the mean high water line in the Delaware River, at ziver mile 78.8 in New Castle County, Delaware.
3. DESCRIPTION OF DREDGING PROJECT

Describe the proposed project including the equipment to be used, quantity of murerial to be dredged, extear of area to be dredged, place and method of disposal, etc. Detril is impormen

## 4. PURPOSE OF PROPOSED DREDGING PROJECT

Define the purpose and need of the proposed dredging project. Who will benefir?

```
Fo accomorate a 10,000 fon coal jarge, the berth must be dredged to 25"
below nean low watez. The barge jerth provides the power plant with an
uninterrcpted supply of coal to be used for the generatior of steam and
elecz=:city.
```

Submit color photos of the site and bordering upland with explanaion of the views shown (prints only).

## Appeatir S, conter yed

## 10. CHARACIERIZE THE EXISTING WATER QUALTTY

a. Determine the classification of the stream according to state water quality criterin. Will the dredging project cause violations of the water quality criteria? Will designated water uses be affected?
Open to dredging. All State water quality standards will be complied with. No water uses will be affected.
b. Derermine levels of dissolved oxygen (D.O.) in and around the project area Measure D.O. at the water/substrate interface during worst case condirions (i.e., summer morning).
0.0 . values seldom fall below $4.0 \mathrm{mg} / 1$. Mean measurements ranged from 10.0
to $5.6 \mathrm{mg} / 1$, respectively, during the April through July, 1990 period (Biosystems Analysis. Inc.. 1090).
11. DMPACT TO THE BOTTOM CONTOURS OF THE BAY OR CREEK
a. What is proposed dredging depth in relaion to surrounding bachymery? Provide map showing surounding depths.
Adjacent enchorage is maintained by the U. S. Amy Corps of Engineers to 40' below MLW. The dredging azea will be dredged to 25 feet below MLW. A sounding plan is excluded with the application.
b. Will the project change flow or circulation patrems in the bay or creek? Will shoslings panerns be alvered?

No change in flow or ci=culated patterns are anticipated.

## 12. IMPACT TO SURROUNDDNG LANDS

What is the proximity of the dredping project to the pearest creek bank or banta? What are the existing inod uses along his banif(s)? What is the primary vegetaion?
The dredging area is 1550 outshore of the MHWL. The bank is primarily forested wetlands.
13. Whas measures will be tiken during the dredging operation to minimize environmentul impact?

```
ill aredgirg will be conductec so as to avoid anadromous fish migration.
refer to Section VII, A fo: further details.
```


## Appendis 5, coetoruod

## 18. CONSIDERATIONS FOR HABITAT DEVELOPMENT N/A

2. Does similar habiat already exist in the area proposed for development?
b. What is the depth of water at mean low water?
c. What is the salinity of water at the proposed site of development?
d. What is the salinity of water from which material is being dredged?
e. Is the composizion of the dredged material similar to the substrate at the site of habitat development?
f. What are the biological characteristics of the site proposed for development? Are there oyster bars, spawning grounds, submerged aquaric vegemion, or other fragile ecosystems which require temporary or permaneat protecrion? These sites shoald be avoided for habitas developmear
g. What are the mind and cwrrent conditions at the site? Do they change seasonally?

## Appendly S, contineod

c. Describe the proposed mechod of containment for the dredged material.

Earthen dikes.
d. How much acteage is required for the quaniry of material being disposed of?

```
The approved disposal site has an extremely large holding capacity of 8 million
cubic yards.
```

f. What measures will be taken to reduce porenial environmental impact?
$N / A$, the disposal area is an approved site.
g. What is estimated life of the dredge spoil disposal site?

Current remaiing eapacity is 8 million cubic yards.
e. Provide an engineering drawing of the proposed disposal facility. .

N/A, approved disposal site.
20. Has an Erosion and Sediment Concol Plan been approved by the countyy conservarion district for the project? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No N/A

- Approved plans must be received by this office prior to approval being issued


## SAMPLING PLAN FOR NEW DREDGING PROJECTS

1. Physical and Chemical Analysis of Sediment See enclosed EMA Dredge Spoil Analysis Report located in the Appendix.
a. Parricle size distribution and percent solids analysis on core samples taken to depth of proposed dredging. Percentage sand, silt and clay should be given bused on:
sand: Grearer than or equal to 0.0625 mm silt: Less than 0.0625 mom but preaser than 0.0039 mon clay: Less than 0.0039 mom
b. Bulk sediment analysis (mykz) on core samples taken to depth of proposed dredsing for parameters on page 55 of this applieation.
c. Elucriate analysis (mgl) on core samples taken to depth of proposal dredging for parameters listed on page 55 of this application. Dredge site water should be used for the dilution water.
d. Surfice water analysis (mig ) on one composite sample from dredging area for paramesers listed on Chemical Parameters, for Analysis, page 55 of this application


S. T. HUDSON ENGINEERS, INC. FUDSSON SQUARE 800 COOPER STREET CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY


| FILCN ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - K-j223 |
| :---: |
| LONI ${ }^{\text {P }}$ - $4-4103$ |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lc:c} \text { SHEET: } & :=5 & 11 \\ \text { DATE } & 1 . \geq 0.9: \end{array}\right.$ |



FILE NO: K-20Z3
CONT.NA: H-4103
SHEET: $2 O F 11$
DATE: $1-30.91$ AG.

| $\triangle$ SIWMI REN DER SECHTEL SK-PIER REV "B' | BS |
| :---: | :---: |
| S. T. HUDSON ENGINEERS, | NOTE: |
| HUDSON - SQUARE | FIMAL DESIGN |
| 800 COOPER STREET | REQUITED POR |
| CAMDEN, NEU JERSET 08102 | CONSTRUCTION |


| Promoted: COA | COA_ - NLJADING OE6: |
| :---: | :---: |
| AT: <br> logan to BRANDYW: | TOWUSHIP NEV EEEET WINE HUNDRED CE - NVARE |
| county of: | MEIV CASTLÉ DELAIVAEE LOLCESTER, NEW JERSEY |
| amplication er: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { KEYSTONE CDOENERA:JN } \\ & \text { SHS:MS AC } \end{aligned}$ |




$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { FILE NO: } & K-\angle O C E \\
\text { LONT NO: } & +-403 \\
\text { IA- }: ~ & 1-\equiv 0-71 \\
\text { SMEET: } & \angle=11
\end{array}
$$

| ASVAIM EEV HER BECHTEL O FIER REN "E" | $\begin{array}{r} 25519 \cdot 5 K- \\ \text { JBS } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| S. T. HUDSON EMGINEERS, INC | NOTE: |
| HUDSON SQUARE | FINAL DESICN |
| 800 COOPER SIREET | REqUIRED FOR |
| CANDET. NEW JEESEY 08102 | CONSTRUCTION |
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## A-1

OVERHEAD VIEW OF BARGE UNLOADING FACILITY
LIL AWARE
RIVER

$\cdots$

## A-2 <br> SIDE VIEW OF BARGE UNLOADING FACILITY



## A-3

## FRONT VIEW OF CLAMSHELL BARGE UNLOADER BUCKET



CLAMSHELL BARGE UNLOADER BUCKET FRONT VIEW

# A-4 <br> SIDE VIEW OF CLAMSHELL <br> BARGE UNLOADER BUCKET 



CLAMSHELL BARGE UNLOADER BUCKET side view

## A-5 <br> DETAILED VIEW OF SEALED-TIPS OF CLAMSHELL BARGE UNLOADER BUCKET



## A-6

## SIDE VIEW OF BARGE UNLOADING FACILITY AND UNLOADING CONVEYER



A-7

## CROSS SECTION - UNLOADING

 CONVEYOR
## CALCULATION SHEET


0 -

4
6
6 7
8
9
10 1 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 22 23 24

34


Note:

- Primary and secondary belt cleaners will be provided for the return belt side at the conveyor head end.
- Belt plow scrapers will be provided at the take-up and tail and sections.


Permit/Leese Mo. and Description: SL-0907/91 To construct an inctustrial pier on public subequeous lands and to conduct dredging in the Delaware Piver
lesued To: REYSICNE COGENERATICN SYSTPMS, INC.
Loeation of Work Logan Townstip, Glacester County, New Jersey, Route 130, Lot no. 2, Block no. 1 \& Brandywine Hundred, New Castle County, IE
Date of Expiration: September 30, 1994
DISPLAY THIE CERTIFICATE IN A HIGHLY VISIBLE LOCATION ON THE JOB STE



STATE OF DELAWARE
DEPARTMENT OF NATUMAL RESOLIRCES a Enyironmental control
Division of water resources
89 ringe highwar P.O. EOX 1401 (302)739.5726 GOVEA. DELAWATE 10903

```
Keygtone Cogeneration Systemg, Inc.
901 Market Street, Sulte 721
Wilmington, DF 19801
Dear Sirg:
    Encloged is th:g aubaqueoug lands leage granted by the stato of
Delaware.
    A copy of th3.w l.smen will be forwarded to the corps of Engineers.
    If you have any fueations regarding thig approval, feel free to
contact thim office
```

    sinceredy,
    тracy e. skrabal
    Progran Manager
    Wetlands and Rquatic Protection Branch
    Telephone (302) 739-4691
    TES/EL
po; Frank Cianfrani
Enclocure

## INDUSTRIAL WATER ALLOCATION

| ALLOCATION NO: | $91-0012$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| EFFECTIVE DATE: |  |
| OCtober 17, 1991 |  |
| EXPIRATION DATE: | October 17, 2021 |

Pursuant to the provisions of 6010f, 7 Del. C., an allocation of water is hereby granted to:

Keystone Cogeneration systems, Inc. Suite 721, Market Tower Building, Wilmington, Delaware 19801
for the withdrawal and use of water from the following water facilities:

| Intake |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location |  | Stream | NLatitude | WLongitude | Capacity (gpm) |  |

## OTHER APPROVALS

1. This approval is subject to review every five years from effective date by the Division of Water Resources.
2. This approval is subject to all appropriate regulations and approvals of the Delaware River Basin Commission (DRBC).
3. Approval for discharge of this water must be obtained from the Division of Water Resources.

## EQUIPMENT REQUIREMENTS

1. These intakes must be equipped with either flow integrators, or, where feasible, flow meters, to compute withdrawal rates and cumulative volume of pumpage to a design accuracy of $\pm 5 \%$.

Delamare'd good nature depends an youl

Allocation No. 91-0012
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## REPORTING PROCEDURE

1. For these intakes computations of pumping rates and cumulative volume of pumpage must be made and recordedi at least daily This and other relevant information, such as water purchases and sales is to be recorded on forms provided by the Division of Water Resources and submitted by the permit holder to the Division annually by January 31 st or more frequently if requested.

## ALLOCATION

1. In any twenty-four (24) hour period, pumpage for these intakes must not exceed $4,320,000$ gallons.
2. In any thirty (30) day period, pumpage for these intakes must not exceed $105,000,000$ gallons.
3. In any twelve (12) month period, pumpage for these intakes must not exceed $990,000,000$ gallons.
4. Withdrawals for the facilities listed below shall not exceed the following limits:

| Intake \# | Permit \# | Maximum Pumping Rate <br> (gallons/day) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM-P001A | 8236 | $4,320,000$ |
| AM-P001B | 8237 | $4,320,000$ |

5. These intakes may be used only for the purposes of industrial process/cooling and related uses. Any change in the intended use must receive prior approval from the Division of Water Resources.
6. All laws and regulations governing the construction, operation, maintenance and repair of water-supply facilities in the state of Delaware will be obeyed.
7. Representatives of the Division of Water Resources, Delaware Geological Survey and the U.S. Geological Survey may inspect this facility at any time and may conduct any testing and sampling deemed necessary.
8. This allocation is specifically subject to the requirements of 7 Del. C.s6031.
9. If the withdrawal of water pursuant to this allocation has significant adverse affects including, but not limited to, reduction of streamflows, lowering of water levels, migration of pollutants, or encroachment of salt water, the Division of Water Resources may require action to rectify the problem.
10. This permit is transferable only if the requirements of the Regulations Governing the Allocation of Water are met and, written approval is obtained from the Division of Water Resources.
11. Violations of conditions of this permit are subject to penalties provided in 7 Del. C., Chapter 60.

## 12. WATER CONSERVATION MEASURES

This approval is contingent on practice of the permit holder of reasonable efforts to minimize the unnecessary use and waste of water. The permit holder must:
A. Establish a program of periodic monitoring and evaluation of water usage,
B. Establish a systematic leak-detection and control program which is responsive to high unaccounted-for water usage rates, routine maintenance, and discovery of leaks,
C. Use best management practices, methods, and devices to conserve water,
D. Alert employees of the need to conserve water and reduce wasteful usage, via postings of conservation suggestions, and
E. Develop a contingency plan to be implemented in the event of water shortage emergencies. This plan should include:

1. Identification of emergency water sources,
2. Priorities of water usage, and
3. Emergency measures to curtail water usage.

Allocation No. 91-0012
Page Four

1
The permittee must demonstrate compliance with these conditions upon request by the Division of Water Resources.
signed:


Stewart Lovell P.G. Supervisor
Water Allocations
Date:
Proper it 1991
Cc: Delaware River Basin Commission
U.S. Geological Survey

COASTAL ZONE PERMIT
date of issuance: 12/13/91

NUMBER: 255

ISSUED T0: Keystone Cogeneration Systems, Inc.

TO PERMIT: $\qquad$ Construction and utilization of a 225 MH cogeneration power plant
with pier for offloading of coal and a water intake structure for
the sole use of the power plant. Note page 2 (of 2) of this permit for one special condition as part of this permit.

SITE LOCATION: Logan Township, New Jersey and adjoining Delaware River.

## CONDITLONS Incorporated And Made Part of This Permit:

1. This permit is conditional upon the permitee's compliance with all other applicable permit requirements of the State of Delaware.
2. Issuance of this permit does not relieve the permit tee of the Legal obligation of complying with all building permit, subdivision, and other applicable code requirements of the county and municipality wherein the permitted project is located.
3. If there are significant deviations from the $p l a n$ and operations approved by the Secretary the permitee shall notify the Secretary as soon as possible. This permit may be revoked and a new permit application required if the Secretary deems the deviations to substantially change the nature or scale of the project and to be of actual or probable harm to the purposes of the Coastal Zone Act.

(Secretary, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control)

Further data must be collected for a period of one year regarding numbers of striped bass eggs and larvae entrained during actual operation of the water intake.

Based on that data, this Department will determine whether additional measures are necessary to mitigate any potential damage to the striped bass population.
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Supplemantal Lease Approval No.: SL=0907/9151
Subaqueous Lands Lease No.: SL.0907/91
Construction Expiration Dace:9 $3 x / 47$
SDPPLDERNTAL APPROVAL
GRANTED TO REYSTONE EAERGY SERVICE COMPANY. J. P:
FOR THE CONSTRDETION OF AN INDUSTRIAL PIER ON PUBLIC sUBAquEOUS LANDS akd to conduct dredging in the decaware river AT LOGAN TONNSHIP, GLOUCESTER COUNTY, NEY JERSEY, ROUTE 130. LOT NO. 2. BLDEK NO:1 AND BRANDYTINE HONDRED. NEY CASTLE COUNTY, DELAHARE

Oaystone Enargy Service Company, L.P.
TO1 Market. Screme, Suite 721
"ilmingcon, DE 19801
Putsuant to the provisions of 7: Del. C. © 87203, and the Department's 3egulacions Governing the Use of Subaqueous Lands. parmission is hereby
 Enargy Service Company, L.P. to amend an axisting lasso to construct a coal inloading pier consisting of the following: main piar 22 feet by 450 feet, zoading placform 70 feet by 105 feet, cornor of loading placform approachway 10 feec by 10 feet, four (4) walkways 4 feet by 109 fedr, two 2) walkways 4 feot by 4 feet, one; (1) 4 feet by 105 feat, one (1) walkway $\therefore$ feac by 100 faet. Six (6) breasting dolphins 16 taet by 16 fear, evo (2) mooring dolphins 25 faet by 25 feat far a toral of 22,732 squara feet and =o dredge 40,000 cubie yards of mencial from the Dalaware River co creaze a 910 faet by 150 fact barge barth in accordance with plans and related jocuments subnitted by the applicant titled and dated as follows:

Eoal Unloading Pler: 1/30/91; revised 5/20/91, sheets 1 thru 9 of 12 :oal Unloading Plar: 5/22/91; sheer 10 and 11 of 11
Srawing A-1 Overhead Viev of Barge Unloading Facility: 7/10/91
zrawing A-2 Side Vien of Baxge Unloading Facility: 7/10/91
Jrawing A-3 Fronc Viev of Clamonell Barge Unloader Bucket: 7/10/91
Jribing A-4 Side Viev of Clamsholl Bargo Unloader Buckat: 7/10/91
Jrawing A-5 Detailed Viev of Sasled-Tips of Clamsholi Barge Unloader Bucket: 7/10/91
こrawing A-6 Side View of Barge Unloading Facility and Unloading Convayor: 7/20/91
Jrawing A-7 Cross Section - Unloading Conveyor: 5/30/91
Fpill Prevention, Control and Countermeasures Plen: 1/91
Eafety Plan: 1/31/91
jection $316(a)$ and (b) of the Federal Vater Pollution Control Act, undated and an apolication dated $3 / 4 / 91$.

WHEREAS, Keystone Energy Service Company, L.P. requested that their lease be amended to allow for a minor modification of pier dimensions in accordance with the revised drawings COA-6301 (Rev. 2) and COA-001 (Rev. K) dated $5 / 18 / 93$, and received by this Division on $5 / 21 / 93$ and Subaqueous Land Application dated $5 / 19 / 93$ and received by this Division on $5 / 21 / 93$ copies of which are attached and made a part hereof; and

THLS Supplemental Approval shall be amended subject to the terms and conditions herein set forth.

1. This Supplemental Approval is an addendum to Subaqueous Lands Lease No.: SL-0907-91 granted to Keystone Energy Service Company, L.P. by the state of Delaware on $9 / 30 / 91$. This supplemental approval shall be attached thereto and mad a part hereof.
2. All existing conditions of Subaqueous Lands Lassa No.: SL-0907/91 shall remain in effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, We, Keystone Energy Service Company, L.P., have
 silas . 1993.

Sword and subscribed before te on


VALINE $M$ タATTERFIED
NOTARY FUELS
MY TERM EXPRESS 2.18-EX
IN WITNESS WHEREOP, I, Christophe A.G. Tulou, Sceeretery, Department of Natural Resources and Envixpmental Control, have heroutieo sat my hard and seal this 1993.



state of Delaware
Department or Natufal kegources
日 ENVIRONMENTAL CONTFOL
DIVISION OF AIR BE WASTE MANAGEMENT
715 Granthan luane
Ala Resouness
NEW CASTLE. DLDGWARY 10720
YELEPHONE: (302) 323-4542
semion

Septembar 2. 1994

Permit: APC-91/Q602-QRERATION
Keyetone Enargy Service Compeny, L.P.
Coat Unlonding Faclity - Claymont

Keystone Enargy Servico Company, L.P,
P.O. Box 169-C

Rt. 130 South
Swederboro, MJ 08005-9300
ATTENTION: R. V. Cillberti

Gentloman:
Pursuant to the State of Dolaware "Requlationa Governing ehe Control of Air Pollution", Regulation No. 2, Saction 2, approval of the Dapartment of Natural Rasources and Environmental Control is hereby granked for the oparation of a Coal Uniosding Facility consisting of a clamshell unloading system, an unlaading hoppar equipped with en air curtain, a discherge fasder, an anclosed conveyor, and fabric filter dust collectors at the Keystona Cogeneravon Project Coal Pier, Claymont, Dedaware, in accordance with the application submitted on Form No. AA-4 dated March 5. 1991 and cover settor dated June 12, 1991, both signed by R. V. Cilibarti, and the document dated February 8, 1991 and antitied, "Begz Avallable Control Technology for Fugitive Particulate Emissiona for the Kaystone Cogeneration Project - Rarge Delfuer",

Thls permit is leaved subjeot to the following conditions:

1. Alr contaminant amlasion lovels shall not oxceod 0.086 pound per hour and 0.051 ton por voar of coal dust, and those spocified in the State of Delaware "Requlations Glovemina tha Gentrol of Alr Pollution".
2. Representatives of the Deparment of Natural Resources and Environmental Control may, at any reasonable time, inspect this facility.
3. Emfosion ls excess ot any parmir condition or emisslons which ereste a condition of air pollution shall be reported, in person of by relephone communication, to the Department's Environmanteal Emergency Notificarlon and Complaint Number immodiarely.

Permit: APC-91/0602-OPERATION
Koytone Energy Sarvioe Company, L.P.
Cool Unloading Facifty - Claymont
Saptember 2, 1994
Page Two
4. The Coal Unloading Facility shall not be aperated unleas the corresponding unloading hopper air curtain and fabrie filter dust collactors are operating properly.
5. The conveyor and conveyor covers shail be maintained and operated properly during all periods of operation.
6. The clatnahell bucker shall be equipped with tips to provide a complete saal between the bucket halves while in the cfosed postifon, to provent spillage.
7. During the coal off-boading, the clamsholl bucket thall be oporated In such a mannar as not to cause or create a condition of air pollution tind to minimize coal spillage.
8. The unlonding of coal shall be the only activity carried-our at thls facility.
9. The amission of vislble air contaminants from this unit shall not axceed twenty percent (20\%) apacity for an aggregate of more than three (3) minutes in any ons (1) hour period of more than fifeen (15) minutas in any twenty-four (24) hols perlod.
10. Odors from thls soulce shell not be detecteble beyond the plant property line in sufficient quantitios to cause or create a condition of air pollukion.
11. This permit shall be available on the promises.
12. Failure to comply with the provisions of this permit may be grounde for suepension or revocation.



PermivLease No. and Description: Lr-0907/91S1 SUPPIEMRNIAL APPROVAL for the construction of an industrial pier o
Public Subaqueous Lands to conduct dredging in the Delaware River Public Subaqueous lands to conduct dredging in the Delaware River

Isaund To: KEYSICNE ENERGY SERVICE COXPANY, L.P.
Location of Wortc
Logan Township, Gloucester County, New Jersey, Route 130, Lot No. 2, Block No. 1 \& Brandywine Date of Expiristion: New Castle County, DE

DISPLAY THIS CERTIFICATE IN A HIGHLY VISIBLE LOCATION ON THE JOB SITE.

De. No. 50-08/87/00/01


DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
a Environmental control
Division of Water resources
gs Kinas Нienwhy. P.O. Box 1401
 LANDS SECTION


TEL EP HONS (30X) T39-4691 FACSMAII (300)7993091

Supplemental Lease Approval No.: SL-O907/91S2
Subaqueous Lades Lease No: SJ-0507/91
Construction Expiration Date: 9/30/94

SUPPLEMENTAL APPROVAL
GRANTED TO KEYSTONE ENERGY SERVICE COMPANY, LIP.
TO AMEND SUBAQUEOUS LANDS LEASE SL-0907/91 FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF AN INDUSTRIAL PIER ON PUBLIC
SUBAQUEOUS LANDS AND TO CONDUCT DREDGING IN THE
DELAWARE RIVER AT LOGAN TOWNSHIP, GLOUCESTER COUNTY, NEW JERSEY, ROUTE 130, LOT NO. 2, BLOCK NO. 1,
AND BRANDYWINE HUNDRED, NEW CASTLE COUNTY, DELAWARE

Logan Generating Company, L.P.
P.O. Box 169-C

RT. 130 South
Swedestoro, NI 08085-9300
Pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del, C, 87203 , and the Department's Regulation g Governing the Use of Subacuegus Lends permission is hereby granted on this le day of Golnuasid, A. D. 1985 , to Kryano Energy Device Comapay, L.P. to amend an existing lease to construct an indurtial pie on public subaqueous lands and to conduct dredging in the Delaware River at Logan. Township, Gloucester County, New Jersey, Route 130, Lot No. 2, Block No. 1, Brandywine Hundred, New Castle County, Delaware.

WHEREAS, Keystone Energy Service Company, L.P. requested that their lease be amended to allow a change in name from Keystone Energy Service Company, L.P., to Logan Generating Company, L.P. in accordance with the request submitted 12/19/94:

The Subaqueous Lands Lease SL-0907/91, as amended, shall be amended subject to the terms and conditions herein set forth:
1.

This Supplemental Approval is an addendum to Subaqueous Lands Lease No . SL-0907/91, as amended, prated to Keystones Cogeneration Systems, Inc. by the State of Delaware on 9/3091. This supplemental approval shall be attached thereto and made is pitt thereof.

2.

All existing conditions of Subaqueous Lends Lease No. SL-D907/91, as amended shall remain in effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Logan Generating Company, lu., has caused this Instrument to be excited on this $\qquad$ day of Denenstar $\qquad$ , 1994.

LOGAN GENERATING COMPANY, LP.


SWORN and subscribed before me on
this $35^{\text {th }}$ day of December, 1994.
quiditul. Diluter

JUDiTH A D'ANDELET<br>notary punic<br>PRINCE GEAPCESSCO. MB t MY COMMISEION EXPARES JUKY 23. 15948

My Commission Expires: $7-2-3-98$

D WITNESS WHRREOF, L, Christophe A. G. Tuloi, Secretary, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control hate hereunto set my hand and seal this be day of 1904.95


 FACSAALLE (942) 730-1491

March 15, 1995

Logan Generating Company, L.P.
P. O. Box 169-C

Rt. 130 South
Swedesbaro, N. J. 08085-9300
RE: SL-0907/91 Construct an industrial pier on public subaqueous lands and to conduct dredging in the Delaware River at Logan Township, Gloucester County, NJ

## Dear Janine Kelly:

This letter is in response to your 3/14 195 correspondence on the above-referenced project. By this letter, the Wetlands \& Subaqueous Lands Section grants a one year extension of the subaqueous lands permit issued on 9/30/91. to dredge approximately 13.750 cubic yards of material from the permit area previously permitted in SL-0907/91.

This letter, therefore extends your authorization to conduct maintenance dredging according to the conditions in the original subaqueous lands permit through 9/29/95.


Program Manager
Wetlands \& Subaqueous
Lands Section
Sincerely,
curebiom
William F. Moper


Program Manager
Wetlands \& Subaqueous
Lands Section

Department of Natural resources E ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL
Divigion of Water Resources

TE1CMAONE (302) 799-4691
FACSIMLE (307) 739-3491

11/12/97

Logan Generating Company L.P,
Box 169 C Route 130 South
Swedesboro, NJ 08085-9300
RE:MD-0012/97 To maintenance dredge 15,000 cubic yards of material from a barge mooring facility at the Logan Generating Company on the Delaware River, Gloucester County, New Jersey.

Dear Sirs:

Your request to conduct the above-referenced maintenance dredging has been reviewed by the Department of Natural Resoumces and Environmental Control. The Deparment hereby authorizes the dredging in accordance with the plans and specifications titled "LOGAN COGENERATION PLANT" dated 2/27/95 and received by this Division on 8/5/97 and application dated 8/1/97 and received on 8/5/97.

This letter of authorization is issued subject to the following conditions:

1. Dredging shall be conducted so as not to violate the State of Delaware, Surface Water Quality Standards dated February 26, 1993.
2. This Authorization shall not become effective until an approved Sediment and Stormwater Management Plan, when required by 7 Del. $C$ in accordance with specifications and criteria outlined in the Delaware Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook (1989) has been obtained. Failure to comply with the provisions of the approved sediment and stormwater management plan will result in the revocation of this authorizalion.
3. No dredge spoils shall be deposited on tidal wetlands at any time other than what is permitted in accordance with the submitted application and plans.
4. This Authorization shall be valid for one (1) year.

5. A copy of this Authorization must be available on site during all phases of constuction activity.
6. Water quality sampling shali be performed in accordance with the attached monitoring plan.
7. The State of Delaware hercby waives the water quality certification CENAP-OP-R-90-2427-1 that is required in accordance with Section 401 of the Clean Water Act, for the above referenced project. This waiver satisfies the water quality certification requirements of the U.S. Army Corps of Engincers for the above referenced project.
8. This authorization will be revoked upon violation of any of the above conditions.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Ted Mercer of this office at 739-4691.
Sincerely,

Whiam F. Moyer
Program Manager
Wetlands \& Aquatic Protection Branch
Sincerely,


Wetlands \& Aquatic Protection Branch



SUBAQUEOUS LANDS LEASE
GRANTED TO LOGAN GENERATTNG COMPANY, L.P. TOMAINTAIN TWO 25 FOOT BY 25 FOOT MOORING DOLPHINS, SIX 16 FOOT BY 16 FOOT BREASTING DOL, PHINS, A 70 FOOT BY 105 FOOT DOCK, A 22 FOOT BY 450 FOOT PIER, FOUR 4 FOOT BY 109 FOOT DOCK SECTIONS, TWO 4 FOOT BY 104.5 FOOT DOCK SECTIONS, TWO 2 FOOT BY 4 FOOT DOCK SECTIONS, AND A 10 FOOT BY 10 FOOT LOADING PLATFORM IN THE NEW CASTUE COUNTY, DEI LAWARE SECTION OF THE DELAWARE RIVER, ADJACENT TO LOGAN TOWNSHIP, GLOUCESTER COUNTY, NEW JERSEY

Logan Cenerating Company, L.P.
Box 169C
Route 130 South
Swedesboro, NJ 08085-9300

Pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del C, 7203 , and the Deparments Regulationes Qoveming the Use of Subaqueous Lands permission is hereby granted on this Ofe day of loonemeder A.D. 2001, to maintain tho above-refenenced project in accortance with the approved plans for this lease ( 13 sheets), as approved on 1020/01; and application dated 6/7/01, and received by this Division on 6/44/01.

WHEREAS, the State of Delaware is the owner of umgranted subaqueous lands lying beneath the waters of the Delaware River; and

WHEREAS, Logan Gencrating Company, LP., owner of certinin lands adjoining to the Delaware River has applied for permission to maintain a pier, docks, and dolphins; and

WHEREAS, pursumar to the provisions of 7 Del. C. 7203 , the Secretary of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control through his duly authorized representative finds
that it is not contrary to the public interest if this project is approved subject to the terms and conditions herrin set forth.

This approval is in accordance with plans and application submitted to Departunent of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, a copy of which is attsched hereto and made a part hereof.

This Lease shall be continued for a period of twenty (20) years or so long as the conditions attached to the Lease are didhered to, whiuhever is the sloorter in time. Upon the expiration of the twenty-year tern, this Lease shall expirto and become null and void, unless prior thereto the lessee shall have applied for and received a renewal of this Lease. A renewal may be denied if the State determines that the Lease is no longer in the pubilic interest.

THS Lease is issued subject to the following conditions:

## SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. This Lease shall not be considered valid untilit has been duly recorded in the office for the Recorder of Deeds in and for the appropriate County, and a copy of the recorded Leaso is returned to, and has been received by; the Department.
2. The conditions contained herein shall be included as patt of the main body of the construction contract and other ancillary documents associated with earth disturbance and any other activities directly or indirectly associated with construction or maintenance which may impact subaqueous lands associated with this project.
3. This Lease authorizes the lessee to maintain the approved structure on public subaqueous land from October 1, 2001 through September 30, 2021.
4. Pending legislative action, this leased structure could be subject to a fec. In the event the General Assembly establishes lease fees for structures over public subaqueous lands a fee will be applied to this leased structure. Lease fees established by the General Assembly shall also apply to any lease that has expired until such time as the stuucture is removed pursuant to a denial or revocation, or until such time as a new loase has been issued. The approved pier, docks, and dolphins iriclude 27,732 square fect on public subaqueous lands. Üsing the currently adopted fee schectule, your fee would be $\$ 90,928$, annually.
5. This Lease represents a renewal of Subaqueous Lands Lease No. SL-0907/91 granted to Keystone Cogeneration Systom, Inc. All special and general conditions contained in this Lease
shail supersede conditions set forth.in Subaqueous Lands Lease No. SL-0907/91, which shall become null and void.
6. Maintenance shall be conducted so as not to violate the State of Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control's "Surface Water Quality Standands," as amended August 11, 1999.
7. The leased structurs shall be maintained in a manner so as not to impair water access.
8. This Lease does not authorize any repairs, additions, or modifications to the existing structures authorizod herein. Such activities require separate wriften authorization from the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control.
9. The structures on/or adjacent to subaqueous lands shall be for the explicit purpose of vessel berthing and unloading of cargo.
10. All debris, excavated material, brush, rocks, and refuse incidental to maintenance of the leased structure shall be placed above the influence of surface waters.

## GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. The project is to be undertaken in accordance with the plans submitted and atrached hereto. Any activities not specifically authorized herein may require a supplemental approval from this office prior to the initiation of construction. A determination on the need for a supplemental approval will be made by this office pursusnt to the lessee submitting writuen notificaion and rewlsed plans indicating project changes to this office.
2. Representatives of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control may inspect the leased structure and may collect any samples or conduct any tests that are deemed necessary.
3. This Lease docs not cover the structural stability of the project units.
4. Prior to the expiration of this Lease, the lessee shall remove all scructures covered under this Lease unless the lease has been renowed in accordance with its terms.
5. Any actions, operations or installations which are.considered by the Department to be contrary to the best interests of the public shall constiute reason for the discontinuance and/or removal of said action, operation or installation.
6. The lessee shall maintain any structure on public subaqueous lends in good and safe condition and will protect and save the State of Delaware harmless from any loss, cost or damage by reason of said structures.
7. The issuance of this Lease does not imply approval of any other part, phase, or portion of any overall project the lessee may be contemplating.
8. This Lease shall not be construed to grant or conier any right, fitle, casement, or interest in, to, or over any land belonging to the State of Delaware other than that of a tenant.
9. This Lease is subject to the terms and conditions contained in any easement, license or lease. that may have been granted by the State or any political subdivision, board, commission or agency of the State in the vicinity of the leased premises.
10. This Lease and authorization are granted for the purposes as stated herein. Any other use without prior approval shall constitutic reason for this Lease being revoked.
11. This Lease is personal and may not be trarisferred without the prior written consent of the Department. Prior to the transfer of the adjacent upland property, the lessee shall obtain the written consent of the Department to transfer this Lease to the new upland property owner. Failure to obtain such written consent may result in the revocation of this Lease and the removal of all structures authorized by this Lease at the expense of the lessee.
12. The lessee shall at all times comply with all epplicable laws and regulations of the Department of Natural Resoutces and Envinonmental Control.
13. The issuance of this Lease does not constitute approval for any of the activities as may be required by any other local, state or federal govemmental agency,
14. Application for renewal must be submitted six (6) months prior to the expiration date of this Lease.

This Lease may be revoked upon violation of any of the above conditions.

IN WITNESS WHEREOR, I, Thomas .W. From, have caused this instrument to be executed on this $\qquad$ day of November $\qquad$ . 2001.


Applicant


NOTARY PULL OF NEW JERSEY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES DEC. 5, $200 \%$

## (Notary Seal)

By the authority invested in me under 7 Del.C. 87203(a), I hereby grant this Lease for the use of the State owned subaqueous lands to the Lessee(s) by setting my hand on this $\qquad$ day of yuremenes.,2001.


Mr. Thomas W. Fromm
PG\&E National Energy Group
PO Box 169-C
Swedesboro, NJ 08085-9300

## RE: Delaware Coastal Management Federal Consistency Certification Logan Generating Plant Maintenance Dredging (FC\# 01.039)

Dear Mr. Fromm:
The Delaware Coastal Management Program (DCMP) has received and reviewed your consistency detemination for the above referenced project. Based upon our review and pursuant to National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Administration regulations (15 CFR 930), the DCMP concurs with your consistency determination for maintenance dredging activities in the existing berthing facility in the Delaware River, adjacent to the Logan Generating Plant, Gloucester County, New Jersey. This concurrence is based upon the assumption that the necessary 401 Water Quality Certification and Subaqueous Lands permit from the State of Delaware will be obtained prior to the commencement of any dredging activity. It is further based upon compliance with all conditions placed upon the permits. If the necessary permits from the State of Delaware are not granted, this Federal Consistency Certification will be revoked.

If you have any questions regarding this determination please do not hesitate to contact me at (302) 739-3451.




State of Delaware
Departracal of Natural Resources \& Environmental Control
Delaware Coastal Progriuns
89 Kings Highway, Dover, DE 19901

faked copy of letter of con currence FC\#01.039

State of New Jersey<br>Depariment of Environmental Protection Land Use Regulation Program Application Form (LURP \#I)

PLEASE FRINT OR TYPE THE FOLLOWTNG: (Cormplete all seedons unless otherwise noted)
NOTE; If ypa are applying for a CAFRA Penmit by Rulc, you nced to complew items I thru 6 and the signature area on page 3 only. New Jerser Department of Environnental Protection

1. Applicart Name Diyision of Parks and Eorestry Daytire Phone \# 609.633-7588

Address 501 E. State Street, Station Plaza, 5
Cily Trenton Skate NJ Zip_08625

2 Agent Name_ Lioyd E. Sturgeon_Firm S._T.Hutison Eqgineers, Inc. $\qquad$

3. Project Location - Street Address Fore Mort State Park

| Municinality | Pennsville |
| :--- | :---: |
| Block(s) | 5301 |


Nearest Waterway Delaware River


Watershed $\qquad$
4. Toisl Pees $\$ 13,600$ Fees Paid $\$ 13.600$ Project Cost $\$ 1.140,000$ Check Number $\qquad$ (ser withed fur sthende)
5. Profect Description: Thetehahilitation of the historic Fört Mott Pier is intended specifically to replicate the appearance of the pier in 1898, during the neriod of its greatest historical significance. The throlect was designed so that the dier would be súitable ás a terminus for ferry service between Fort Mott and Fort Delaware on Pea Patch Island and Fort Dipont on the Dolaware shore with provisions for handicapped access and would be elighlite for inclusion in the Fort Mott and Finn's Point National Cemetory Histoxic District, in accordance with Department of the Interior criteria.


NJ05423
6. Applicalion(s) for: (Flease chack all that apply)


Permil Modification (specify)
Other (specify)
State - Delaware Dept. of Natural Resources and Envirommental Control (INRRC) Federal - U. S. Arny Corts of Engincers (Comps)
7. Indicate below if axy of the following approvals, denvals or certifications were received for the profect site or are required for the proposed project:

- In Cohmen A, indicate application status: ( $P$ form-pending, $A$ for - approved, $D$ for - denied, $T$ for - to be applied fox, or O for - other (axpiain other).
- In Column B, indicate application, permit, or docket number.



## APPLICANT SIGNATURE

I certify under penalty of law that the information provided in this document is true and accurate. I and aware that there are significant civil and criminal penalties for submitting false or inaccurate information. (If corporate entity, print/type the name and title of person signing on behalf of the corporate entity.)


Signature of Applicarl/Owner

Date
A. PROPERTY OWNER'S CERTIFICATION*

I hereby certify that the undersigned ts the owner of the property upon which the proposed work is to done. This endorsement is certification that the owner grants permission for the conduct of the proposed activity. In addition, I hereby give unconditional written consent to allow access to the site by representatives or agents of the Department for the purpose of conducting a cite inspection or survey of the project stile.

In addition the undersigned property owner hereby certifies:

1. Whether any work is to be done within an easement - Yes $\qquad$ No $\qquad$
2. Whether any part of the entire project (eg, pipeline, roadway, cable, transmission line, structure, etc.) will be located within property belonging to the State of New Jersey - Yes X No $\qquad$


Cat Nordstrom
Deputy Director
B. APPLXCANT'S AGENT

State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Division of Parks and Forestry
1 Fort Mott State Park $\qquad$ - the Applicant/Owner, authorize to act as my agent/representative in all matters pertaining to my applicalion the following person:

Name S. T. Fudson Engineers, Inc.

Occupation/Profession Professional Consulting Engineers


Carl Mordstrom
Deputy Director

## AGENTLS CERTHICATION

Sworn befort me
this $\qquad$ day of 19 $\qquad$ 1 agree to serve as agert for the above-mentioned applicant

Notary Public

> (Sgrature of Agent)

L1oyd P. Sturgeon
Vice President
C. STATEMENT OF PREPARER OF PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS, SURVGYOR'S OR ENGINEER'S REPORT

I hereby certify that the plans, specifications and engineer's report if any, applicable to this project comply with the current rulas and regalabionss of the Now Jorsey Dapartment of Environmental Frotection with the exceptions as noted.

Signature
Lloyd F. Sturgeon
Type: Name and Date
Vice President
S. T. Ifudson Fngineers, Inc.

Position, Name of Firm

STATE OP REEW JEESEY
DEPAKRMENT OF ETVIRONMIENTAL PROTECTION ETVILONMETITAL REGULATIOH BLREAU OP ELDELANDSMAMAGEMEAT CN 401
TEREMTON FEUY JRRSEY 08625-040』

## APPLICATION FOR LICENSE OR EASEMENT

DATE $\qquad$
FlLE $\qquad$
Application is hereby made, in accondance with N.J.S.A. 13:1B-13 and N.I.S.A. 12:3-10 for a revocable license to use and occupy State's fands uadot water; subject to such terms and conditions contalned therein or as may be imposed by law.

APPLICANT New Jersey Department of Envirommental Pratection Division of Parks and Forestry

1. NAME $\qquad$
2. ADDRESS 501 F. State, Street, Station Plaze 5, Trenton, NJ 08625
3. BUSINESS $\qquad$
4. PHONE A 609-633-7588

## WHERE LICENSE IS LOCATED

5. CTTY OR MUNICIPALITY Pennsvilie.
6. COUNTY Salem
7. NAME OF WATERWAY Delaware Piver
B. LOT A BLOCK Lot 3, Block 5301

## INTENDED USE

9. Historic restoration of pier and introduction of .
fiarry siervice between Fort Mott, Fort Helaware and

- Fort DuPont. The Dier is dart of the Park System and is for use by the general public. No fees will be charged.
NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE UPLAND AND ADJOINING OWNERS

10. Same as above. $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

PERMITS REQUIRED: (11)
NDEEP Haterfront Ievelopment. - NMEP WhC
TYPE: U.S. Arny Corps of Fnrincers - Delaware Subaqueous lands Permit DATE APPLIED: $\qquad$

GENERAL REMARKS (12)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

NOTICE: IN MAKING THIS APPLICATION, APPLICANT UNDERSTANDS THAT NO WORK SIFALL BE PERFORMED BY THE APPLICANT UNLESS AND UNTIL THE LICXNSE IS DELIVGRED, AND AISO ONLY IF THE APPLICANT HAS OBTAINED A PERMIT TO PERFORH SADD WORK THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT LS SUBJECT TO AN INDEPENDENT DETERMINATION BY THE STATE.

## (13)




ATTEST (14)


STATE OR NETH HERSEY
DEPARTMENT OP EMVMEDNQETYTAL PROTECTION
ENVİOMNIBNTAL REGULATION bureau of tidelands management

Cr 401
TRHMTOL NRW JERSEY 08625-0401

## AGENTS AUTHORIZATION TO ACT FOR APPLICANT

I hereby authorize:

NAME: S. T. Hudson Engineers, Inc.

ADDRESS: 800 Hudson Square, P.O, Box 9106
Camden, $\mathrm{NJ} \quad$ 08101-9106

TELEPHONE NUMBER: $\qquad$ 609-342-6600
to act as my agent or representative in all matters pertianing to my application for a grant, lease, license or other conveyance of State lands, now or formerly below mean high water. I farther understand that the Bureau of Tidelands Management will correspond directly with the above named agent and not myself.


Applicant's Signature
Carl Nordstron
Deputy Director

Applicants Stature
New Jersey Department of Emvimonnental Protection Division of Parks \& Forestry
501 E. State Street, Station Plaza 5
Address Trenton, NJ 0862\$

DATE: $\qquad$

|  |  | APPLICATIOA FOR DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY PERMHT ( 3.3 CFA 3251 | OMB APPROVAL NO. 0710-003 Explras October 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Publle reporting burden for this colloction of information is eatimeted to avarego 5 hourg per reaponse, inchuding the tipne for reviowing infeructlona, soatching existing data sourcata, gathering and mointeloing the data neseded, and comploting and cavigwing the coltaction of information. Send
 Oepartment of Oefanes. Washingtan Hesdquanters Sarvica Dleactotate of InformotionOporetions and Peparts. 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway. Sifite 1204, Aflington. VA 22202-4302; and to the Otfice of Managemant and Budget, Paporwork Heduction Project (0710.0003), Weghington. DC
 furisdiction over the location of the proposed activity.

PRUACY ACH GYATEMENT
Authorky: 33 USC 401. SAction 10; 1413, Saction 404. Pincipal Putpose: Thase jews rocquat parrmits mathorizing activitips ing, or affecting, navigable wotert of the United Stetoa, tha ciachargp of dredged or fill motarial into wateri of tha United Sintas, and the Iranspartation of ilradgad

 processed rat cen a perrrit ba inaued.

 ongtivily. An eppencation thent is not complunod in tuly will be roturind,




$$
\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}
$$

1). DIREKTIONS TO THE SITE

Delaware River and Fort Hott Road off. NJ Route 49

NJ05430
 addition, a 30 ft . wide x 100 ft long floting barge will be permanently moored to two. (2) $36^{\prime \prime}$ diameter monopiles at the outshore end of the Port Mott Pier. This barqe will be used as an intermediate floating oier to safely tzansfer parsengers to and from the olanned ferry boat. the "Dela-Fort" which now operates between Fort Dupont and Fort Delaware.
 the appediance of the Dier in 1898 , duxing the period of its. greatest kistorical sianificance. The project was designed so that the piax would be suitable as a terminus for fexry serivice betweFort Mott ond fort Delaware on Pea Patch Island, and Fort Dupont on the Delaware shore with promvisions fox mandicapned access and would be elluible fox inclusion in the Fort Mott and Finn 's point Notional Cometery Historisi District, in accorsance, yith pepartment of the Interior criteriä

 ow water, the interpoxtion of the crib, which is presently awash during high tide, will' be filled with 1600 cubic yards of sand and gravel, then paved with stona and a 10 ft . wide concrete public access pathway will be installed down tho center of the piex.
-21. Typedsl of Materiat Beint Ditchanged and that Amoun ol Each Type in Cualc Yards Sand, gravel and stone . : . 1000 cabici yards
22. Suiface Alda in Acrets of Wetuands or Oiber Wipters Filud met matuctiona

## . 25 acres of intertidal wetlands


 phase altach a supplomental lixt|.

See Section 4.4



Dr aware pept.
Subaqueous landes Project
Pending.
-Would indurds but is not cestricted to zaning, tutiding and diood plan pormits

 duly bulhorized agent of the applieant.

2BFOSTOTS
The opolicationmuat be L. Hotan, Environmental Specialist ; authorized agenk if the statement trit block if has been filied oul and signed,

18 U.S.C. Soction 1001 providos than: Whoovicrin ony manner within the jurisdiction of any deparment or agency of the United States


 ?

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
Philadelfaia district. corps of engineers wanamaker bulling. 100 penn square east

PHILAOELPHIA, PENNSYIVANIA 19107.2394
alar to
CFNAP-OP-R-Coastal Zone Management (Nev Jersey and Pennsylvania)

## PUBLIC NOTICE

SURECT: "Consistency Certification" with Approved State Coastal Zone Management Program

Federal regulations require that applicants for Department of the Army permits to perform works which fall g under the jurisdiction of a State wi th a Coastal Zone Management (CZH) Program approved by the Secretary of Commerce, MJST PROVIDE CONSISTENGY, GERTIFIGATION. The certification statement must: accompany the Application for a Department of the Array permit.

On September 29, 1978 and September 29, 1980, respectively, C2M programs were approved for the State of Jew Jersey and the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania by the Department of Commerce, Therefore, all applications for Department of the Army permits for work in their designated Cosscal Zones must contain a consistency statement,

The statement should be as follows:
"The proposed activity complies with and will be conducted in a manet that is consistent with the approved Stacte Costal Zone Fangauant (CZK) Program".


Signature of Applicant Carl Nordstrom, Deputy Director Additional information concerning che approved Coastal Zone Management Programs can be obtained by contacting:

## State of New Jersey

Nev Jersey Department of
Environmental Protection and Energy
Division of Costal Resources Bureau of Land Use Regulation CR 401
Trenton, New,Jersay 08625
Telephone Number - (609) 633-2289

## Commonwealth af e Fennsvivania

Commonwealth of Perntyivenia
Department of Environmental Resources Coastal Zone Management Office P.0. Bax 8751

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105-8761
Telephone Number - (717) 54ㅍ.7808


NOO5432

## BASIC APPLICRTIOM FORM

ATTACH ADDITIONAL $81 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ X $11^{\prime \prime}$ SHEETS OF PAPER AS NEEDED

## Application Number

$\qquad$
(To be assigned by agencies)

1. Applicant's (Property Owner)
name and complete address:
State of New Jersey-Dent. of Environmental Protection
Division of Parks and Forestry
501 E. State Streat, Station Prazar 5
Trenton, NJ $086 \underline{25}$
2. Name of Leaseholder (if applicable) of Iand where project is contemplated and complete address: N/A
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. Authorized agent's name and complete address ( if applicable):
S. T, Hudson Engineers, Ync. 800 Hudson Square, P.O. Box 9106
Cander, NT 08101

Telephone Number
Hame ( $x$ ):
Wark ( X ): $\mathbf{6 0 9 - 6 3 3 - 7 5 8 8}$
$\square$ $-\infty-$

Telephone Number
Home (): $\qquad$
(Complete agent authorization section at the botton of page 8)
4. Is this project

| New: <br> Both? <br> Sup |
| :---: |
|  |  |

5. Rrovide a briel description of the project: Rehabilitation of the historic Fort Mott Pier in addition to a new ferry mooring system.
A. Dredging
Total Estimated Volume: $\qquad$ cu. yda.
B. $\qquad$ Filling
Total Volume: $\qquad$ cu. yds.
C. __ Share Erosion Control Total Lengthi: $\qquad$ r.
D. __Dock(s)

Total Number;
E. $\qquad$ Pier(s)/Walkways
Total Number: $\qquad$
F. $X$ Other Rehabilitation of

Totaj Dimensions: the historic Fort Nott Pier. Fooring of a 30 Ft . wide $\times 100^{\circ} \mathrm{ft}$. long floating barge.
6. Primary purpose of the project:

$\qquad$ Dam Road
___ Improve Navigable Access Yaprove Fish Habitat Stream Channelization Maintenance/Repair Small Pond Marina Bridge Vessel Berthiag/Launching $x$ Other Historic restoration of Pier and introduction of ferry service between Fort Mott and Fort Delaware and Fort Dupont.
7. Rave you discussed this project with any representative of Local, State, or

Federal regulatory agency? $\chi$ Yes $\qquad$ No
If yes, complete the information below.
Name of Representative:
Name of Agency: DNREC, Corps, NJ and DE SHPO
Date: $\qquad$
8. Have you applied for, or obtained a pertrit froma any Local, State, or Federal agency for any porinion of this project describebivin fin apphication?
X Yes $\qquad$ No

If yes, provide the following:
Agency: Corps NJDEP
Type of Action/Permit: Sec. 10 \& 404 Waterfront Development/Water Quality ID No:
Application/tisue Date:_ Pending
9. Project Location:_ Fort Mott State Park
Sito address of Location: $\frac{\text { Fort Mott } \cdot \text { Road }}{\text { Pemnsville Township }}$

County/City: Salem County
Directions from nearest intersection of two state roads: Delawaxe River and
Fort Mott Road off NJ Route 49,
Name of the waterbody at the project location: Palaware River
ls is a tributary of any other water body? $\qquad$ Yes $x^{x}$ If yes, which waterbody?

The waterhody at the project location is: (check one on line A \& one on line B)
A. $\quad \mathrm{X}$ Natural $\qquad$ Man-made $\qquad$ Uncertain
B. $X$ Tidal $\square$ Non-tidal $\square$ Uncertain
10. Current land use: ___ Agriculture ___ Marsh/Swamp _ X ... Park Land
$\qquad$ Meadow $\qquad$ Wooded $\qquad$ Developed

Present zoning is: $\qquad$ Agriculture $\qquad$ Commercial __Residential $\square$ Other X New Jersey State Park
11. For marina projects or projects that include activities or construction in wetlands, list the rame and complete sedreass of the owners, as listed with the County Board of Assessment, of neighboring lands within 1,000 feet of the project (Including those aemoss the waterway if within the 1,000 foot radius) and any claimante of such ownership rights that are known to the applicant, with thedr last known addresses. For all other projects, list the same and complete address of the owners of the contiguous neighboring lands on all sides of the property. (Attach sheets as aeeded).

See adjacent property owners list - Supplement A.
12. Will any public benefit be derived from the project? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
$\qquad$ Uncertain If yes, explain below:
Refer to Sections 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0.
13. Has any work commenced or has any portion of the projece for which you are seeking a perriait been completed? $\qquad$ Yes . X No If yes, give detaila below. State when work was completed and who performed the work Please indicate on attached drawings what is proposed.
14. Proposed Start Date; $\qquad$ March 1996
15. Contractor's Name and Completc Address: N/A

Telephone Number:
PLEASE COMPLETE AND ATTACH ALL APPROPRIATE DRAWINGS AND APPENDICES TO THIS SECTION. INCLUDE A COPY OF THE PROPERTY DEED AND SURVEY TO SHOW ALL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES AND DIMENSIONS.

## APPLIERMTS BEVIEW BEFORE GAALIN:

DID YOU COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING?

| x | Yes | BASIC APPLICATKON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| X | Yes | APPENDICES |
| x. | Yes | VICINITY MAP |
| X | Yes | PLAN VIEW |
| x | Yes | ELEVATION OR SECTION VIEW |
| X | Yes | SIGNATURE PAGE (Page 8) with Agent Authorization if appropriate |
| N/A | Yes | COPY OF PROPERTY DEED \& SURVEY |
| x | Yes | THREE (3) COMPLETE COPIES |
| X | Yes | LIST OF ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS (as per item \#11 of this basic application form) |
| NA | Yes | APPROPRIATE APPLICATIONFEE (Checks should be made payable to the State of Delaware) |

Mail 3 complete copies of the application, with drawing(s) to:
Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control
Dlvision of Water Resources
Wetlands and Subaqueous Lands Section
89 Kings Highway, P. O. Box 1401 Dover, DE 19903.
(302) 739-4691

## APPLICANT SIGNATURE \&/OR AGENT AUTHORIZATION

* All applicants must sigo this page. Complete the Agent Authorization Section only if applicable.

I certify that the information on this form and the attached plans is true and accurate to the hest of my knowledge.

I understand that DNREC may request information in addition to that set forth herein and may be deented appropriate in considering this application.

I grant permission to the authorized DNREC representative(s) to enter upor the premises for inspection purposes during working hours.

I will gbide by the conditions of this approval if issued.
 Carl Nordstrom, Deputy Director

## Agent Authorization Section

* If you elect to complete this section, all future correspondeuce may be signed by the duly authorized agent. In addition, the agent will become the primiary point of contact for all correspondence from the Department.
I. $\frac{\text { Car1 Nordistrom }}{\text { Nase of applicant }}$
S. T. Judson Engineets, Inc. Nemendaget processing of this application and to furnists any information that is requested.


Carl Applicant Signature

Lawreater R. Hoture
Environtental Specislist

$\qquad$ $N / A$

## APPLICANT BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Pursuant to 7 Del C. Chapter 79, the following informution must be submitted along with any commercial subaqueous lands permit applicatiom "Commercial" is defined as any activity undertaken for profil for which a fee will be charged, directly or indirectly, or which resnits in the generation of revenue Piease use the N/A abbreviation for any thems that are not applicable to your applleation.

PROVIDINC ALL TER DNPORMATION REQUESTED DN TELS PORM SATISFIES TEE REQUIREMENTS OF 7 DEI $_{n}$ C, CKI 79 UNLILSS TEE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAI RESOURCES AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONIROL OR THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE DETERMINES TEAT ADDITIONAL SUBMLSSIONS ARE NECESSARY, FALURE TO PROVDE THE DIFORMATION REQUESIED OR PROVIDING ERRONEOUS DNFORMATION IS GROUNDS FOR DENYNG OR REVOKING AN ENVIRONMENTAI, PERMIT, AND FOR CIVIL OR CRIMINAL PENALTIRS.

1.     * Auach a complete list of all current members of the Board of Disectors, a\{ curtent corporate officers, all persons owning more than 20 percent of the applicant's stock or other resources, all subsidiary comparios, all parent companies, all comparies with which the applicant's company shares two or more rembers of the Boand of Disectors.
2.     * Atach a description of any felony or other criminal conviction of any porson or company identified in response to Question I where the conviction resulted in a fine greater than $\$ 1,000$ or a sentence longer than seven days, regardless of whether any portion of suchfine or sentence was susperded.
3. Have any of the following been issued to any person or ertity specified in response to Question Number 1 or any violation of any environmental statute, regulation, permit, license, approval, or order, regardless of the state in which it occurred, during the five years prior to the date of the application:

| Notice of Violation | (yes or no) | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative Penalties | (yes orimo) | N/A. |
| Criminal Citation | (yes or not) | N/A |
| Arrests | (yes or no) | NA |
| Convictions | (yes or mo) | N/A |
| Criminal Penalties | (yes or no) | N/A |

4. If you answered "Yes" to any of the items in Question 3, attach a description of the incidenss or events leading to the issuance of each enforcement action, the disposition of each action and any ections that have been taken to correct the violations that led to such enforcemem action.
5." Artach copies of any and all settements of the environmental clains associated with actions identified in response to Question 3 above, whether or not sucb settements were based on agreements where the applicant did not adnuxt liability for the action.

I to hereby swear that I have read the above questions and have provided all of the information requested and that all of the information provided is true and accurate.

## Date

Signsture - Applicant or Corporate Agent

Name: $\qquad$
Company Name: $\qquad$
Address: $\qquad$

Phone: $\qquad$
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# S. T. HUDSON ENGINEERS INC. <br> PROFESSIONAL ENGNEERS AND CONSULTANIS 

September 20, 1995

Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Enviromental Control
Division of Water Resources
Surfacewater Management Section
89 Kings Highway
P.O. Box 1401

Dover, Delaware 19903
Attantion: Mr. William Moyer
Re: State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Division of Parks and Porestry Rehabilitation of the Historic Fort Nott Piex Block 5301, Lot 3, Fort Mott State Park Pennsville Township, Salem County, NJ and New Castle County, Delaware

Dear Mr. Hoyer:
S. T. Hudson Engineers, Inc. has been engaged by the New Jexsey Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Parks and Forestry to represent them in obtaining the necessary peraits for the rehabilitation of the historic Fort Hott pler, located at Fort Nott in Pennsville Township, Salem County, New Jersey.

This project is intended specifically to replicate the appearance of the pier in 1898, during the period of the greatest historical significance. The project was designed so that the piex could be made suitable as a terminus for ferry service between Fort Mott and Fort Delaware on Pea Patoh Island, and Fort Dupont on the Delaware shore with provisions for handicapped access and the rehabilitated pier would be eligible for inclusion in the Fort Mott and Finn's point National Cemetery Historic District, in accordance with Department of the Interior criteria.

```
Mr. William Moyer
Delaware Department of Natural Resources
    and Envirommental Control
September 20, 1995
Paga Two
```

We are applying for a "Letter of Authorization" for the historic restoration of the Fort Mott pier. Imis restoration is intended specifically to replicate the appearance of the pier in 1898, during the period of its greatest Historic Significance. This portion of the project will not alter the present footprint of the pier. In addition, we are seeking a Subaqueous Lands permit for the mooring of the floating barge outshore of the Foxt Mott Pier which will be uged as an intarsediate floating pier to safely transfer passengers to and from the planned ferryboat, the DDelaFort" which presently operates between fort Dupont south of Delaware City and Fort Delaware on Pea Patch Island.

In this regard, wa herewith subrit three (3) copies of a complete perrait application package for your review.

Concurrently with this application, we have submitted permit applications to both the 0 . S. Aney Corps of Engineera and New Jersey Department of Enviromental Protection for this project.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions concerning this application or associated documents.

Very tyily yours,


Lawrence R. Horan
Environmental Specialist
LWRH/em
Enclosures
cc: Mr. Dale B. Smith Mr. Indrek ojanaa

H-4893


## LIST OF EXPIBBISS

EXFIBIICNQ.
2-1 USGS STIE LOCATION MAP
2-2 U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS SOUNDINGS CHART OF THEE DELAWARE RIVIER, CIRCA 1943

3-1 FORT MOIT FIERRY PIER REHABILITATION OPTIONS: SUMMARY ANALYSIS



## SECLION 1.0

## INTRODUCLION

S. T. Hudson Engineers, Inc. has been engaged by the Naw Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Division of Parks and Forestry to act as its agent in applying for permits for the rehabilitation of an historic pier at Fort Mott state Park.

An extensive study was conducted to explore and develop options for the rehabilitation. Two objectives had to be met: first, the pier had to be made suitable as a terminus for ferry service between Fort Mott and Fort Delaware on Pea Patch Island, and Fort Dupont on the Delaware shore, with provision for handicapped access; second, the rehabilitated pier had to be eligible for inclusion in the Fort Mott and Finn's Point National Cemetery Historic District, in accordance with Department of the Interior criteria.

Extensive investigative studies were performed which included:

- A "Background Historical Investigation" of the Fort Mott Pier conducted by R. Alan Mounier, Inc. Their report is includad in Appendix 2.
- An "Inshore Archeological Investigation" was conducted by R. Alan Mouniex, Inc. which is contained in Appendix 3.
- A Submerged Cultural Resource Survey by Dolan Research, Inc. which is contained in Appendix 4.
- Application for Inclusion in Historic District which is contained in Appendix 5.

Upon analysis of all the information and taking into account "design considerations and available funds", several alternative configurations were examined with the field narrowed down to five principal options. These five options were presented to the NJDBC, NJDEP Division of Parks and Forestry and to the Historic Preservation Offices of both New Jersey and Delaware. Their decision led to a consensus in favor of replicating the appearance of the pier as it was in 1898. This decision was partly based on the fact that this option as being least likely to affect a proposed nomination of the pier as part of the Fort Mott and Finn's Point National Cemetery Kistoric District.

The permit application includes copies of all studies and a discussion of all five alternative pier contigurations which were examined.


4-E ST. HLILSON ENGNEERS, NC

## SECTION 2.0

## SITE BACKGROUND

### 2.1 Site Location

The pier extends into the Delaware River from the New Jersey shoreline, adjacent to Port Mott. Fort Hott is located at Finn's Point in Lower Pennsville Townahip, Salem County, New Jersey. Refer to Exhibits 1 and 2 contained in this Section. It is one of three forts that were planned for the defense of the loway Delavare River. The other two forts are Fort Delaware, located on Paa patch Island in the middle of the rivar off Fort Mott, and Fort Dupont located on the Delaware side of the River. The threa forts are situated within one and one half miles of each other.

Today, Fort Hott State Park and Finn's Point are tucked between two extensive areas of artificial land (so-called "made-land") comprised of fill deposits. The one to the north is the Killcohook National Wildlife Refuge. The one to the south is known as Artificial island, where the salem Nuclear plant is located. Both of these areas of made-land belong to the state of Deloware, as Delaware's State boundary is located at the low water mark along the west shore line of New Jersey at thia point.

### 2.2 General History of the Fort Mott Pier

Plans for a Fort on the New Jersey side of the river, at the aite of present fort Mott, had been suggested by pierre L'Enfant as early as 1779. The Pederal Goverment first acquired land at Finnes Point in 1863. However, construction of the fort was not begun until after the Civil War in 1872 .

The Port Mott Piar vas built in 1873 not an a piex but as a crib structure. Cribs were built as large closed-end boxes perhaps 25 feet wide and 50 or 60 feet long. Typically they were built on land near the point of use, then dragged into the water. They were then floated into position over a previously-prepared bottom, then by careful filling with rocks or other heavy material, sunk into position. Guide piles were sometimes used to aid this operation.

Subsequant crib boxes were added end-to-end and fastened together until the desired overall length was reached. The final top of pier elevation was attained by laying additional courses of horizontal face timbers, connected by tie logs transversely and longitudinally. The completed structure was then filled with sandy soil.




Originally, the pier extended three hundred and fifty feet into the river. A length of 30 feet was added later. The water terminus is a "T" shaped head, measuring $35 \times 48$ feet. The structure rose to a height of $10 \pm$ above the mean low water line.

The pier head had a derrick which unloaded materials arriving by water. Railroad cars ran along the top of the pier on naxxow gauge (36") tracks. There were two such sets of tracks on the pier. One set of rails led up an inclined trestle to bins for temporary storage of small stones and sand. The other led past the end of the pier for the fort itself. This sot of rails was used for all other materials arriving at the fort, The cars were moved over both tracks by means of cables which were wound on drums in an engine house looated near the east end of the battery. Refer to Photograph No. 1.

After World War I, the role of Fort Mott changed rapialy and soon, along with Fort Delaware and Fort Dupont, it was supplanted as the principal defensive installation on the Delaware River with the construction of Fort Saulsbury near Milford, Delaware. The guns were all eventually dismounted and shipped to various locations. Battery Gregg's two guns and carriages were shipped to Benica Arsenal in California in 1913 ; the two 5-inch guns at Battery Krayenbuhl were dismounted and shipped to the Horgan Engineering Co., Alliance, Ohio, in 1917. Battery Harker's three 10-inch guns were dismounted in 1917 but were not shipped until 1941. They were sent to Canada after the War Department's Adjutant General's office authorized the "Supply of Armament to Canada".

Troop strength at the fort was reduced during World War 1 , and after Foxt Saulsbury opened, staff at Fort Mott was further cut back to a care-taking detachnent. In 1943, the final year that the fort was maintained by the government, a staff of eleven men was station'ed at the fort and only Battery Arnold was still maintained." In October of 1943, the fort was abandoned by the Axmy. The state of New Jersey took ownership of the military reservation arter world War II. All remaining armament was scrapped Before the state's appropriation of the property in 1947. Preliminary work on restoration and development of the property was injtiated by the state in 1949 and the area was dedicated as a state park in June 1951.

A detailed history of both Fort Mott and the pier can be found in Appendices 2, 3, 4 and 5 .

### 2.3 State Boundary Line

The project site lies within the juriedictional limits of two states. The political boundary between Dalaware and New Jeraey within a circle of twalve miles about the town of New Cagtle is the low water mark of the Delaware River on the east or New Jersey side.

The state line, howover, was in dispute for many years until the Supreme Court of the United States decision of February 5, 1934 which upheld Dalaware's clain to be the ownex of the entire bed of the river within the limits of this circle up to the low water mark on tha east or New Jersey side. Thus the low water line as depicted on Charts Nos. 294 and 295 (pub$11 s h e d$ in Segtambex, 2933) and No. 1218 (published in August, 1932) of the U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey was deemed the boundary between the two states.
since the pler existed as essentialiy filled land at the time of the 1934 deaision, it $i s$ our interpretation that the low water line and the state Boundary line follows the perimeter of the pier.

## SECCHON 3.0
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## SECIION 3.0

## PROIECT DESCRIPIION

### 3.1 Present site Condition

The piar extonds 590 faet into the rivor frop fagt ground, or 430 feat from the line of masn high water. Elamants of the original plar, inciuding portions of its cribbing ace visible at low water. The structure is ovaxgrown with a variaty of wetland reeds, graswes, and wild ahruhs. Reper to photographs 2, 3, and 5 at the end of this section.

Presently, the subaerial portion of the piar is in disrapair. Romnant timbers riae Erom the vater line to a haight of $5 \pm$ reet above mean low water. The structure is awagh at high water. The subpluvial timbers are sound.

The project will not affact any subtidal shallows, howaver, the presant piex is overgrown with vetland-mecies of reeds (Phragpitas) and several marsh grasses. Tha dominant species is Phiagnites. Rewnant timbers rise Erom the water line to a height of $5 \pm$ foet above mean 10 W vater. The structure is awash at high water (Mean High Watar is +5.4 faet above mean low watex). The structure originally rose to a height of $10 \pm$ reat above the mean low water line. In order to rastore it to its original condition, approximately 0.25 acxes of intertidal shallows and wetlands will be filled. As discussed in Section 3.0, several alternatives ware proposed that would have avoided filling, however, due to historic isgues which took precedence, both the New Jersey and Delaware state Historic Preservation offices (SHPO) rejected the various alternatives in favor of bistoric restoration of the pier. since the pier is being proposed for inclusion in the Fort Nott and Finn's Point rational Cemetery $u$ istoric District, certain altermatives rould have deniad eligibility. The project is water dependent and bacause of these historio issues has no feasible alternative on a non-watland site.

The pler is murrounded by plank sheeting, added at an unknorm date, to repaix or arreat decay. This work was supposedly dons as a wPA project, but there remains no confirming docwmentation. This sheeting, decayed at the watex ilne rises to the same height as the other remant timbers. The general elevation of the terrain immediately inshore of the pier is about 10 feat above mean low water.

Whe pier is considered to be a signieicant cultural resource solely by reason of its association with the construction and oparation of Fort Nott auring the pariod 1896-1943.

### 3.2 Alternative Desioms

Several altarnative configurations for the restoration of the pler ware examined, as described herain, and the field was narrowed down to five principal options. A more detailed description of the five pler Rehabilitation Alternatives can be tound in Appendix 1.

Two of the options involved leaving the existing piex in more or legs as-is condition, waxivizing opportunities for atudying ites structure, and allowing access for future invegtigationg. One of these options was to build a walkway parallel to the exieting pier; the othtr wal to cupport a valkway above it.

The two of the other options were to reconstruct the piex, or to encapsulate it in sheat piling, with timbar shaathing to simulate its historic appearance.

The Ifith and chosen option was developed aftar consultation with the KWDEP Division of Parks and Forestry, the New Jersey and Delaware State fistoric and Preservation offices and Regulatory raviaw of the first foury it was similar to the fourth optiong but it involved using timber, ve, steel, abeet piling to encapsulate the pier, and is intended specifically to raplicate the appearance of the pler in 1898, during the period of its greatest historical significance.

The results of compaxing these five options are gumarized in the Eribit 3-1.

### 3.3 Broject Description

```
3.3.1 Pler Rohabilitation
The axisting pier will be ancapaulated with CCA treatad timber shaet piling for stability. The \(2^{10} \times 10^{10}\) horizontal facing timbers and \(10^{N} \times 10^{\text {" }}\) timber strongbacks at \(7.5^{\prime}\) intervals will be used to crate the axternal appearance of the pier as shown in the 1897 photocgraph.
The crib gtructure will than be backfilled with mand and gravel fill to rectore ita appearance. The pler surface design providem for a 12 ' wide public access concrete walkway. On aach side of the walkway 4" rounded stone will be installed to make it clear that this in not an access area. The
```
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EXRTBIT NO. 3.1
$12^{\prime}$ width will alwo allow for light truck maintenance access to the outshore end of the piex. The 12' wide priblic accens concreta walkway will be extended inshore to connect with the existing concrete aidewalk.
3.3.2 Fexry Sotvice

This saction discusses those elements of the proposed pier structure which will be required for transferring pameengers - inciuding the handicapped - to and from the ferry boat which will ply between Ft. Nott, Ft, Delawaze and Ft. DuPont.

The planned fexryboat, the WDela Fortm, which now oparates between Ft. Dupont and Ft. Delaware, is about 55 ft. long, 16-1/2 ft. in beam, with a draft of about 3-1/2 ft.

In ordar to aafely trangfer passengars to and fron a vassel in tidal watera, it is accepted practice to use an intarmediate iloating pier (barge), ballasted so that its freeboard equals that of the vassel, and accessed from a ilyed shoreside structure by woane of t ramp.

The rawp will be binged at the inshore end to allor the floating piar (barge) to rise and fall with the tide. The outahore end will be fitted with rollers or slides because the horizontal projection varies with ramp angle.

We have aelected a deck barge aize of 100 ft . by 30 ft. as being commonly available on the used markat, and of sufficient size to provide a stable landing plation under tha exposed conditions at Ft. Mott. Tha barga will be secured in poaition by large (we estimate 36 inches in diametar) mooring monopiles driven up to 70 ft . Into the eiver botton.

The barge vill be fitted with robust collars lined with a low-friction material which vill allow it to move up and down the piles with the tide.

Note that the design of the mooring zyatem is doninated by the loade generated by floating loe, beside which the effects of wind and current are almost trivial. A componly-applied design criterion for loe loading under the effectes of wind and current is ta allow for a force of 2,000 1b. per linear foot at the ratarline.

3-3
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Access to the barge frole the pier will be provided by two rangg. A hinged, variableangle ramp will extend from the ifxed pier to a plationm on the floating piar. The height of the platform will be set so that the hinged ravp is horizontal at the mid-point betwaen high and 10w "design tidesw. The length of the hinged raip will then be set by the criterion that its upward or downward slope be equal to or leas than 1 on 12 at the extreases of the dosign tidal range. A fixed ramp with a 1 on 12 slope will extend fron the top of the platfora to the deak of the tloating barge.
3-4

YE S.T. HUOSONENGNEEAS, INC.


2. AERIAL VIEN OF FORT MOTT PARK AND PIER LOOKING ACROSS THE RIVER IMIO DEIANARE. NOTE FORT DETAWARE IN BACKGROUND.

3. AERIAL VIEN OF PIER LOORING NORTHEAST (INSHORE).
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# JOINT APPLICATION FORM 

For Subaqueous Lands, Wetlands, and Marina Projects

State of Delaware<br>Department of Natural Resources<br>and Environmental Control<br>Division of Water Resources



## Joint Application Form



* Complete each section of this application as thoroughly and necurately as possible. Incomplete or inaccurate applications will be returned.
- Depending apon the nature of the project, some appendices now require professional expertise to be properly completed. A connultants list is available by calling (302) 739-4691.
* Proof of ownership or permitted land use agreement is mandatory and must be submitted at time of application.
- While completing this application, please refer to the derinitions and explanations provided in the Joint Permit Application Form Reference Guide.
* All applications must be accompanied by plan drawings which show the location and desiga details of the proposed work For specific requirements and typical drawings, refer to the explanation section and typical plans section of the Joint Application Form Reference Guide. 8 1/2" $11^{\prime \prime}$ black and white drawings (to seale) are required for every application. Full construction plans may also be submitted for additional clarification on major projects.
- Fee schedules are provided as an Insert to this application. Application fees are non-refundable regardless of the permit deciaion or application status.
* Ater completiog the basic information section, complete the appropriate "type of project" appendir for your project. Please refer to the Ioint Application Form Reference Guide if you need help.
* All applications must be completed in ink. Submit three, (3) complete copies of the application and drawings to :

Department of Natural Resources and Enviroumental Control
Division of Water Resources
Wetlands and Sabaqueous
Lands Section
89 Kings Highway, P. O. Box 1401
Dover, DE 19903

* No construction may begin at the project sité before written spproval has been received for this work.

If you have any questions about this application, please call (302) 739-4691.

## TYPE OF PROJECT <br> List of Appendices

Please complete the Basic Application Form (pagel 4 through

$$
\text { sopworch - } 1 \text { ANCH }
$$ Plesse check below only the appendice which apply to your project complete the appropriate appendices and atthech them to the Basic Application Form, (Plense see defiaitlons and explanations section of the Joint Application Form Reference Guide for further help.)

Applicationg must linve the somowing applitable appendix completed upon sabmittal. Return only those appendices which apply to your project. Incomplete applications will be retarned.
A. $X$ Boat Docking Facilities (1.4 slips)
B. ____Boat Rnmps
C. $\qquad$
D.___Channel Modifications or Impoundment Structures (Dams)
E. $\qquad$ Utility Crossings
F. $\qquad$ Intake or Outfall Structures
G.__Bulkheads
H. $\qquad$ Fill
L. $\qquad$ Rip-Rap
J. $\qquad$ Vegetative Strbilization
K._Groins, Jetties, or Brealowaters
L.__Comstruction in State Wetlands (Type I)
M._Construction in State Wetlands(Type II)
N. $\qquad$ Preliminary Marina Screening Checklist (See * below)
O.__Marinn (See * below)
P.__Stormwater Mandgement
Q. Ponds and Impoundments (Other than for Stormwater Management)
R. $\qquad$ Dredging/Maintenance
$\qquad$ Mydraulic $\qquad$ Mechanical
S. $\qquad$ New Dredging
$\qquad$ Hydraulie $\qquad$ Mechanical
*Please see following section on Marinas for a description of the requirements.
Reminder: After completing the basic application and the appendices which apply to this project, turn to the sample drawings in the Joint Application Form Reference Guide and prepare your application drawings.


For a complete description of the Marina Permit Processing Procedure, see the Joint Application Form Reference Guide.

A complete Marina Permit Application shall include the following:

## L. Siting and Design Study, including:

2. Documentation of Environmental Impact Avoidance (areas which should be addressed include but are not limited to submerged aquatic vegetation, wetlands, shellish resources and critical habitats).
b. Water Quality Assessment
c. Benthic Resources Assessment
II. Basic Application form on pages 4-8 of this application.
III. Appendix $\mathbf{O}$ from this application plus as all other applicable appendizes).
IV. Draft Operations and Maintenance Plan (See Section $V$ of the Marina Requlations-
V. Mitigation/Compensation Plan, as may be required (See Section II. D.10 of the Marina Requlations).

Additional guidance in preparing a Marina Permit Application can be found in the Marina Guidebook and the Joint Application Form Reference Guide.

## BASIC APPILRTINM FRAM <br> ATTACH ADDITIONAL $812^{n}$ X $11^{n}$ SHEETS OF PAPERAKCEEVED 

1. Applicant's (Property Owner) Telephone Number


State of New Jersey-Dept. of Eivirommental Protection Division of Parks and Porestry
501 E. State Streat, Station Plazat:5
Trenton, NJ
08625
2. Name of Leaseholder (if applicable) of land where project is coatemplated and complete sddress: N/A
$\qquad$
3. Authorized agent's aame and complete address (if applicable): $\qquad$
Telephone Number
Home ( ): $\qquad$

Telephone Number
Home ( ): $\qquad$
S. T. Hudson Engineers, Inc. 800 Hudson Squate, P.O. Box 9106
Canden, $\mathbf{N O} 08101$
(Complete agent authorization section at the botton of page 8)
4. Is this project

X_New? $X$ Repair/Replacement? See Sections 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0
Both? If "Both", please explein:
__ Supplemental Approvil for an exlsting lease or permit:
5. Provide a brief description of the project: Rohabilitation of the historic Fort Mott Piex in addition to a new ferry mooring system.
A. __Dredging
Total Estimated Volume: $\qquad$ cu. yds .
B. $\qquad$
Total Volarac: $\qquad$ cu. yds.
C. $\qquad$ ft.
D. $\qquad$ Dock(s)

Total Number;
$\qquad$ Pier(s)/Walkways
Total Number: $\qquad$
F. $X$ Other Rehabilitation of

Toral Dimensions: the historic Fort Mott Pier. looring of a 30 Ft . wide X 100 ft . long floating barge.
6. Primary purpose of the project:
$\qquad$ Shore Erosion Control
__ Dem
Utility Installation
Create Waterford Habiat Road
Temporary Construction Temporary Construction
Beach Nourishment/Fil Residential Commercial Development Erosion/Sedthent Control Erosions Sed incur Control
Stornwater Management Fill Culvert
$\qquad$ Improve Navigable Access
$\qquad$ Improve Fish Habistr-
—Stream Channelization RECEIVED
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ $\overline{\text { introduction }}$ of ferry service between Fort Mott and Fort Delaware and Fort Dupont.

_Small Pond
_Marina
Other Historic restoration of Pier and
7. Have you discussed this project with any representative of Local, State, or

Federal regulatory asemcy? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
If yes, complete the information below.
Name of Representative:
Name of Agency: DNREC, Corps, NJ and DE SHPO
Date: $\qquad$
8. Have you applied for, or obtained a permit from any Local, State, or Federal agency for any portion of this projeth descrioct in ims applinctionit
X Yes $\qquad$ No

If yes, provide the following:
Agency: Corps NJDEP
Type of Action/Pernit: Sec. 10 \& 404 Watexfront_Development/Flater_ Suality
ID No:
Application/ksue Date:_ Pending
9. Project Location: $\qquad$ Fort Mott State Park
Site address of Location: Fott Mott Road
Pennsville Township
County/City: Salem Coumty
Directions from nearest intersection of two state roads: Delaware River and Fort Mott Road off NJ Route 49.
Name of the waterbody at the project location: Delaware River Is it a tributary of any other water body? $\qquad$ Yes $X$ No If yes, which waterbody?

The waterbody at the project location is: (check one on line $\mathbf{A} \&$ one on line B)

10. Current land use: ____ Agriculture ___ Marsh/Swamp _ Xark Land
$\qquad$ Meadow Wooded $\qquad$ Developed

Present zoning is: $\qquad$ Commercial Residential ___Other Xew Jersey State Park
11. For marina projects or projects that inclade activities or construction in wetlands, list the mame and complete address of the owners, as listed with the County Board of Asserement, of aeighboring lands within 1,000 feet of the project (indading those across the waterway if within the $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ foot radias) and any clalmants of such ownership rights that are known to the applicant, with their last known addresses. For all pither projects, list the name and complete address of the owners of the contignous neighboring lands on all sides of the property. (Attach sheets as needed).

See adjacent property owners 1ist - Attacibnent
12. Will any public benefit be derived from the project?

Uncertain If yes, explain below:


Refer to Sections 1.0, 2.0 and 3.0.
13. Has any work commenced or has any portion of the project for which you are seeking a permit been completed? ___ Yes X No If yes, give details below. State when work was completed and who performed the work Please indicate on attached drawings what is proposed.
14. Proposed Start Date: March 1996
15. Contractor's Name and Complete Address: N/A

Telephone Number:
PLEASE COMPLETE AND ATTACH ALL APPROPRIATE DRAWINGS AND APPENDICES TO THIS SECTION. INCLUDE A COPY OF THE PROPERTY DEED AND SURVEY TO SHOW ALL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES AND DIMENSIONS.

## A"MACHMKNX"A"

## ADIACENT PROPERTY OWNERS LIST




## 

DID YOU COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING?

| X | Yes | BASIC APPLICATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{x}$ | Yes | APPENDICES RECEIVED |
| X | Yes | VICINITY MAP SEP 221905 |
| x | Yes | PLAN VIEW <br> WIMLANSSA AOUATC PROTECTION BRANCH |
| x | Yes | ELEVATION OR SECTION VIEW |
| ${ }^{-1}$ | Yes | SIGNATURE PAGE (Page 8) with Agent Authorization if appropriate |
| X | Yes | COPY OF PROPERTY DEED \& SURVEY |
| X | Yes | THREE (3) COMPLETE COPIES |
| x | Yes | LIST OF ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS (as per item \#11 of this basic application form) |
| N/A | Yes | APPROPRIATE APPLICATION FEE (Checks should be made payable to the State of Delaware) |

Mail 3 complete copies of the application, with drawing(s) to:
Department of Natoral Resources and Environmental Control Division of Water Resources
Wetlands and Subaqueous Lands Section
89 Kings Highway, P. O. Box 1401 Dover, DE 19903
(302) 739-4691

## APPLICANT SIGNATURE \&KR AGENT AUTHORIZATION

* All applicants must sign this page. Complete the Agent Authorization Section only if applicable.

I certify that the information on this form and the attached plans is true and accurate to the best of my lonowledge.

I understand that DNREC may request information in addition to that set forth herein and may be deemed appropriate in considering this application.

I grant permission to the authorized DNREC representative(s) to enter upon the premises for inspection purposes during working hours.

I will abide by the conditions of this approval if issued.



Applicant Name (Printed/Typed) $\qquad$
Carl Nordstrom, Deputy Director

## Agent Authorization Section

* If you elect to complete this section, all future correspondence may be signed by the duly authorized agent. In addition, the agent will become the primary point of contact for all correspondence from the Department.

1 , $\qquad$ , hereby designate and authorize Name of applcant
S, T. Hurison Engineers, Inc c. $\qquad$ to act on my behalf in the processing of this application and to furnish any information that is


Lawrefget Signature


Environmental Specialist
$\qquad$

## APPLICANT BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Pursuant to 7 Det C. Chapter 79, the following information must be submitted along with any commercial subaqueous lands permit application. "Commential" is defined as any activity undertaken for profit for which a fee will be charged, directly or indirectly, or which results in the generation of revenue. Piease use ite N/A abbreviation for any items that are mor applicable to your application.

PROVIDING ALL THE INPORMATION REQUESTED IN TEIS FORM SATLSIIES THE REQUIREMENTS OF 7 DEL $C_{\text {, }}$ CHE 79 DNLESS THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND ENYORONMENTAL CONTROL OR TELE DEPARTMIENT OF JUSTICE DETRLRMNES TRAT ADDITIONAL SUBMISSIONS ARE NECESSARY. RADUURE TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED OR PROVIDING ERRONEODS INFORMATION IS GROUNDS FOR DENYEGG OR REVOKING AN ENVIRONMENTAL PRRMIT, AND FOR CIVIL OR CRIMINAL PENAITTES.
t. * Attach a complete list of all current members of the Board of Directors, all current corporate officers, all persons owning more than 20 percent of the applieant's stock or other resources, all subsidiary companies, all parent companies, all companies with which the applicant's company sharcs two or more members of the Board of Diiectors.
2. Attach a description of any felony or other criminal conviction of any person or company identified in response to Question 1 where the conviction resulted in a fine greater than $\$ 1,000$ or a sentence longer than seven days, regardless of whether any portion of such fine or sentence was suspended.

3 Have any of the following been issued to any person or entity specified in response to Question Number $i$ or any violation of any environmental statute, regulation, permil, license, approval, or order, regardiess of the state in which it occurred, during the five years prior to the date of the application:

| Notice or Violation | (yes or n | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administrative Penalties | (yes or no) | N/A. |
| Criminal Citation | (yes or no) | N/A |
| Arrests | (yes or no) | N/A |
| Convictions | (yes or no) | N/A |
| Criminal Penalties | (yes or no) | N/A |


4. If you answered "Yes" to any of the items in Question 3, attach a description of the incidents or events leading to the issuance of each enforcement action, the disposition of each action, and any actions that have been taken to correct the violations that led to such enforcement action.
5. * Attach copies of any and all settlements of the environmental claims associated with actions identified in response to Question 3 above, whelher or not guch setulements were based on agreements where the applicant did not admit liability for the action.

I do heraby swear that I have read the above questions and have provided all of the information requestad and that all of the information provided is true and tecurate

## Date

Signature - Applicam or Corporate Agent

Name: $\qquad$


Company Name: $\qquad$
Address: $\qquad$

Phonc: $\qquad$



 numer
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## APPENDIX A BOAT DOCKING FACILITIES

 N and O , and must apply to the U. S. Corps or Engineers for approval.

* Please make sure answers to all of the questions in this appendix correspond to information on the application drawings.

1. What type of project do you propose? (Attach additional sheets as necessary.) See definition section of Joint Application Form Reference Guide for clarification of "Dock" and "Pier". Ptense give total numbers of:
$X$ Dock(s): Dimensions (Chanarelward of mean high water line) 30 f . by 100 ft . (Floating Barge)
_ Pier(s): Dimensions (Channelward of mean high water line $\qquad$ n. by $\qquad$ ft. Dimensions Channelward of mean low water line) $\qquad$ ft. by $\qquad$ f.
$\qquad$ Finger Piers(s):
Dimensions (Channelward of mean high water line) $\qquad$ ft. by $\qquad$ ft. Dimensions (Chanaelward of mean low water line) $\qquad$ ft. by $\qquad$ ft.
__ Buoy: What will be used for anchor(s) $\qquad$ type of device $\qquad$ weight
$\qquad$ Dry Stack Facilities: Total Dimensions $\qquad$
Number of Boat Storage Spaces $\qquad$
 Total Dimensions (Channelward of mean high water line) 10 fl by 10 fi X Mooring __Dolphin __ Piling __Other (Explain):
2. Approximately how wide is the waterway at this project site? $\qquad$ ft. 2.5 Miles
3. What will be the mean low water depth at the most channeiward end of the mooring「acility? $\qquad$ 13 rt .
4. What type of material(s) will be used for construction of the mooring facility (e.g. salt treated wood, creosote pilings, fiberglass floats, etc.)?
$36^{\prime \prime}$ steel monopiles will be used to moor the 30 ft . wide $x 100 \mathrm{ft}$. long steel barge. Four (4) steel piles will be used to support the barge ramp, platform
(APPENDDX A COMTINLED)
5. Will any structure(s) be built on the boat docking facility? __ Yes X_No If your amwer is "YES", note dimensions and location on application drawings.
6. What will be the distance from the most channelward end of the docking facility to the edge of any natural or man-made channel? 3800 ft .
7. Give the number of each type of vessed (eg. 3-sail, 4-power, 1-tug, etc.) projected to be docked/moored at the facility? Please draw exact boat locations on plans and drawings. The ferry transfer facility will service the "Dela-Fort" which is 55 ft . long, 16 ft . in beam with a draft of $3-1 / 2$ feet.
8. What sibe vessel(s) will be moored at the facility? (Attach sheets as needed).

9. Do you plan to reach the boat docking facility from your own upland property? X_Yes__No If "No", explain your proposed menns of access.
10. Give the number of each type of Marine Sanitation Device (cog. MSD M, Portable toilet) that will be ased on vessels to be docked at the facility. N/A
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STATE OF DELAWARE
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
DIVISION OF HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS
Historic preservation Office
15 The GrEEN
TELEPHONE (302) 739 -58B5
DOVER DE 19901-36:1
November 14, 1995

Mr. Frank J. Clanfreni
Chief, Regulatory Branch
Philadelphia District, Corps of Engineers
100 Penn Square East
Philadelphia, PA 19107-3390
ATHN: Jim Boyer
Dear Frank:
This letter is pursuant to our receipt and review of the Public Notice (CENAP-OP-R-199101143-24) for the proposed rehabilitation of the pier at Fort Mott. As you know, the pier is part of the Fort Mott and Finn Point National Cametery Historic District. The pier is located within the State of Delaware; hence, our participation in the Section 106 review process.

Since 1992, we have been consulting with the NJ Parks and Forestry staff, their consultant and the NJ SHPO on this project. After reviewing the initial alternatives for providing a pier to access vessels coming from Fort Delaware and Fort Dupont, we determined that there was the potential to adversely effect this historic property pursuant to 36 CTR $800.9(b)(1)$ of the Advisory Council on Historic preservation's regulations. Since those initial meetings? we have determined that rehabilitation is the least damaging to the historic property while being economically practical. The rehabilitation will result in some loss of historic property; it is not a complete replication of the historic details of the pier since there wore changes made to the pier during its historic use; and, additional features or elements must be added to the rehabilitation plan to accommodate new engineering and safety standards as well as the proposed modern usage of the pier. Given the nature of the proposed work, it is our opinion that the proposed rehabilitation will adversely effect this historic property; however, to satisfactorily mitigate this adverse effect, a Memorandum of Agreement is being developed which details stipulations which the applicant will carry out in order to minimize this effect.

I have forwarded a copy of the draft Memorandum of Agreement, which has been circulated to the NJ SHPO, the Applicant and their consultant, to Jim Boyer. We hope to finalize the details of this Memorandum soon and circulate it for signatures. I request that your staff review this draft document and provide
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comments as soon as it is convenient. In the interim, if you have any question, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you.

Sincerely,


Faye L. Stocum
Archaeologist
cc: Dan Saunders, NJ SHPO
Indrek Ofamaa, NJ Parks \& Forestry
L. Sturgeon, S.T. Hudson Engineering

Restston Cox. ACHP
1 Sill Moyer, DNRRC
Jennifer Lukens, DE CMP

State of Delaware
department of natural resources and environmental control
DIVISION OF SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION
89 kings highwar

December 15, 1995

Mr. Lawrence R. Horan
S. T. Hudson Engineers Inc.

800 Cooper Street, Hudson Square
Camden, NJ 08102

## RE: Federal Consistency Certification <br> Rehabilitation of Historic Fort Mott Pier

Dear Mr. Horan:
The Delaware Coastal Management Program (DCMP) has received and reviewed your consistency determination for the above referenced project. Based upon our review and pursuant to National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Administration regulations (15 CFR 930), the DCMP concurs with your consistency certification for the rehabilitation of the historic Fort Mott Pier. Our concurrence is based upon the restrictions and/or conditions placed on any and all permits issued to you for this project.

If you have any questions regarding our concurrence please do not hesitate to contact me-at (302) 739-3451.


Sarah W. Cooksey, Administikator
Delaware Coastal Managementrogram

## SWC/mal

SWC/mal $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { File } 95.010 \checkmark \\ & \text { Frank Cianfrani, USACE }\end{aligned}$


STATE OF DELAWARE Department of Natural resources \& ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL

## DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES

eq Kings hiohwar. PO. Box 1401
DOVER. DELAWARE 19903

January 4, 1996
NJDEP, Department of Parks and Forestry
501 East State Street. Station Plaza 5
Trenton, NJ 08625
RE: CENAP-OP-R-199101143-24-Rehabiliation of the Pier at Fort Mott, NJ

Dear Sir or Madam:
The State of Delaware hereby waives the water quality certification that is required in accordance with Section 401 of the Clean Water Act, for the above referenced project. This waiver satisfies the water quality certification requirements of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for the above referenced project.

Please do not hesitate to contact this office if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,


William F. Mover
Program Manager II
Wetlands and Subaqueous
Lands Section
pc: Frank Cianfrani
401waiv
state of Delaware
DEPARTMENT QF NATURAL RESOURCES
\& ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL
DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES
89 KING5 MIGHWAV PO. GOX 1401
DOVER, DELAWARE 19903

Jamuary 12, 1996
NJDEP Dept. of Parks and Forestry
501 East State Street, Station Plaza 5
Trenton, New Jersey 08625

## Dear Sir/Madam:

Enclosed is the subaqueous lands lease granted by the Stote of Delaware. Please pay special attention to General Condition No. 16, which will apply if yout plan to sell the upland portion of your property. Also note Special Condition No. 10 explaining potentiod lease fee requirements, Alhougg a lease fee is not currently required, a fee could be required for this structure by legislative action at a later date.

A copy of this approval and letter will be forwarded to the Corps of Engineers.
If you hove anty questions regarding this approval, feel free to contact this office.
Sincerely,


- Laura M. Herr

Branch Manager
Wetland's \& Subaqueous
Lands Section
F
LMH/fr
pc: Frank Cianfrani
Enclosure


EXHIBIT $\frac{\text { Proderick } 24}{520} 9 / 27 / 06$
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Pormillicese Mo. and Doserfpllorc Sh-1110/95 Re-canstuct. a pier to include placenent of a barge 30 feot by 100 feet and a pile-supported platform 10 feet by 10 feet an the Delaware River
lasued Tor NuIEP, Department of Parks and Forestry
Lecition of Wort New Castle Cainty, Delamere adjacent to Hew Jeisey's Foit Mout Stite Park, Salem County, New Jersey Date of Explration: February 7, 1999
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State of Delaware Department of Natural resources
a ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL
Division of Water resources
89 Kings Mignway. PO Box 1401
Dover. Delaware 19903

WETLANDS \& \$LBACXEOUS LANDS SECTION

TELEPHONE (302) $39-1691$
FAX (302) 39.3491

Subaqueous Lands Lease: SL-1110/95
Date of Issuance: $2 / n / q L_{L}$ Construction Expiration Date: $2 / 2 / 99$ Amended Date:

# SUBAQUEOUS LANDS LEASE GRANTED TO NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION OF PARKS AND FORESTRY <br> FOR THE RE-CONSTRUCTION OF A PIER TO INCLUDE PLACEMENT OF A <br> BARGE 30 FEET BY 100 FEET AND A PLLESUPPORTED PLATFORM 10 <br> FEET BY 10 FEET ON THE DULAWARE RIVER IN NEW CASTLA COUNTY, DELAWARE, ADJACENT TO NEW JERSEY'S FORT MOTT STATE PARK, SALEM COUNTY, NEW JERSEY 

NJDEP, Dept. of Parks and Forestry
501 East State Street, Siation Fiaza 5
Trenton NJ 08625
Pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del. C., §7203, and the Department's Regulations Governing the Use of Subaqueous Lands, permission is hereby granted on this 7xh day of feluceaty A.D. 1996, to construct the above-referenced project in accordance with plans titled "Pier Rehabilitation and New Floating Ferry Dock" Sheets 1-4, dated 9/13/95 and received by this Division on 9/22/95, and application dated 9/19/95 and received by this Division on 9/22/95.

WHEREAS; the State of Delaware is the owner of ungranted subaqueous lands lying beneath the waters of the Delaware River, and

WHEREAS, the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Department of Parks and Forestry, owner of certain adjoining lands to the Delaware River has applied for permission to construct a pier and ferry dock; and

## Delaware's good nature depends an youl

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del. C., 77203 , the Secretary of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control through his duly authorized representative finds that it is not contrary to the public interest if this project is approved subject to the terms and conditions herein set forth.

This approval is in accordance with plans and application, copies of which are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

This lease shall be continued for a period of ten (10) years or so long as the conditions attached to the lease are adhered to, whichever is the shorter in time. Upon the expiration of the ten-year term, this lease shall expire and become null and void, unless prior thereto the lessee shail have applied for and received a renewal of this lease. A renewal may be denied if the State determines that the lease is no longer in the public interest.

THIS lease is issued subject to the following conditions:

## SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. The condirions contained herein shall be included as part of the main body of the construction contract and other ancillary documents associated with earth disturbance and any other activities directly or indirectly associated with construction which may impact subaqueous lands associated with this project. The lessee is responsible for ensuring that the contractor and/or workers excouting the activities authorized by this lease have full knowledge of the terms and conditions of this lease.
2. The construction schedule for this project shail be arranged so as to minimize the impact on anadromous fish passage.
3. Construction shall be conducted so as not to violate the State of Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, "Surface Water Quality Standards" dated February 26, 1993.
4. A copy of this lease must be available on-site during all phases of construction activity.
5. The structures on public subaqueous lands shall be for the explicit purpose of serving as a ferry terminal as stated in Section 7 of Appendix A of the application form.
6. Removal of wetlands and/or aquatic vegetation adjacent to the authorized. construction by burning, cutting, herbicide treatments or other methods is prohibited. Removal of wetlands and/or aquatic vegetation in the path of construction shall be minimized.
7. All construction debris, excavated material, brush, rocks and refuse incidental to such work shall be placed above the influence of surface waters.
8. The dock and pier shall be constructed in a manner so as not to impair water access to the adjoining properties and a minimum of ten (10) feet from the adjacent properties.

## GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. The project is to be undertaken in accordance with the plans submitted and attached hereto. Any activities not specifically authorized berein may require a supplemental approval from this office prior to the initiation of construction. A determination of the need for a supplemental approval will be made by this office pursuant to the lessee submitting written notification and revised plans indicating project changes to this office.
2. Representatives of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control may inspect such work during any phase of the construction and may collect any samples or conduct any tests that are deemed necessary.
3. This lease does not cover the structural stability of the project.
4. Prior to the expiration of this lease, the lessee shall remove all structures covered under this lease unjess the lease has been renewed in accordance with its terms.
5. Any actions, operations or installations which are considered by the Department to be contrary to the best interests of the public shall constitute reason for the discontinuance and/or removal of said action, operation or installation.
6. The issuance of this lease does not imply approval of any other part, phase, or portion of any overall project the lessee may be conteraplating.
7. This lease is subject to the terms and conditions contained in any easement, license or permit that may have been granted by the State or ary political subdivision, board, commission or agency of the Slate in the vicinity of the project.
8. This lease and authorization are granted for the purposes as stated herein. Any other use without prior approval shall constitute reason for this lease being revoked.
9. The lessee shall notify the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control within ten (10) days of the date work will be commenced.
10. The lessee shall maintain any structure on public subaqueous lands in good and safe condition and will protect and save the State of Delaware harmless from any loss, cost or damage by reason of said structures.
11. This lease shall not be construed to grant or confer any right, title, easement, or interest in, to, or over any land belonging to the State of Delaware other than that of a tenans.
12. This lease is subject to the terms and conditions contained in any easement, license or permit that may have been granted by the State or any political subdivision, board, commission or agency of the State in the vicinity of the leased premises.
13. This lease and authorization are granted for the purposes as stated herein. Any other use without prior approval shall constitute reason for this lease being revoked.
14. This lease shall expire if the project has not been completed within three (3) years from the date of issuance.
15. If the lessee considers three (3) years insufficient for completion of the project, the lessee may submit a project time schedule for consideration by the Department. If the time schedule is approved it shall be attached hereto and made a part hereof.
16. This lease is not assignable or transferable without the prior written consent of the Department. Prior to the transfer of the property, it is the responsibility of the lessee to provide the new owner with a copy of the lease or to remove all structures. Prior to property conveyance, the lessee must also notify the Department of the change in ownership.
17. The lessee shall at all times comply with all applicable laws and regulations of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control.
18. The issuance of this lease does not constitute approval for any of the activities as may be required by any other local, state or federal governmental agency.
19. Application for renewal must be submitted six (6) months prior to the expiration date of this lease.
20. This lease may be revoked upon violation of any of the above conditions.

DN WTINESS WHEREOF, I, Cadi Nordstrom, Deputy Director of the NJDEP's Division of Parks and Forestry, have caused this instrument to be executed on this e- 3 HCO $\qquad$ day of
 1996:


SWORN and subscribed before me on this $y$ inch 1996.



TERESPTafysRenhlion

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, L, Christophe A.G. Tulou, Secretary, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, have hereunto set my hand and seal this
$\qquad$ day of
 1996.



STATE OF NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (See Issuing Diviston below)

PERMIT*


* The word permit means "approval, certification, registration, etc."
(General Conditions are on the Reverse Side)
DEP-007 (1/95)

The permittee shall allow an authorized representative of the Department of Environmental Protection \& Energy the right to inspect construction pursuant to N.J.A.C, 7:7-1.5(b) 4.

This permit is issued subject to and provided that the following conditions can be met to the satisfaction of the Land Use Regulation Program.

## PHYSLCAL CONDITIONS

1. No work is authorized by this permit outshore of the existing crib structure facing timbers [Delaware state Waters].

## ADMINISTRATIYE CONDITXONS:

1. Permitted activities are subject to approval of the Army Corps of Engineers.
2. The following project aspects are subject to approval of the state of Delaware: a) installation of floating ferry mooring associated pilings, and b) removal of rip-rap against the crib structure below mean low water.
3. Prior to any construction, the permittee shall submit and have approved by the program a suitable mitigation site and mitigation plan to compensate for the loss of 0.25 acres of wetlands and intertidal shallows [ 0.17 acres of wetland/0.09 acres of intertidal shallow]. Wetland mitigation proposal requirements can be found within subchapter 14.4 of the Freshwater Wetlands Protection Act Rules (N.J.A.C. 7:7A-1.1 et sed.).
4. Prior to or concurrent with permitted work, the permittee shall perform mitigation activities to compensate for the authorized filling of wetlands and intertidal shallows area according to the requirements of $7: 7 \mathrm{E}-3.15$ (c) and 7:7E$3.27(\mathrm{~h})$. A model deed restriction for the chosen mitigation site is included as a permit addendum.
5. Prior to initiation of any work on the crib structure the permittee shall obtain from the Tidelands Prograin a finalized "Management Agreement" approved by the Tidelands Resource Council. [in lieu of license]

Waterfront Development Permit (1708-95-0014.1
6. This permit shall be RECORDED in the office of the County clerk (the REGISTRAR OF DEEDS AND MORTGAGES in the applicable counties) in the county wherein the lands included in the permit are located within ten (10) days after receipt of the permit by the applicant and verified notice shall be forwarded to the Land Use Regulation Program immediately thereafter.
7. This permit is NOT VALID until the permit acceptance form has been signed by the applicant, accepting and agreeing to adhere to all permit conditions, and returned to the Land Use Regulation Program at CN 401, Trenton, NJ 08625:


for Bureau of coastal Regulation

State of Delaware
Department of Natural Resources
\& Environmental Control
Division of parks \& Recreation
89 Kings Highway
PO EON 1401
DOVER, DELAWARE 19903
April 4, 1996

Mr. Carl Nordstrom
New Jersey Department of
Environmental Protection
EN 404
Trenton, NJ 08625-0404

Dear Carl:

It is my understanding that in order to fulfill your obligations for permitting of the restored pier at Fort Mott that you are required to mitigate one acre of wetlands. After evaluating our holdings at Pea Patch Island I am pleased to inform you that we will be glad to allow that mitigation to take place on the island. Per this letter you are authorized to conduct that mitigation pending a review and approval of plans.

Please let me know when next steps are needed to move this project forward.
Sincerely,


Mark R. Chura, Manager
Planning, Preservation and Development

MRC:dab
cc: Charles Salkin


Christine Todd Whitman Gouprnor

## State of A才ven IJerseg

Department of Environmental Protection Robert C. Shinn, Ir.
Division of Parks and Forestry . Commissioner

Trenton, NJ 08625-0404
Tel. \#609-292-2733
Fax 14609-984-0503
March 6, 1997

David J. Hazelton, Project Assistant
The Delaware River \& Bay Authority PO Box 71
New Castle, Delaware 19720
Re: Riparian/Subaqueous License/Leases - Ft. Mott Pier Project
Dear Dave:

In accordance with our conversation of March 4, 1997, I've reviewed the Delaware Subaqueous Lease and N.J. Tidelands Resource Council Licenses granted for the above noted project and we have concluded the following facts.

## New Jersey Tidelands Resource License

This license was issued on July 18, 1996 and continues for two years, expiring on July 18, 1998. This interim license was issued by the Department of Environmental Protection to our Division for construction purposes and prior to its expiration, we will apply to the Tidelands Resource Council for an additional 20 years to operate the pier facility.

As long as the Division submits the annual payment for this license and operates this pier for the public good, there is no reason for the Council to deny the extension of our license.

Please note that in accordance with our proposed landing agreement, the Division is not intending to assign this license responsibility to the DRBA and we accept full responsibility to insure its renewal for the initial and renewal term of our proposed agreement.

## Delaware Subaqueous Lease

This lease was issued on February 7, 1997 and expires on February 7, 2007. Once again, as long as the Division operates this pier "for the public good", there is no concern on our part that this lease won't be extended beyond 2007.

The Division also accepts full responsibility for the renewal of this lease for the initial and renewal term of our proposed agreement.

Please review and I trust the above noted clarification will resolve any questions your legal department may have. Once again, please note that the Division of Parks and Forestry hereby accepts full responsibility for the continuation of the above noted license/leases and we will insure that no interruption of the proposed ferry service or use of our docking facility will occur due to revocation of these licenses.


## CRN:ss

c: Assistant Commissioner Hall
Director Marshall
R. Barker
J. Rozmus
S. Mauger
A. Payne
I. Ojamaa

## State of Delaware <br> Subaqueous Lands Lease Renewal Form

In order to insure that your lease renewal application can be processed, it is important to provide a complete and accurate answer for all of the requested information: Upon being processed, your application will be placed on public notice. Please do not respond with vague answers such as "see original application" or "see enclosed plans". as these answers may be regarded as incomplete answers. Incomplete applications may be ${ }_{r}$ returned for additional information. If you reed assistance or have questions about this application, please contact one of our representatives at (302) PRSCM

1. Contact nnformation

2. Fill in the dimensions for all your leased structures or activities. If you leave this section blank or reference the plans (e.g. "see plans"), your application will not be accepted and will be retarned to you. The dimensions listed below should be the dimensions of the structure as it exists today. If you do not have one of the structures listed, you may leave those items blank. If your structures are not covered by this list, you may list the structures in the space provided or on another page.

3. Please estimate the distance between the end of your structure and the nearest edge of the navigational channel. $\qquad$
RECEDED
4. Please list the street address at project location.

454 FORT M IT ROAD
Street Address (Do Not use a R.O. Box or Lot number unless that number is visible somewhere at property.)

5. Please provide the directions from the nearest state or county roads (for example: 1.3. mites north of State Route 99 on County Road 999). List a permanent landmark if one is nearby. We will use this information to find the structure for a site inspection. You may' provide a map in lieu of directions, however that must include State or County roads. If you do provide a map, please mark the exact location of the site on the map.

$$
\text { औ SEE ENCLOSED MAP OFF RT. } 49
$$

6. Please list the name and complete mailing address of the owners of the contiguous neighboring lands on ali sides of the property (attach sheets as needed).
N.T. STATE PARK - FORT MOTT

* SEE ATTACHED BROCHURE


## Subaqueous Lands Lease Renewal Form - Page 2 of 4



7．Complete County Tax Map Number and Parcel Number．This information was not collected in the past It．links your leased structure to the property that it was built from． Each county has a different system for identifying property．The blocks below have been provided to help you record the complete number，from your respective county，with your application．If you do not know this number，please contact your County＇s Recorder of＇， Deeds at the phone numbers provided below．You may add i＂ 0 ＂for every block that does not correspond to the number that identifies your property．See the example below．

Kent County：736－2010
ロロロ．ロロ－ロロ－ロロ－ロ－ロロ．ロロ

RECEIVED ：：R
AUG 162006
required ．required if relevant required required．WETTLANDS
Now Castle County：365－5400

$\mid$ district＞｜＜－map page number－＞｜＜n－parcel number $\rightarrow \mid<-$ subparcel $\rightarrow$－$\rightarrow \mid$
required requited required relevant

Sussex County：855－7878

## ㅁ－ロロ－ロロ．ロロ－ロロロロ．ロロ－ロロロロ <br> 

An example based on the fictitious tax parcel identification number＂1－51－37－26．1＂ from Sussex County．＂ $1-51$＂would be the district，＂ 37 ＂would be the map， 26.1 would be the parcel，and there would be no unit that applies to this particular tax map and parcel number．The correct way to fill out this number would be as follows：


Return this application to：Wetlands and Subaqueous Lands Section DNREC
89 Kings Highway
Dover，DE 19901

## State of Delaware Subaqueous Lands Lease Renewal Form

Attach the $\$ 225.00$ application fee. Checks should be made payable to the State of Delaware.

You must include three copies of the following items to complete your application.

1. The deed showing ownership of the upland property that abuts the leased struoture and the property survey showing all legal boundaries of the upland property that abuts the leased structure.
2. A drawing of the project as it exists today. Include actual configuration, all dimensions, piles, additions, and modifications, and the position where the high water mark and the low . water mark are in relation to the leased stracture. IF THE ORIGINAL DRAWING DOEES NOT REFLECT THE STRUCTURE AS IT EXISTS TODAX, YOUMUST DRAFTNEW, ACCURATE PLANS AND SUBMIT THEM TO THIS OFFICE.
3. All four pages of this renewal form (after completing and signing).

AUG 162006.

## Applicant Signature and/or Agent Authorization

WEILANDS

I certify that the information on this form and the attached plans are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, I uaderstand that DNREC may request information in addition to that set forth herein as may be utemed appropiate in consldering this application, I grant permission ta the authorized DNREC representative(s) to enter upon the premises for intapection purposes during working howes. I will abide by .


Applicant Name (primted or typed) $\qquad$
If you elect to complete this section, all future correspondence may be signed by the duly authorized agent: In addition, the agent will become the primary point of contact for all correspondence from DNREC.
1, $\qquad$ , hereby designate and anthorize

$\qquad$
Name of Agent


NuT. STATE RARK SERRVICE Agenl Slgnature

Subaqueous Lands Lease Renewal Form - Page 4 of 4.

## TO：

BECRETARY－DNRREC
DIRBCTOR－Diviston of Air \＆Waste Dasnagament
DIRBCTOR－Disision of Pauks \＆Recreation
DIRECTIOR－Division of Fish\＆WIlalife
MANAGERS－Fiskeries，Mosquito Cunbol \＆Wilahie
DRRECTOR－Division of Boil \＆Water Conscryation
DMECTCR－Division of Water Resonuces
MANAAGBR－Growndwater Diacharges Seotion－Wator Resources
MANACIER－Surficewatar Disoharges－Wader Resonrces
MANAGERR－Wrtas Ehed Assesspuent Bramah－Whemer Resoncces
GEORGEIOWN OFFICE－Division of WatarResquicies
CEJEF－PuibIIo Affinis，DNREEC
DELAWARR GEOLOGHCAL SURVEY
NEW CASILE，SUSSEX \＆KENT COUNTY PLANNNNG ORFICES
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTEAAND SOCJAL SRRVICES
GOVERNOR＇S COUNCLL ON EENVIRONDMBNEAL，CONTROL
COUNCIL ON EISTORTCAL \＆CULTURAL APFARS，ARCHABOLOGICAL OPPICB
ENVIROAMIRNTAL PROTECTKON AGENCY
U．B．PISHE B WILDLJFE SERVICB
U，S：ARMY COKPS OP ENGLNEERS
NATIONAL OCEANIC \＆ATMOSPRIBRIC ADMMNISTRATION
ADIACENT PROPERTY OFNNER
WBTLANDS MALLNO LST
FROM：WETLANIOS AMD SUBAQUZOUS LANDS BECTION－Talephone（302）739－9949
SUBJECT：PENDING APPLICATIONSFOR SUBAQUEOUS ANDIOR WETLANDS PROJECTS

Attached is a copy of a notice which appears in the News Joumal and The
Delaware State News on Wednesday，Deoember 13，2006．If you have any comments please retum this memo to this office by Jamary $?_{2} 2007$.

PROUECT NOTICE NO． 25
RETURN THIS PORMON TO；Depirtment of Natural Resources \＆Environmental Control－ Wetlands \＆Subaqueous Lands Section， 89 Kings Elghway，Dover，DE 19901

1．Applicant Namo：
2．Date Notice Recelved
3．No comments
4．My comments are as follows：

5．Bigned
6．DivJAdidess
7．Phone：

$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## DNREC - Wellands and Subaqueous Lands Section

## Subanueous Lands Anplications

1. New Jerbey State Park Service - To maineain 130 fort by 255 foot piex, a 50 foot by 62 foot dock, a 10 foot by 10 foot pintionm with an 8 foot by 60 foot moup poumerteri to a 30 foot by 100 foot floating barge plaffomin the Deleware River at Fort Mott Stale Paric, Pennsville, Salem Counts, NJ,
2. Marray mad Tami Othan - Tomnistria a 4 foot by 40 foot pier and a 5 foot by 15 foot doak in Dhticksson Creek at 35490 Oid Mill Brídgo Road (Lot 4, Dirictsan Lamdinge, Framktord, Sussex Coumty, DEs,
3. Ronuld Mould - To meinteinu 5 foot by 25 font dock to Roy Cneak at 37908 Bhe Heron Rur, Kecriwick Sound, Selbyville, Sussex County, DE
4. Arbnt J. Collins - To construat a 4 foot by 90 foot pier, an 8 foot by 25 foot flowting dock with a 4 foot by 18 foot roller gangway,


## Wellingis Apnicationis

 stome sevedment, 382 limear feet of marsh toe sill and 225 lisear foet of vegetated coconort fiber logs in wellands adjacent to the


Applications above are availablo for inspection or copies may he obtained by contacting.
Denise J. Rawding
Wetlands and Sobaquerous Lands Seotion
89 Kings Jighway
Dover DE 19901
(302) 739-9943

A public hearing on the above applications will NOT be hold unless the Secretary ofDNREC determines that a hearing is in the public interest or if a written meritorious objection to the application is received within 20 days from this notice. A public hearing request shall be deemed mexitorious ifit exthibits a $\%$ familimity with the application and provides a reasoned statement of the action's probable ingact.







```
DOVFi, mu 1900!
D00,T19590
```



## INREC- WETLANIS AND SUBAQUROUS LANDS SECTION

LECAL NOTXCR
PERMITAPRLLCASTONDUBLCC HEAMMC
Pursuani to 7 Dolawaye Corda, Gubsecfion 7208, the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Cobitrol will condurta public hearing on Thuseddy, January 11, 2007, commencing at 8:00 pm at the Lewes Pubic Litray, 111 Actarns Avenue, Lewes, Delaware.

The purpose of the hearing is to solicit the viens of Interested partes in regards to the Dhislon of Soll and Water Consenvalion's permitappleation to reconstruct and refurbish the north and south timber and stone grome at Herfing Polnt by płacing 17,900 square feet of stone. fip-rap extending channelward a maximum of 250 feet from maan ligh watar in the Atiantlo Ocban at Cape Henlopen Sate Park, Lewea, Suesex County, Delaware.

The application and supporting docurnents may be inspected at the offica of the DNREC, Division of Whater Resources, Whetlands and Subaquaous Lands Section, 89 Kings Higtway, Dover, Delaware, any fime between 9:15 a.m. and $4: 15$ p.me, Monday through Friday. Commenls concerning this application should be made in writing to the Division withln twenty (20) days from the date of this nofice. For additional informiation, or an appointment to inspect these doculments, please call (302) 738-8943,

Statements and tositimomy may be presented at the publio hearhi ether orally or in written form. Writen statements may also be presented prior to the hearing and should be addressed to:

Denisa Rawding
Wettands and Subaqpyeous Lands Section 89 Kinge Highway, Dover_ DE 19901
(302) 739-9943

PUBLICATIONIMSTRUCTIONS:
PMEASE PURUSH AS A2COLLHN IISPLAY AD IN THE FDLOWNGE:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| BULINC ENFORMATICN: | 080802 |
| DNREC, DWIt, Deniss Reatilu |  |
| B9 KNNOS HIGHMAY |  |
| BCVER DE 18001 |  |
| 302-739-6943 |  |
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# JOINT APPLICATION FORM 

For Subaqueous Lands, Wetlands, and Marina Projects

State of Delaware<br>Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control Division of Water Resources



## TYPE OF PROJECT <br> List of Appendices

Please complete the Basic Application Form (pages 4 through 8) for all projects. Please check below only the appendices which apply to your project, complete the appropriate appendices and attach them to the Basic Application Form. (Please see definitions and explanations section of the Joint Application Form Reference Guide for further help.)

Applications must have the following applicable appendix completed upon submittal. Return only those appendices which apply to your project. Incomplete applications will be returned.
A. $\qquad$ Boat Docking Facilities (1-4 slips)
B. Boat Ramps
C. $\qquad$ Road Crossings
D._Channel Modifications or Impoundment Structures (Dams)
E. $X$ Utility Crossings
F.___Intake or Outfall Structures
G. $\qquad$ Bulkheads
H. $\qquad$ Fill

L $\qquad$ Rip-Rap
$\qquad$ Vegetative Stabilization

K $\qquad$ Groins, Jetties, or Breakwaters
L.__Construction in State Wetlands (Type I)
M. $\qquad$ Construction in State Wetlands(Type II)
N.__Preliminary Marina Screening Checklist (See * below)
O.__Marina (See * below)
P.__Stormwater Management
Q.___Ponds and Impoundments (Other than for Stormwater
Management)
R_Dredging/Maintenance
$\qquad$ Hydraulic $\qquad$ Mechanical
S. $\qquad$ New Dredging Hydraulic $\qquad$ Mechanical
*Please see following section on Marinas for a description of the requirements.
Reminder: After completing the basic application and the appendices which apply to this project, turn to the sample drawings in the Joint Application Form Rererence Guide and prepare your application drawings.

```
*Attachment:
Delaware State Department of Transportation
U S Route 113
Dover, DE 19901
(302) 739-4302
US Army Corps of Engineers
1203 College Park Road
Suite 103
Dover, DE 19904
(302) 736-9763
E. I Dupont Chambers
Deepwater, NJ 08023
(609) 299-5000
New Jersey Department of Transportation
1035 Parkway Avenue
Trenton, NJ 08625
(609) 530-2001
```


# BASIC APPLICATION FORM <br> ATTACH ADDITIONAL 8 1/2" X $11^{\prime \prime}$ SHEETS OF PAPER AS NEEDED 

1. Applicant's (Property Owner)
name and complete address:
Delmarva Power \& Light
P.O. Box 9239

Newark, DE 19714
2. Name of Leaseholder (if applicable) of land where project is contemplated and complete address: N/A
3. Authorized agent's name and complete address (if applicable): Juan S. Crofton
16 Harper AVenue
portsmouth, VA 23707

Telephone Number
Home ( ): $\qquad$
Work (302): 454-5169

Telephone Number
Home ( ): $\qquad$
Work ( ) :
(Complete agent authorization section at the botton of page 8)
4. Is this project
___ New? ___ Repair/Replacement?
X Both? If"Both", please explain:-'Going into existing power cable easeme __ Supplemental Approval for an existing lease or permit?
5. Provide a brief description of the project:
A. Dredging Total Estimated Volume: $\qquad$ cu. yds.
B. $\qquad$
Total Volume: $\qquad$ cu. yds.
C. $\qquad$ Share Erosion Control
Total Length: $\qquad$ ft.
D. $\qquad$ Dock(s)
Total Number: $\qquad$
E. $\qquad$ Pier(s)/Walkways
Total Number: $\qquad$
F. X. Other 3475 Lineal Feet of Tocal Dimensions: Submarine Cable
6. Primary parpose of the project:

Shore Erosion Control
X Utility Installation Create Waterfowl Habitat Temporary Consuruction Beach NourishmentFill Residential Commercial Development Erasion/Sediment Control Stormwater Management Fill Culver

Dam
Road
Improve Navigable Aecess
Improve Fish Hebitat Stream Channelization Maintensnce/Repair Small Pond Marina Bridge Veasel Berthing/Launching Other $\qquad$
7. Have you discussed this project with any representative of Local, State, or

Federal reģulatory agency? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
If yes, complete the information below.
Name of Representative: Chuck McNally - Ted Mercer
Name of Agency: Delaware DNR
Date: June 1997
8. Eave you applied for, or obtained a permit from any Local, State, or Federal agency for zay porion of this project described in tim applizetiont:

$$
\text { _Yes } X \text { No }
$$

If yes, provide the following:
Agency:
Type of ActionPermit: $\qquad$
ID No:
Application/Lsue Date: $\qquad$
9. Projers Location: Delaware River

Site address of Location: Pigeon Point, Delaware to
Deepwater, NJ (Waterborne)

County/City: New Castle, Delaware
Directions from nearat intersection of two state roads: Rt. $9 \mathrm{~N} \&$ Lambson's Road
to Corps of Engineers Southeast Corner of Landfill
Name of the witerbody at the project location: DeIaware River
Is it a tributary of any other water bods? $\qquad$ Yes $X$ No If yes, which waterbody?

The waterbody at the project location is: (check one on line A \& one on line B)
A. $\frac{x}{x}$ Natural $\qquad$ Man-made $\qquad$ Vncertain
B. X Tidal Nod-tidal $\qquad$ Dacertain
10. Current land use:
$\qquad$ Meadow
$\qquad$ Agriculture $\qquad$ Marsh/Swamp X Navigational Waterwa:
$\qquad$ Wooded $\square$ Developed

Present zoning is: $\qquad$ Agriculture Residential
X_Other State Owned Bottom
11. a. List the name and complete address of the owners of the contiguous neighboring lands op all sides of the property. (Attach sheets as needed). Delaware State * (See Attached Sheet for Army Corp of Engineers Addresses)
NJ Side - E I DuPont de Nemours and Company NJ DOT
b. For marina projects or projects that include activiries or construction in vetlands. list the name and complete address of the owners, as listed with the County Board of Assessment, of neighboring lands within 1,000 feet of the project (including those across the waterway if within the 1,000 foot radius) and any claimants of such ownership rights that are known to the applicant, with their last known addresses.
12. Will any public benefit be derived from the project? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No
$\qquad$ Lincertain If yes, explain below: Increase Communication Networking to Further Assist Public.
13. Has any work commenced or has any portion of the project for which you are seeking a permit been completed? $\qquad$ Y $\qquad$ No If yes, give details below. State when work was completed and who performed the work. Please indicate on attached drawings what is proposed.
14. Proposed Start Date: $\qquad$ Qctober 1, 1997
15. Contractor's Name and Complete Address: Crofton Construction Services, Inc.

16 Harper Avenue Portsmouth, VA 23707
Teiephone Number: (757) 397-1131
PLEASE COMPLETE AND ATTACH ALL
APPROPRLATE DRAWINGS AND APPENDICES TO THIS
SECTIOX. INCLLDE A COPY OF THE PROPERTY DEED A:VD SURVEY TO SHOW ALL PROPERTY BOUNDARIES AND DIMENSIONS.

## APPLICANT SIGNATURE \&/OR AGENT AUTHORIZATION

* All applicanta must sign this page. Complete the Agent Authorization Section only if applicable.

I certify that the information on this form and the attached plans is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

I understand that DNREC may request information in addition to that set forth herein and may be deemed appropriate in considering this application.

I grant permission to the authorized DNREC representatives) to enter upon the premises for inspection purposes during working hours.

I will abide by the conditions of this approval if issued.


Applicant Name (Prinsed/Typed) $\qquad$
Agent Authorization Section

- If you elect to complete this section, all future correspondence may be signed by the duly authorized agent. In addition, the agent will become the primary point of contact for all correspondence from the Department.


4pplicentsignarure
$\frac{\text { LW }}{\text { Agent Sigasture }}$ form
4. If you answered "Yes" to any of the items in Question 3, attach a description of the incidents or events leading to the issuance of each enforcement action, the disposition of each action, and any actions that have been taken to correct the violations that led to such enforcement action.
n/a 5. * Artach copies of any and all settlements of the environmental claims associated with actions identified in response to Question 3 above, whether or not such settlements were based on agreements where the applicant did not admit liability for the action.

I do hereby swear that I have read the above questions and have provided all of the information requerted and that all of the information provided is true and accurate

May 17, 1997
Date


Name: Juan S. Crofton Company Name: Crofton Technologies

Address:
16 Harper Avenue

Portsmouth, VA 23707
Phone:
(757) 397-1131
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## APPENDIX E UTILITY CROSSINGS

* Please make sure answers to all of the questions in this appendix correspondence to information on the application drawings.

1. How many utility crossings are proposed for this project? 1. - 1 $1 / 4$ " Diameter
2. What will be the purpose of the submarine crossing(s)? Upgrade Conmunication Network for DP\&L and Public.
3. What is area of impact involved in crossing (channelward of mean high water) 1875 sq. ft.
4. Briefly outline the procedure(s) for placement/construction of the submarine or overhead structure(s): Direct Bury by Plow Method Into River Substrate at a Depth Sufficient to Protect the Cable and the Public.
5. Indicate the distance(s) that the submarine structure(s) will cross the water body relative to:

Mean high water (for tidal areas only) 3755 _ft.
Ordinary high water (for non-tidal areas only) $\qquad$ ft.
6. Will dredging, excavating, or filling be required? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ X No
If your answer is "yes", complete the appropriate appendices.
7. Will there be any permanent towers, poles, platforms or other structures (excluding submarine cables) on subaqueous land or wetlands? $\qquad$ Yes $X$ No If your answer is "yes", give the number and the description of structures (the location of all structures must be shown on our drawings or the application cannot be processed). Number: $\qquad$ Description:
8. At what depth will the submarine crossing(s) be placed below the bottom of the water body? $\frac{-3}{-58}$
ft . Outside of Federal Channel Limits
-58 ft. Below MLW in Federal Channel
9. If this project will be a power line crossing, what will be the nominal system voltage of the line? $n / a$

## LOCATION MAP



| CROFTON TECHNOLOGIES |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| PORTSMOUTH, VIRGINIA |  |  |
| DELMMARVA POWER \& LIGHT |  |  |
| 96 FIBER OPTIC |  |  |
| ARMORED SUBMARINE CABLE |  |  |
| DELAWARE RIVER |  |  |
| PIGEOSING |  |  |
| PIN POINT TO DEEPWATER POINT |  |  |
| WILMINGTON, DELAWARE |  |  |
| DATE | $5 / 97$ |  |
| SCALE | NONAWN |  |



EXISTING CABLES
In Cuminor TRENCH ALROSS

## PHOTO LOG GUIDE



## *Attachment:

```
Delaware State Department of Transportation
U S Route 113
Dover, DE 19901
(302) 739-4302
US Army Corps of Engineers
1203 College Park Road
Suite 103
Dover, DE 19904
(302) 736-9763
```

E. I Dupont Chambers
Deepwater, NJ 08023
(609) 299-5000
New Jersey Department of Transportation
1035 Parkway Avenue
Trenton, NJ 08625
(609) 530-2001


Subaqueous Lands Lease:SL-0109/97
Date of Issuance: 12/09/97
Construction Expiration Date: $12 / 09 / 2000$ Amended Date:

## SUBAQUEOUS LANDS LEASE GRANTED TO DELMARVA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY FOR THE INSTALLATION OF 3755 LINEAR FEET OF SUBMARINE FIBER OPTIC CABLE, IN THE DELAWARE RIVER EXTENDING FROM PIGEON POINT IN NEW CASTLE COUNTY DELAWARE, TO DEEP WATER POINT IN SUSSEX COUNTY NEW JERSEY

## Delmarva Power and Light Company

P.O. Box 9239

Newark, DE 19714
Pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del. C. 7203, and the Department's Regulations Governing the Use of Subaqueous Lands, permission is hereby granted on this 9 th day of Decemba/A.D. 1997, to construct the above-referenced project in accordance with plans titled " Delmarva Power and Light Company 96 Fiber Optic", dated 5/97, and received by this Division on 8/12/97 and application dated $5 / 5 / 97$, and received by this Division on $8 / 12 / 97$.

WHEREAS, the State of Delaware is the owner of ungranted subaqueous lands lying beneath the waters of the Delaware River.

WHEREAS, Delmarva Power and Light Company, owner of certain adjoining lands to the Delaware River, has applied for permission to install a submarine electric cable,and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del. C., 7203, the Secretary of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control through his duly authorized representative finds that it is not contrary to the public interest if this project is approved subject to the terms and conditions herein set forth.

[^5]
## SL-0109/97

This approval is in accordance with plans and application submitted to Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, a copy of which is attached hereto and made a part hereof.

This lease shall be contimued for a period of ten (10) years or so long as the conditions attached to the lease are adhered to, whichever is the shorter in time. Upon the expiration of the ten-year term this lease shall expire and become null and void, unless prior thereto the lessee shall have applied for and received a renewal of this lease. A renewal may be denied if the State determines that the lease is no longer in the public interest.

THIS lease is issued subject to the following conditions:

## SPECIAL CONDITION

1. The conditions contained herein shall be included as part of the main body of the construction contract and other ancillary documents associated with earth disturbance and any other activities directly or indirectly associated with construction which may impact subaqueous lands associated with this project. The lessee is responsible for ensuring that the contractor and/or workers executing the activities authorized by this lease have full knowledge of the terms and conditions of this lease.
2. Construction shall be conducted so as not to violate the State of Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, "Surface Water Quality Standards" dated February 26, 1993.
3. A copyr of this lease must be available on-site during all phases of construction activity.
4. The structure on subaqueous lands shall be for the explicit purpose of upgrading the communication network for the public as stated in appendix E, Section 2, of the application.
5. All construction debris, excavated material, brush, rocks and refuse incidental to such work shall be placed above the influence of surface waters.
6. The excavated utility trench shall be backfilled to the waterbody preconstruction elevation.
7. During the ten-year term of this lease the lessee shall agree to pay the State of Delaware the sum of $\$ 5632.00$ per annum for a total of $\$ 56320.00$ for the 3755 linear feet of public subaqueous lands utilized for the above-referenced project. The first payment of this lease shall be $\$ 5632.00$ for the remainder of this billing term (through September).

## GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. The project is to be undertaken in accordance with the plans submitted and attached hereto. Any activities not specifically authorized herein may require a supplemental approval from this office prior to the initiation of construction. A determination on the need for a supplemental approval will be made by this office pursuant to the permittee submitting written notification and revised plans indicating project changes to this office.
2. Representatives of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control may inspect such work during any phases of the construction and may collect any samples or conduct any tests that are deemed necessary.
3. This lease does not cover the structural stability of the project units.
4. Prior to the expiration of this lease, the lessee shall remove all structures covered under this lease unless the lease has been renewed in accordance with its terms.
5. Any actions, operations or installations which are considered by the Department to be contrary to the best interests of the public shall constitute reason for the discontinuance and/or removal of said action, operation or installation.
6. The lessee shall notify the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control within ten (10) days of the date work will be commenced.

SL-0109/97
7. The lessee shall maintain any structure on public subaqueous lands in good and safe condition and will protect and save the State of Delaware harmless from any loss, cost or damage by reason of said structures.
8. The issuance of this lease does not imply approval of any other part, phase, or portion of any overall project the lessee may be contemplating.
9. This lease shall not be construed to grant or confer any right, title, easement, or interest in, to, or

- over: any land belonging to the State of Delaware other than that of a tenant.

10. This lease is subject to the terms and conditions contained in any easement, license or lease that may have been granted by the State or any political subdivision, board, commission or agency of the State in the vicinity of the leased premises.
11. This lease and authorization are granted for the purposes as stated herein. Any other use without prior approval shall constitute reason for this lease being revoked.
12. This lease shall expire if the project has not been completed within three (3) years from the date of issuance.
13. If the lessee considers three (3) years insufficient for completion of the project, the lessee may
submit a project time schedule for consideration by submit a project time schedule for consideration by the Department. If the time schedule is approved it shall be attached hereto and made a part hereof.
14. This lease is not assignable or transferable without the prior written consent of the Department. Prior to the transfer of the property, it is the responsibility of the lessee to provide the new owner with a copy of the lease or to remove all structures. Prior to property conveyance, the lessee must also notify the Department of the change in ownership.
15. The lessee shall at all times comply with all applicable laws and regulations of the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control.
16. The issuance of this lease does not constitute approval for any of the activities as may be required by any other local, state or federal governmental agency.
17. This lease will be revoked upon violation of any of the above conditions.
18. Application for renewal must be submitted six (6) months prior to the expiration date of this lease.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, Wayne N. Brumbley duly authorized representative of Delmarva Power and Light Company, have caused this instrument to be executed on this $\ldots 24{ }^{5}$ day of MevemBen, 1997.


SWORN and subscribed before me on
this $\qquad$ day of Avo embed 1997.

Naval $\subset$ trapes
Notary Public NOTARY PUBULE
Piny Commission Expos $\sqrt{\text { ain. } 12 ; 200 \%}$
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, Christophe A.G. Tulou, Secretary, Department of Natural Resources, and Environmental Control, have hereunto set my hand and seal this day of

By Secretary of the Department of

- -apr Natural Resources and

Environmental Control

state of Delaware
Department of Natural resources and Environmental control. DIVISION OF SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION

89 Kings highway

February 24, 1998

Mr. Juan S. Crofton
Crofton Diving Corporation
16 Harper Avenue
P.O. Box 7756

Portsmouth, Virginia 23707

## RE: Consistency Certification

Delmarva Power Fiber Optic Cable Crossing of the Delaware River
Dear Mr. Crofton:
The Delaware Coastal Management Program (DCMP) has received and reviewed your consistency determination for the above referenced project. Based upon our review and pursuant to National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Administration regulations (15 CFR 930), the DCMP concurs with your consistency determination for the fiber optic cable installation beneath the Delaware River. Our concurrence is based upon the restrictions and/or conditions placed on any and all permits issued to you for this project.

If you have any questions regarding this determination please do not hesitate to contact me at (302) 739-3451.



Supplemental Lease Approval No.: SL_0109/97S
Subaqueous Lands Lease No.: SL-0109/97
Date of Issuance: $3 \mid 11 / 02$
Amended Date:

## SUPPLEMENTAL APPROVAL

GRANTED TO CONECTIV COMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR THE INSTALLATION OF 3755 LINEAR FEET OF SUBMARINE FIBER OPTIC CABLE, IN THE DELAWARE RIVER EXTENDING FROM PIGEON POINT IN NEW CASTLE COUNTY, DELAWARE, TO DEEP WATER POINT IN SUSSEX COUNTY, NEW JERSEY
Richard D. Galster, Jr.
Bight of Way Department
Conectiv Communications, Inc
I 95 \& Route \#273
P.O. Box \# 9239

Newark, DE 19714-9239
Pursuant to the provisions of 7 Del. C. 7203, and the Department's Regulations Governing the Use of Subaqueous Lands, permission is hereby granted on this //the day of luered A.D. 2002, to amerid an existing lease to construct the above-referenced-project in accordance with plans titled "Delmarva Power \& Light Company 96 Fiber Optic"" dated 5/97, and received by this Division on $8 / 12 / 97$; and application dated $5 / 5 / 97$, and received by this Division on $8 / 12 / 97$.

WHEREAS, Conectiv Communications, Inc. has requested that the lease be amended to allow for an official change of name to Conectiv Communications, Inc., in accordance with the leitter of request, submitted 10/10/01, a.copy of which is attached and made a part hereof; and

## SL-0109/97S

Conectiv Communications, Inc.
Page 2
THE above referenced Subaqueous Lands Lease shall be amended subject to the terms and conditions herein set forth.

1. This Supplemental Approval is an addendum to Subaqueous Lands Lease No. SL-0109/97, granted to Delmarva Power \& Light Company by the State of Delaware on 12/9/97. This supplemental approval shall be attached thereto and made a part hereof.
2. This approval is in accordance with the letter submitted to and approved by the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, copies of which are attached hereto and made a part hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF/I, Philip S. Reese, have caused this instrument to be executed on this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ , 2002.


By the authority invested in me under 7 Del.C $\$ 7203$ (a), I hereby grant this Lease for the use of the State owned subaqueous lands to the Lessees) by setting my hand on this lIth day of $\qquad$ march .2002.

By Secretary of the De partixent) of Natural Resources and Environmental Control


State of Delaware
Department of natural resources \& Environmental Control
DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES
B9 Kings Highway, P. 0 Box 1401
COVER, DELAWARE I 10903

April 12, 1991
Mr. Roy E. Denmark, Jr. Chlef
Environmental Resources Branch
Philadelphia Distriot, Corpo of Engineera
Custom House, 2nd and Chestnut Streets
Philadelphia, PA 19106
RE: Subaqueous Lands Permit
Salem River Dredging - Deepening and Widening - 801,600 Cubic Yards
Dear Mr. Denmark:
The application for the above-xeferenced pernit was placed on public notice on February 6, 1991 for a twenty-day review period. This office hat recelved no objections to the proposed project as a result of our public notice.

However, because we've aeen problems in recently aubmitted monitoring data the Division of Water Resources is reassessing the impacts of dredging projecte as they relate to our amended "Surface Water quality standards".

Based on our review we have deterained that the project iv consistent with the Coastal \&one Management Program and that we will subsequently issue a pernit and essociated Water quality Certificate subject to the foint development of a $\quad$ uitable monitoring program.

If you have any further questions regarding this matter, please feel free to call this office.



K4: 171984
Dw. of Sella Water Coneserazici

/djr
wfm91018

## Delamare's good nature depends on youl



GTATE OF DELAWARE
Department of Natural Resourceg
Q Environmental Control
DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES
E9 KINGS HIGHWAT, PROROR 1401
Suqfacewhter Managenent Section WATEFSTHED ASSESSMENT BRANCH DOVER DELAWARE 19903
(302) 739 - 5726 3021 739-4580 3021 739-5731 (302) 739-5031 (302) 739 -4691

Hz. H. Ronald Krah
Chief, Operations Diviaion
U. S. Army, Corpe of Engineers

Cuatom Holige
2nd \& Chestnut Streete
Philadelphia, PA 19106
RR: KD-00011/92 Maintenance Dredging Salam River 100,000 oubic yarda
Dear Mr. Kreht

Your requeat to conduct the above-referenced maintenance dredging has been reviewed by the Departmant of Fatural Ranources and Environmental Control. The Department hereby authorizes the dredging in accordance with the plans titled Salem River, N.J. Entrance Channel Examination; Sheat 1 of 3 and Sheet 2 of 3 dated March 13, 1991 and Final Envirommantal Aseessment dated April, 1984, and recaived by thip Divigion on Hay 13, 1991, 晾ject to the following conditiona:

1. Dredging ahall be conducted so as not to vialate the State of Delaware Department of Naturai Rebourcea and Bavironmental Control, Surface Mater Quality Standnzd日 dated February 2, 1990.
2. There thall be no dredging during the montha of karch, April, May, June, september, october and november,
3. Monitoring to determine compliance vith the statm of Delaware'e Surface. Water ouality stondaxds ehall be conducted In accordance with the program outlined in the attached Monitoring schedule. All parametered levele requiring notification under the Authorication ahall alvo apply.
4. Konitoring results shall be supplied to the Department of Katural Hesources and Environmental Control within thirty (30) days of the last gampling date. Recult: shall be accompanied with a monitoring report. The monitoring report must include levels of compliance or non-compliance with the Delauare Surface Water quality standarder an well as remediation procedurea for non-compliance levele. This asmetsment includes a calculation of the spatial extent of the mixing zone an defined in Section 6 of Delaware standards.

Dolanuaneis. mandi meratura. detionds. nw. uncel

```
Ronald Kreh
pege 2
5. Al2 plpoidnes thall be kapt in good condition at all timen, and any laaks or breaks shall be promptiy and a properly cepaired.
6. Thim Authorization bhall bo valid for on your.
7. \(\lambda\) copy of this. Authorisation mugt bo available on gite during all phacer of oonatzuotlon activity-
B. This authorication will be xevoked upon violation of any of the abore conditions.
9. The state of peldware, by and through the pepartment of Natural Repources and Environmental Control. cortiflep that, with reasonabls aspurance the permitted activity will be conducted in a manner which will not violate the spplicable unter quality otandards of the state of polawase.
If you have any quagtions, pleage feel iseo to contact Tracy sicrabal of this office at 739-4691.
```


ce: Frank Clanfrani
WFY/TES/£
 April 27, 1992
Mr. H. Honald Kreh
Chief, Operations Division
U. S. Army, Corps of Engineers

## Custom gouse

2nd e Cheatnut Streets
Philadelphia, PA 19106
RE: 1 P-00012/92 Maintenance Dredging Salem River 100,000 oubla yarda
Dear Mr. Xrehz
Approval for the above referenced dredging was lasued on April 7, 1992. After conferring with the Department'g Division of Fish and wildife it has been agreed that condition 2 berevieed to read as follow:
2. There thall be no dredging or overboard disposal during the months of March. April, Hay or June:
Aleo the following condition is to be included as a aupplament to the April 7. 1992:
10. All dredging shall ceage immediately when dissolved oxygen at the disposal site aapling stations falls below $4.0 \mathrm{mg} / 2$ and shall not Iesume until the levels exoeed $4.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$.
If you have any questionw regarding this letter, please feel free to contact this office.

> sincerely,

William.F. Moyor
Program Manager
Wetlands a Aquatic
Protection Branch
WYK/fx

Delamare's good nature detsends ans coul

## DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

Philadelphia district. corps of enaineers
wanamaken guldoing. 100 penn gouare eabt
philadelphia. pennsylyania t1107-3391

Envizonmental Resources Branch

# WR 1018 

Mr. David Carter
Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Envirommental Control
division of Soll and Water Conservation
Delaware Coamtal Management Progran
P.O. Box 1401

Dover, Delaware 19903

Dear Mr, Cartori
This Is in regards to the Salem River Deapaning and Widening Projact, Saiam County, New Jersey and the asmociated oonditional Coartal Zone Management Consistency Determination, Fater Quality cortifioate, and subaquaous lands permit.

The Philadelphia District Corps of Engineers is proposing to widen and deepen the exieting authorized channel, which is currantly 150 feet wide by 12 feet deep at salem Cove, narrowing to 100 feet wide at sinnioksons Ianding, The present project is approximately 5 miles long and gtretohea downstream from New Jersey Route 49 bridge at the City of Galem to Elsinboro Point at the southwast corner of salam Cove in the Delaware River. The proposed channel wiaths will vary betwean 150 feet to 250 feet, and the Channel depth W111 be increased to 18 geet. The propoged project will extend the Delaware River segment an additional 200 feet, from the 12 -foot depth to the 18 -foot depth contour. Approximately 1,033,000 oubla yarde (appros. 646,600 cy in Delaware) of dradged matarial, including approximately 46, 200 cubic yards from the 3.5 acre ( 495 feet wide) turning area at Salem, will be removed by hydraulic pipeline dredge. Approximately 7 acres of watlands will be impacted, for which full mitigation will be provided. The dredged material generated from the initial construction of this project was originally proposed to be deposited at the active Xilicohook Disposal Area, however, further evaluation of capacity neeas has resulted in the need to utilize the Reedy Point South Dredgad Katarial Disposal Arom for this purpose.
since the proposed project plan consists of modifications of the original plan including the proposed change in disposal areas and channel dimensions, we are seeking comments and subsequent approval for these modifications. In addition, we would like to initfate the developrent of a suitable water-gediment quality monitoring program as required in the permit conditions indicated In the 12 April 1991 letter (enclosed).

Please respond with written ooments pursuant to the aforementioned permits and associated modifications within 30 days of this letter. If you have any questions or need any additional information regarding this project, please contact Eteve Allen of the Environmental Resources Branch at (215) 6566559. Thank you.

## sincerely,

Robert L, Callegari
Chief, Planning Division
Enclosure


Department of Natural resources a ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL
Division of Water Resources

## WETh.ANDS \& SUBAQUEDUS

LA.NDS SECTION


December 15. 1994

Philadelphia District
Corps of Engineers
Wanamaker Bldg.
100 Penn Square East
Philadelphia, PA 19107-3390

## RE: Salem River Deepening <br> CENAP-OP-R-N/A

Dear Mr. Robert Callegari:
The Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (the Department) has evaluated the above referenced project for compliance with the State's Surface Water Quality Standards developed pursuant to Section 303 of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA). Section 401 of the CWA requires a State water quality certification decision since a federal permit is required for this project from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers pursuant to Section 404 of the Federal Clean Water Act and Section 10 of the Federal Rivers and Harbors Act.

The Department's certification decision is based on review of your information contained in your 10/13/94 Application and received 10/14/94 which included plans dated 10/14/94. The Department's role is to determine whether the discharge of fill material in surface waters, including wetlands. impairs the biological, physical, and chemical attributes which enable such waters to continue to meet the State's Surface Water Quality Standards.

CENAP-OP-R-N/A
MD-0023/94
Page 2 of 2

The project involves maintenance dredging of 350,000 cubic yards of material from Salem River. The applicant's stated purpose of the project is to maintain a navigational channel.

Upon evaluation of the project, as proposed in the above referenced information, the Department hereby certifies that there is reasonable assurance that the proposed activity will be conducted in a manner which will not violate Delaware's "Surface Water Quality Standards" as amended February 26,1993 , subject to the following conditions:

1) Activities shall be performed in accordance with the conditions of the Department maintenance dredging peril $\$ \mathrm{MD}-0023 / 94$.
2) Erosion and sediment control measures shall be implemented in accordance with the specifications and criteria in the Delaware Erosion and Sediment Control Handbook (1989) so as to minimize dispersal of sediment and other pollutants in surface waters.
3) This certification will be revoked upon violation of any of the conditions contained herein.

Please feel free to contact this office at (302) 739-4691 if you should have any questions.
Sincerely,
William F. Mover
Section Manager
Wetlands and Subaqueous Lands Section
cc: Frank Cianfrani, Corps of Engineers
SSaratificooksey, DGMPi
401 File
MD-0023/94
weq94034


December 15, 1994

## Philadelphia District

Corps of Engineers
Wanamaker Bldg.
100 Penn Square East
Philadelphia, PA 19107-3390
RE: MD-0023/94 Maintenance Dredge 350.000 cubic yards of material from the mouth of the Salem River and place 700.000 cubic yards of material via pipeline into the Reedy Point South Dredge Material Disposal area. Reedy Point, New Castle, DE

Dear Sirs:
Your request to conduct the above-referenced maintenance dredging has been reviewed by the Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control. The Department hereby authorizes the dredging in accordance with the plans and specifications titled "Salem River Dredging" dated "10/4/94" and received by this Division on 10/14/94, and application received on 10/14/94.

This letter of authorization is issued subject to the following conditions:

1. Dredging shall be conducted so as not to violate the State of Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Surface Water Quality Standards dated February 26, 1993.
2. This Authorization shall not become effective until an approved Sediment and Stormwater Management Plan has been issued, when required. by the local Conservation District Office.
3. No dredge spoils shall be deposited on tidal wetlands at any time other than what is permitted in accordance with the submitted application and plans.
4. This Authorization shall be valid for one (1) year.

Corps of Engineers
MD-0023/94
page 2
5. A copy of this Authorization must be available on site during all phases of construction activity.
6. All monitoring shall be performed in accordance with the attached Water Quality Monitoring plan.
7. Disposal area shall be visually inspected daily during dredging to prevent breaching of containment dikes and to ensure the clarity of the eflluent.
8. Sluice gate walkway and riser boards must be replaced to allow safe pedestrian access to effluent sampling area and to maintain proper water depth within spoil area.
9. This authorization will be revoked upon violation of any of the above conditions.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Ted Mercer of this office at 739-4691.

Sincerely,


William F. Moyer
Program Manager
Wetlands \& Aquatic Protection Branch
Sincerely,


James'T. Chaconas
Program Manager I
Wetlands \& Aquatic Protection Branch
cc: Frank Cianfrani

Department of natural resourges ano environmental control
DIVISION OF SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION
89 Kings highway
OFFICE OF THE
DIREGTOR

$$
\text { P.O. } 80 \times 1401
$$

DOVER, DELAWARE 19903 TELEPHONE: (302) 739-3458

January 10, 1995

Ms. Beth Brandreth
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Philadelphia District
Wanamaker Building
100 Penn Square East
Philadeiphia, Pennsylvania 19107-3390

## RE: Consistency Certification Salem Rlver Dredging

Dear Ms. Brandreth:

The DCMP has received and reviewed your consistency determination for the above referenced project. We have also reviewed your responses to our concerns with the use of the Reedy Point South dredged material disposal site, and have found them to be consistent with our policies. Pursuant to National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration regulations (15 CFR-Part 930), the DCMP concurs with your consistency determination for above referenced project. Our concurrence is based upon the restrictions and/or conditions placed on any and all permits issued to you for this project and compliance with the monitoring plan that you submitted.

If you have any further questions please do not hesitate to contact me either by phone (302) 7393451 or at the above address.

Sincerely,


Sarah W. Cooksey, Administrator Delaware Coastal Management Progiam

SWC/jil
cc: File 94.013

# DPILAWARE COASTAL ZONE HEDERAL CONSISTENCY CERTITICATION SUNOCO, INC. MAINTENANCE DREISGING OP APPROXIMATYLY $3,200 \mathrm{C.Y}$. OF SRDLMENT FROM THE MARCUS HOOK RERLNERY AND TEMPORARY PLACEMENT OF APPROXIMATRLY 11,000 FEET OF HYRRAULIC DREDGING PIPELEINL IN THE DELAWARE RIVER 

Mr. Thomas McVeigh
Roy F. Westom, Inc. 1400 Weston Way
P.O. Box 2653

West Chester, PA 19380

## Dcar Mr. McVelgh:

The Delaware Coastal Management Program (DCMP) bas received and roviewed your consisturcy determication for hydraulic maintenance dredging of approximatcly 3,200 cubic yards of material from the Delaware porion of the Mareus Hook Refinery, maintenanco dredging of an associated intake structurc, and temporary placement of approximately 11,000 fect of hydroulic dredging pipeilinc in the Delaware River. Bascd upon our review and pursuant to National Occanic \& Atmospheric Administration rogulations ( 15 CliR 930), tho DCMP concurs with your consistency determination [or this project. This concuricnce is based upon the restricions and/or conditions placed on any and all permits issued to you for this projech, including the 7 special and 12 general conditions outlined in the State of Delaware Subaqueous Lands permuit.

In addition to the above requirements, you must submit the following to this oflice:

1. Any report gencrated duc to pipeline spillage or leakage. The report should sumamarize ilue extent of spillage, sleps taken to rectify tho spill and any mitigntion measures.
2. A summary report of any monitoring cooducled at point of dredging and at the confined disposal facility.

If you have any questions regarding this dcterninalion please do nol hesitate to contact me at (302) 730-3451.


SWCsel
cc: Fild 00.079
Rave Kaplin - USACR
Laura ltur - DWR
Ciurgo Murphy - Sunoca

State of Delaware Department of Natural resources a Environmental Control DIVISION OF SOIL AND WATER CONSERVATION

# DELAWARE COASTAL ZONE FEDERAL CONSISTENCY CERTIFICATION TOSCO REFINING L.P. FOR TEMPORARY HYDRAULIC DREDGING PIPELINE PLACEMENT IN THE DELAWARE RIVER 

Mr. Thomas McVeigh
Roy F. Weston, Inc.
1400 Weston Way
P.O. Box 2653

West Chester, PA 19380
Dear Mr. McVeigh:
The Delaware Coastal Management Program (DCMP) has received and reviewed your consistency determination for the temporary placement of approximately 11,000 feet of hydraulic dredging pipeline in the Delaware River from the Trainer Refinery to the Oldmans Confined Disposal Facility. Based upon our review and pursuant to National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Administration regulations ( 15 CFR 930 ), the DCMP concurs with your consistency determination for this project. This concurrence is based upon the restrictions and/or conditions placed on any and all permits issued to you for this project, including the 8 special and 12 general conditions outlined in the State of Delaware Subaqueous Lands permit.

In addition to the above requirements, you must submit the following to this office:

1. Any report generated due to pipeline spillage or leakage. The report should summarize the extent of spillage, steps taken to rectify the spill and any mitigation measures.
2. A summary report of any monitoring conducted at point of dredging and at the confined disposal facility.

If you have any questions regarding this determination please do not hesitate to contact me at (302) 739-3451.

Sincerely,


SWC/sel

```
cc: File 00.080
    /Jim Boyer - USACE
    ~Laura Heri -- DWR
    /Jim Fedena - Tosco
```

State of delaware
Department of natural resourceb \& Environmental Conthol Division of SoIl and Water Conservation

July 30, 2003

Mr. Sonny Rutkowski
Weston Solutions, lnc.
1400 Weston Way
PO Box 2653
West Chester, PA 19380

> RE: Delaware Coastal Management Federal Consistency Certification Sunoco/Marcus Hook Refinery Maintenance Dredging of Berth 3C and RW7 Intake (FC 03.082/CENAP-OP-R-200002407-46)

Dear Mr. Rutkowski:
The Delaware Coastal Management Program (DCMP) has received and reviewed your consistency determination for the above referenced project. Based upon our review and pursuant to National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Administration regulations (15 CFR 930), the DCMP concurs with your consistency determination for the maintenance dredging of up to 10,000 cubie yards of sediment per event via hydraulic and/or mechanical methods at the Marcus Hook Refinery Dock 3C and Intake RW7 and temporary placement of approximately 11,000 feet of hydraulic dredging pipeline in the Delaware River. Please note that maintenance of navigational depths via obstruction leveling is not included as a part of this concurrence; use of obstruction leveling will require additional review by this office.

This concurrence is based upon adherence to the restrictions and/or conditions placed on any and all permits issued to you for this project. In addition, this office requests that a summary report of any monitoring conducted at the point of dredge or discharge be forwarded to this office, as well as any report generated due to pipeline spillage or leakage.

If you have any questions regarding this determination please do not hesitate to contact me at (302) 739-3451.


Sarah W. Cooksey, Administrator Delaware Coastal Management Program

## SWCisel

ce: File 03.082
David Cuplan - USACE
Jim Chaconas-DWR


[^0]:    By Secratary of the Deparment of Natural Resources and Environmental Control

[^1]:    cc: Frank Cianfrani Brad Smith Roy Miller Gerard Esposito

[^2]:    cc:
    The Honorable Bradley M. Campbell
    The Honorable John A. Hughes Dclaware Congressional Delegation New Jersey Congressional Delegation

[^3]:    *The word permit means "approval. cerrification, registration. esc."

[^4]:    
    
    
     standor

[^5]:    Tin-mano's. anad ceature detenende on wou'

